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**BACKGROUND.** Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) is associated with enhanced risk of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease not explained by Framingham risk score (FRS). Immune dysregulation associated to a distinct subset of lupus proinflammatory neutrophils (low density granulocytes; LDGs) may play key roles in conferring enhanced CV risk. This study assessed if lupus LDGs are associated with in vivo vascular dysfunction and inflammation and coronary plaque.

**METHODS.** SLE subjects and healthy controls underwent multimodal phenotyping of vascular disease by quantifying vascular inflammation ($^{18}$F-fluorodeoxyglucose–PET/CT [$^{18}$F-FDG–PET/CT]), arterial dysfunction (EndoPAT and cardio-ankle vascular index), and coronary plaque burden (coronary CT angiography). LDGs were quantified by flow cytometry. Cholesterol efflux capacity was measured in high-density lipoprotein–exposed (HDL-exposed) radioactively labeled cell lines. Whole blood RNA sequencing was performed to assess associations between transcriptomic profiles and vascular phenotype.

**RESULTS.** Vascular inflammation, arterial stiffness, and noncalcified plaque burden (NCB) were increased in SLE compared with controls even after adjustment for traditional risk factors. In SLE, NCB directly associated with LDGs and associated negatively with cholesterol efflux capacity in fully adjusted models. A neutrophil gene signature reflective of the most upregulated genes in lupus LDGs associated with vascular inflammation and NCB.
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Introduction

Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) is an autoimmune syndrome primarily affecting women of childbearing age and is characterized by heterogeneous systemic manifestations and profound dysregulation of the innate and adaptive immune systems (1). Survival rates in SLE have significantly improved over time, yet deaths due to cardiovascular disease (CVD) in lupus have not (2). CVD accounts for approximately one-third of deaths...
in SLE and, in some cohorts, is the leading cause of mortality in lupus (3, 4). Young women with SLE have a 50-fold increase in the risk of myocardial infarction compared with age-matched healthy women (5), and the CVD risk in SLE is not explained by the Framingham risk score (FRS) (6).

We and others have proposed that immune dysregulation and, specifically, aberrant innate immune responses characteristic of SLE may play a key role in conferring enhanced CV risk, driving vascular damage, and accelerating atherosclerosis (7, 8). A distinct subset of proinflammatory neutrophils called low-density granulocytes (LDGs) are found in increased numbers in SLE and promote endothelial cell damage in vitro (8). The cytotoxic effects of LDGs on the endothelium appear to be mediated, at least in part, through their enhanced capacity to form neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs) (9, 10). NETs may play important roles in driving endothelial cell damage, thrombosis, and aberrant immunity and inflammation in the arterial wall (11). Importantly, lupus LDG NETs have been found to be particularly vasculotoxic and proinflammatory (9). As such, we have proposed that LDGs may play crucial roles in early vascular inflammation and subsequent plaque formation and destabilization.

NETs can also oxidize high-density lipoprotein (HDL), causing it to acquire proatherogenic properties and impaired cholesterol efflux capacity (12, 13). While HDL-mediated atheroprotection is likely pleiotropic in nature, this ability of HDL to accept cholesterol from lipid-laden macrophages through cholesterol efflux appears to play a crucial antiatherogenic role (14). As such, LDGs, NETs, and the resultant impairment of cholesterol efflux capacity may play an important role in lupus-associated CVD.

Assessment of CVD in SLE has relied on coronary calcium quantification and carotid plaque measurements. However, inflammatory events occur early during atherosclerotic plaque development, and these assessments only capture CVD in its later stages. There is therefore a need for improvements in the early identification of SLE subjects at risk for CV events. Recent imaging advances have demonstrated that $^{18}$F-fluorodeoxyglucose–PET/CT ($^{18}$F-FDG–PET/CT) can directly assess aortic vascular inflammation, an early event in vascular disease development (15). FDG is taken up by inflammatory cells in the vessel wall, and this uptake can be quantified as a target/background ratio (TBR). Short-term changes in arterial inflammation measured with $^{18}$F-FDG–PET/CT have been associated with long-term atherosclerosis progression, suggesting that therapies that reduce vascular inflammation may attenuate the progression of vascular disease (16).

Arterial stiffness describes the reduced capability of an artery to expand and contract in response to pressure changes (17) and, as measured by carotid-femoral pulse wave velocity, is an independent predictor of CV morbidity and mortality in various diseases (18, 19). Arterial stiffness can also be quantified by calculating a cardio-ankle vascular index (CAVI), which is an indicator of the degree of atherosclerosis and resulting arterial stiffness in large and medium arteries independent of arterial blood pressure. CAVI

### Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographics</th>
<th>Age (years ± SD) 45 ± 12</th>
<th>37 ± 11</th>
<th>&lt;0.001</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female sex, n (%)</td>
<td>56 (88%)</td>
<td>33 (94%)</td>
<td>0.280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>25 (39%)</td>
<td>18 (51%)</td>
<td>0.640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>13 (20%)</td>
<td>5 (14%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>6 (9%)</td>
<td>2 (6%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>20 (31%)</td>
<td>10 (29%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>27 (42%)</td>
<td>13 (37%)</td>
<td>0.470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic</td>
<td>35 (55%)</td>
<td>22 (63%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current tobacco use, n (%)</td>
<td>4 (7%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0.140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous smoker, n (%)</td>
<td>7 (11%)</td>
<td>5 (15%)</td>
<td>0.300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>37 (58%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type-2 DM</td>
<td>4 (6%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0.160</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DM, diabetes. Values represent mean ± SD or median (IQR range). Student’s t test was used for parametric data and Mann-Whitney U test was performed for nonparametric data. Statistically significant values are in bold.
has been identified as an important predictor of prognostic outcomes in patients with CVD and has been shown to significantly correlate with coronary atherosclerosis in various patient populations (20, 21). Noninvasive assessment of endothelial function can also be measured by other techniques, including the EndoPAT device, which quantifies endothelial function in the fingertip in association with risk of future adverse CV outcomes (22). Noncalcified plaque burden (NCB) confers enhanced risk for plaque vulnerability and rupture and acute coronary syndromes (ACS) (23–25). Total burden, NCB, and dense-calcified coronary plaque burden can be assessed by coronary CT angiography (CCTA), which provides high-quality, detailed anatomical assessment comparable with invasive coronary angiography. Increased NCB has been associated with heightened prevalence of high-risk plaque in individuals with some inflammatory conditions (15, 26). Importantly, recent evidence indicates that NCB can be reversed or ameliorated by immunosuppressive drugs in psoriasis (26).

Table 2. Demographic and clinical characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lupus History</th>
<th>Disease duration (years)</th>
<th>SLEDAI</th>
<th>SLICC</th>
<th>Anti-dsDNA–positive, N (%)</th>
<th>Anti-ENA–positive, N (%)</th>
<th>Anti-Ro–positive, N (%)</th>
<th>Anti-Smith–positive, N (%)</th>
<th>Anti-La–positive, N (%)</th>
<th>Anti-RNP–positive, N (%)</th>
<th>Anti-β2 GPI IgG</th>
<th>Anti-β2 GPI IgM</th>
<th>LAC</th>
<th>APS diagnosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15 ± 12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>24 (38%)</td>
<td>44 (69%)</td>
<td>31 (48%)</td>
<td>21 (33%)</td>
<td>14 (22%)</td>
<td>23 (36%)</td>
<td>7 (11%)</td>
<td>7 (11%)</td>
<td>18 (28%)</td>
<td>8 (13%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Medications

Hydroxychloroquine 57 (89%) 16 (25%)
Azathioprine 16 (25%)
Methotrexate 10 (16%)
Mycophenolate Mofetil 19 (30%)
Prednisone 48 (75%)
Statin use 6 (9%) 0 (0%)
BMI 28.4 ± 6.2 24.8 ± 4.8
Framingham Risk Score
Glucose (mg/dl) 90.1 ± 13.0 92.0 ± 9.4
Insulin (mcU/ml) 17.8 ± 14.6 9.9 ± 6.1
HOMA-IR 3.3 (1.9-4.6) 1.7 (1.4-2.5)
Total Cholesterol (mg/dl) 178.6 ± 40.8 177.6 ± 37.7
LDL (mg/dl) 58.0 ± 17.7 69.7 ± 17.1
Triglycerides (mg/dl) 103.3 ± 55.4 95.9 ± 67.4
VLDL Triglycerides (mg/dl) 59.9 (44-87) 47.8 (36-58)
C-Reactive Protein (mg/l) 1.6 (0.8-3.9) 1.2 (0.7-3.4)
Cholesterol Efflux Capacity 0.88 ± 0.16 0.96 ± 0.15
Urine Creatinine (mg/dl) 110 (66-181) 127 (53-177)
Urine Protein (mg/dl) 32 (18-44) 13 (10-18)
Protein/Creatinine Ratio 0.2 (0.2-0.4) 0.1 (0.1-0.1)
WBC count 5.1 (3.9-6.4) 5.5 (4.6-6.5)
Elastase-DNA Complex (OD Index) 0.89 ± 1.10 0.90 ± 1.05
LDGs per ml of blood 76932 (39940-143339) 33359 (21657-82964)

SLEDAI, SLE disease activity index; SLICC, Systemic Lupus Collaborating Clinics; HOMA-IR, homeostatic model assessment-insulin resistance; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; VLDL, very low-density lipoprotein; LAC, lupus anticoagulant; Anti–β2 GPI, anti–β2 glycoprotein antibody; APS, antiphospholipid syndrome. Values represent mean ± SD or median (IQR range). Student’s t test was used for parametric data and Mann-Whitney U test was performed for nonparametric data. Statistically significant values are in bold.
This study aimed to comprehensively characterize subclinical vascular disease in SLE using a multimodal approach of vascular imaging and assessments of vascular function. We also sought to establish the contributions of both traditional risk factors and the presence of LDGs and neutrophil signatures to these in vivo vascular phenotypes.

Results

Demographic and clinical characteristics of subjects. Lupus (n = 64) and healthy controls (n = 35) did not differ in sex, race, ethnicity, or FRS. Mean ± SD disease duration was 15 ± 12 years, and the SLE disease activity index (SLEDAI) (27) was 3.8 ± 3.0, indicating overall mild lupus disease activity. There were significant differences between SLE subjects and controls in prevalence of systemic hypertension and BMI, while mean age of SLE patients was higher when compared with controls (Tables 1 and 2). While total cholesterol did not differ, SLE subjects had lower levels of HDL, increased very low–density lipoprotein (VLDL) triglycerides, increased insulin resistance (by homeostatic model assessment-insulin resistance; HOMA-IR),

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aortic arch target/background ratio</td>
<td>1.83 ± 0.21</td>
<td>1.71 ± 0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entire Aortic Target/Background Ratio</td>
<td>1.68 ± 0.16</td>
<td>1.59 ± 0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right CAVI</td>
<td>7.4 (6.5–7.9)</td>
<td>6.3 (5.9–7.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left CAVI</td>
<td>7.3 (6.4–7.8)</td>
<td>6.4 (6.0–7.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg. CAVI</td>
<td>7.3 (6.5–8.0)</td>
<td>6.3 (5.9–7.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EndoPAT log (RHI)</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.31</td>
<td>0.67 ± 0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCTA total burden (+100) mm²</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.32</td>
<td>0.77 ± 0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCTA noncalcified burden (+100) mm²</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.33</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCTA dense calcified burden (+100) mm²</td>
<td>0.011 (0.005–0.023)</td>
<td>0.011 (0.004–0.019)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CAVI, cardio-ankle-vascular index; RHI, reactive hyperemia index; CCTA, coronary CT angiography. Values represent mean ± SD or median (IQR range). Student’s t test was used for parametric data and Mann-Whitney U test was performed for nonparametric data. Statistically significant values are in bold.

Figure 1. SLE subjects have increased vascular inflammation and coronary plaque compared with controls. (A and B) Representative ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT imaging of the aorta in a healthy control (A) compared with SLE (B). (C and D) Representative ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT scan transverse section at the level of aortic arch from a fused PET/CT scan illustrating increased 18-FDG uptake in the aortic arch of an SLE patient (D) when compared with a matched control (C). Blue and green represents mild uptake, yellow represents moderate uptake, and red represents high FDG uptake. TBR values: control (1.62), SLE (1.81). Magnification is 10 cm for all PET images. (E and F) Multiplanar reconstruction using 320 detector row CCTA scanner of the LAD coronary artery sliced from: (E) 4 different planes of a healthy control (a semiautomated software delineates lumen [inner yellow] from the coronary artery wall [outer orange] showing no noncalcified plaque component to minimal noncalcified plaque component [blue arrow]); and (F) 4 different planes of a sex- and age-matched SLE patient showing moderate to severe NCB component between the 2 contours (blue arrow). Magnification is 4 cm for all CCTA images.
and a higher urine protein/creatinine ratio (Tables 1 and 2). SLE subjects and controls did not differ in other parameters, including statin use, physical activity, or high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP) levels. Most lupus subjects were taking antimalarials and/or prednisone at the time of the visit (Tables 1 and 2).

**SLE subjects display enhanced vascular inflammation, vascular dysfunction, and coronary plaque.** SLE patients displayed significantly increased aortic inflammation by 18F-FDG–PET/CT (aortic TBR: 1.68 ± 0.16 vs. 1.59 ± 0.14, \( P = 0.007 \)) (Table 3 and Figure 1, A–D). In SLE, arterial stiffness assessed by CAVI was also significantly increased, while endothelial function measured by EndoPAT was decreased (Table 3). CTA analysis indicated that SLE subjects had increased total coronary plaque burden and that the contribution from NCB to the total burden was greater than the contribution from dense-calcified burden (Table 3 and Figure 1, E and F). Differences in arterial stiffness, vascular inflammation, total plaque burden, and NCB between control and SLE subjects persisted in multivariate regression analysis adjusting for FRS, indicating that higher mean age in the lupus group did not explain these vascular abnormalities and that variables other than traditional risk factors primarily accounted for the enhanced in vivo vascular disease in SLE (Table 4). Furthermore, NCB associated with average TBR (\( \beta = 0.23, P = 0.02 \)) even after adjusting for FRS.

Lupus disease activity, as measured by SLEDAI, correlated with aortic arch TBR in unadjusted (\( \beta = 0.28, P = 0.04 \)) and adjusted models accounting for either FRS, FRS + BMI, or FRS + BMI + HOMA-IR (\( P = 0.04, P = 0.01, P = 0.01 \), respectively). This association was not observed for total TBR, TBR in other regions of the aorta, vascular function, or coronary plaque. Lupus disease duration and lupus damage accrual (assessed by Systemic Lupus Collaborating Clinics/American College of Rheumatology Damage Index [SLICC]) were associated with arterial stiffness [\( \beta = 0.28, P = 0.03 \) and \( \beta = 0.34, P = 0.01 \), respectively; ref. 28], and these associations persisted after multivariate analysis adjusting for FRS, BMI, and HOMA-IR, while the CTA Agatston calcium coronary score significantly correlated with SLICC (\( \beta = 0.515, P = 0.002 \)). No other associations were detected between vascular parameters and SLICC, SLEDAI, or disease duration. Aortic arch TBR was also associated with levels of VLDL triglycerides (\( \beta = 0.319, P = 0.019 \)). The presence of specific lupus autoantibodies (anti–double-stranded DNA [anti-dsDNA], anti-Ro, anti-La, anti-Sm, anti-RNP, anticardiolipin) did not correlate with any vascular parameters, with the exception of EndoPAT reactive hyperemia index (RHI), which negatively correlated with anti-dsDNA presence in unadjusted (\( \beta = –0.28, P = 0.037 \)) and adjusted models (\( r = –0.29, P = 0.031 \)). In addition, a total of 27

| Table 4. Association of SLE and vascular disease after adjusting for Framingham risk score |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Model                           | CAVI \( n = 62 \) | Log (RHI) \( n = 58 \) | TBR \( n = 54 \) | NCB \( n = 36 \) | Dense calcified burden \( n = 36 \) | Total burden \( n = 36 \) |
| Unadjusted                      | 0.28 (0.006)    | 0.170 (0.104)   | 0.26 (0.016)   | 0.160 (0.044)  | 0.17 (0.038)    | 0.19 (0.018)    |
| Adjusted for FRS                | 0.25 (0.015)    | 0.143 (0.174)   | 0.268 (0.018)  | 0.173 (0.032)  | 0.12 (0.128)    | 0.19 (0.017)    |

CAVI, Cardio-ankle vascular index; RHI, reactive hyperemia index; TBR, target/background ratio; FRS, Framingham risk score. Results of univariate (unadjusted) or multivariate (adjusted for FRS) linear regression analysis using presence of lupus as predictor variable. Statistically significant values are in bold. Results are shown as \( \beta \) coefficient (\( P \) value).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 5. Association of LDGs with NCB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model 1 — adjusted for Framingham Risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2 — adjusted for Framingham Risk + BMI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 3 — adjusted for Framingham Risk + BMI + HOMA-IR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LDGs, low-density granulocytes; NCB, noncalcified coronary plaque burden; CTA, coronary CT angiogram; HOMA-IR, homeostatic model assessment–insulin resistance. Univariate (unadjusted) or multivariate (models 1–3) linear regression analysis of LDGs with NCB. Results are shown as \( \beta \) coefficient (\( P \) value).
cytokines were quantified in plasma, and statistically significant increases in IP-10 \((P = 0.012)\) and eotaxin \((P = 0.02)\) were detected in SLE when compared with controls. However, no significant associations between specific cytokines and any of the vascular parameters were identified (Supplemental Table 1; supplemental material available online with this article; https://doi.org/10.1172/jci.insight.99276DS1). Current treatment with corticosteroids showed a significant negative association with FRS \((\beta = –0.29, P = 0.02)\) and age \((\beta = –0.34, P = 0.006)\) that persisted after multivariate analysis. A positive correlation between corticosteroid use with urinary protein/creatinine ratio \((\beta = 0.334, P = 0.01)\) and with absolute blood neutrophil count \((\beta = 0.358, P = 0.04)\) was observed, but not with LDGs, TBR, vascular function, or coronary plaque. No consistent significant association was found between the use of immunosuppressive drugs or statins and vascular inflammation, function, or coronary plaque. Overall, these results indicate that SLE subjects have enhanced vascular inflammation, vascular dysfunction, and NCB that is not explained by traditional risk factors, medications, or disease activity.

Determinants of coronary plaque burden in SLE. As previously reported by our group and others, SLE subjects had increased levels of LDGs when compared with healthy controls (Tables 1 and 2 and Supplemental Table 2) (8). We previously showed that lupus LDGs, through their enhanced ability to form NETs, induce endothelial cell apoptosis (9) and macrophage inflammatory responses (29) and have the ability to oxidize HDL in regiospecific areas that are associated with impaired cholesterol efflux capacity and atherogenesis (12). We found that LDG levels significantly associated with NCB severity and lower cholesterol efflux capacity in SLE in an unadjusted linear regression analysis (Tables 5 and 6). These associations remained significant after adjusting for FRS, BMI, and HOMA-IR. Compared with controls, SLE subjects had impaired cholesterol efflux capacity (Tables 1 and 2 and Supplemental Table 3). As we had previously found that LDG NETs can oxidize HDL in regions that impair its cholesterol efflux ability (12), we assessed whether cholesterol efflux capacity associated with NCB. Indeed, decreases in HDL efflux associated with increased NCB in SLE, and this association persisted after adjusting for FRS, BMI, and HOMA-IR (Table 7). The individual associations of LDGs and cholesterol efflux capacity with NCB

### Table 6. Association of LDGs with cholesterol efflux capacity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Cholesterol efflux capacity (n = 59)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unadjusted</td>
<td>–0.29 (0.024)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td>–0.32 (0.014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2</td>
<td>–0.30 (0.021)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 3</td>
<td>–0.32 (0.014)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Model 1 – adjusted for Framingham Risk
Model 2 – adjusted for Framingham Risk + BMI
Model 3 – adjusted for Framingham Risk + BMI + HOMA-IR

LDGs, low density granulocytes; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; HOMA-IR, homeostatic model assessment–insulin resistance. Univariate (unadjusted) or multivariate (models 1–3) linear regression analysis of LDGs with HDL efflux. Results represent \(\beta\) coefficient (P value).

### Table 7. Association of cholesterol efflux capacity with NCB

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>CCTA noncalcified burden (n = 36) totaling 101 arteries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unadjusted</td>
<td>–0.30 (0.002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td>–0.32 (0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2</td>
<td>–0.28 (0.003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 3</td>
<td>–0.28 (0.003)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Model 1 – adjusted for Framingham Risk
Model 2 – adjusted for Framingham Risk + BMI
Model 3 – adjusted for Framingham Risk + BMI + HOMA-IR

NCB, noncalcified coronary plaque burden; CCTA, coronary CT angiogram; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; HOMA-IR, homeostatic model assessment–insulin resistance. Univariate (unadjusted) or multivariate (models 1–3) linear regression analysis of HDL efflux with NCB. Results are \(\beta\) coefficient (P value).
also persisted after adjusting for cholesterol efflux capacity and/or LDGs, respectively \((P < 0.05)\). These associations were distinct to LDGs, as total white blood cell counts and normal dense neutrophils did not associate with NCB or impaired cholesterol efflux capacity. These results indicate that LDG numbers and cholesterol efflux capacity play an important role in explaining NCB in SLE, as other traditional, non-traditional, or SLE-related risk factors failed to do so. A history of thrombotic events or the presence of antiphospholipid syndrome showed a nonsignificant trend for association with NCB \((\beta = 0.19, P = 0.058\) and \(\beta = 0.18, P = 0.067\), respectively).

**A neutrophil gene signature associates with vascular disease in SLE.** To further elucidate the differences between SLE patients with subclinical vascular disease from those without significant vascular involvement, 2 independent RNA sequencing (RNA-Seq) analyses were performed. The discovery analysis included a group of healthy controls \((n = 8)\), SLE with high TBR \((n = 9)\), and SLE with low TBR \((n = 8)\). A 1-way ANOVA analysis revealed genes that were differentially expressed between these groups. Overall, 105 and 62 genes were upregulated and 59 and 14 genes were downregulated in SLE with high TBR or low TBR, respectively, when compared with controls. In the SLE with high TBR group, 26 genes were upregulated and 72 genes downregulated when compared with SLE with normal TBR (Supplemental Tables 4–6). Pathway analysis showed that, in both comparisons of SLE high- and SLE low-TBR with controls, the 3 top upregulated pathways included IFN signaling, activation of IRF by cytosolic pattern recognition receptors, and role of pattern recognition receptors in recognition of bacteria and viruses, indicating dysregulation of the IFN pathway in SLE patients compared with controls irrespective of vascular inflammation (Supplemental Figures 3 and 4). The differences between SLE patients with high TBR versus low TBR included the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 8. Association of neutrophil score with vascular inflammation (TBR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Model</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unadjusted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Model 1 – adjusted for age + sex + BMI + 20-day steroid dose + immunosuppressants + statins

TBR, target/background ratio. Univariate (unadjusted) or multivariate (model 1) linear regression analysis of the neutrophil score with TBR Results are \(\beta\) coefficient \((P\) value).
downregulation of genes involved in B cell development, T cell and B cell signaling, and Th cell differentiation pathways (Supplemental Figure 5). A confirmation analysis using a similar approach included a group of healthy controls (n = 8), SLE with high NCB (n = 9), and SLE with low NCB (n = 9). A 1-way ANOVA analysis revealed genes that were differentially expressed between these 3 groups. Overall, 173 and 69 genes were upregulated and 450 and 94 genes were downregulated, respectively, when SLE with high NCB and SLE with low NCB were compared with controls. Further, 14 genes were upregulated and 45 genes were downregulated when comparing SLE with high NCB and SLE with low NCB (Supplemental Tables 7–9).

Pathway analysis using these differentially regulated genes between patients with high NCB and controls showed that the top 3 upregulated pathways were NK cell signaling, IFN signaling, and crosstalk between DCs and NK cells (Supplemental Figure 6). The upregulated IFN signaling pathway was also the top differentially regulated pathway when comparing SLE patients with low TBR and controls, again suggesting that the dysregulation of this pathway is not restricted only to the high-plaque group (Supplemental Figure 7). When comparing the SLE patients with high NCB and SLE patients with low NCB, the top 2 pathways statistically different among groups included NK cell signaling and crosstalk between DCs and NK cells (Supplemental Figure 8). Of note, no significant association between monocyte-related pathways and measurements of vascular disease was identified in this cohort.

When compared with controls, several of the most upregulated genes in the high-TBR and high-NCB SLE groups were neutrophil-associated genes and, in particular, genes previously found to be upregulated in LDGs when compared with normal-density neutrophils (10) (Supplemental Tables 4–9). Given the associations of LDGs with coronary atherosclerosis in this SLE cohort, we analyzed the neutrophil signature based on levels of gene expression of these transcripts (10) and compared differences in these neutrophil-related genes among groups. We found that an increased neutrophil signature was present in SLE subjects with high TBR and/or high NCB when compared with controls, but not in patients that had normal TBR and no increases in NCB (Figure 2A). To better understand how this gene signature relates to vascular disease, a composite score incorporating several upregulated neutrophil genes in high-TBR patients was generated. Lupus patients with high TBR or high NCB had a significantly higher neutrophil score compared with controls (P = 0.046 and P = 0.036, respectively). A linear regression of TBR with the neutrophil score was performed. Although not initially significant, this association attained significance after adjusting for confounding variables (Table 8). A linear regression of NCB with the neutrophil score showed a significant association in SLE subjects (NCB: \( \beta = 0.44, P < 0.001 \)) that persisted after adjusting for FRS, BMI, and statin use (Table 9). As the neutrophil-related gene signature corresponded with genes previously found to be significantly upregulated in LDGs compared with normal dense neutrophils (10), these results suggest that a significant portion of cells generating this blood signature represent LDGs (Figure 2A). To validate this finding, LDGs and normal-density neutrophils were purified from SLE subjects, and their transcripts of neutrophil-related genes were compared by real-time PCR. Confirming previous reports, LDGs expressed significantly higher levels of the genes found to be upregulated in the peripheral blood signature than normal-density neutrophils (Figure 2, B–G). Of note, the neutrophil score did not correlate with lupus medications, including steroids, or with disease duration, SLICC, or SLEDAI (not shown), but it did associate with protein/creatinine ratio (\( \beta = 0.366, \))
These results indicate that LDGs and LDG-related signatures in lupus blood significantly associate with in vivo vascular disease in SLE.

**Discussion**

The role of neutrophils in the development and progression of atherosclerosis has only recently begun to be significantly appreciated and studied (30). In other patient populations, recent clinical studies have reported direct correlations between neutrophil blood counts and CVD, CV mortality, and all-cause mortality (2, 31, 32). Neutrophil intracellular products have deleterious effects on the vasculature, and neutrophil alarmins and cathelicidins act as significant recruiters and perpetuators of innate and adaptive immune responses in the arterial wall (33). Furthermore, NET formation has recently been identified to play important roles in atherothrombosis and vascular damage (34, 35).

SLE represents a reliable human model to study the role of neutrophils in vascular dysfunction and atherosclerosis because these complications are present in a significant proportion of subjects affected by the disease and develop at an accelerated pace (36, 37). Furthermore, SLE patients display increased levels of a subset of neutrophils, which displays a distinct ability to form NETs, kill endothelial cells, and exhibit proinflammatory functions including type I IFN responses’ amplification, lipoprotein modification, and inflammasome activation (8–10, 12).

The main findings of this study include the identification that circulating LDG numbers and an LDG-driven gene signature, but not the FRS, significantly and independently associate with vascular inflammation and dysfunction and coronary NCB. This is particularly relevant because NCB predicts prospective CV events and is associated with high-risk plaque features in patients with and without inflammatory conditions (38–40). It is important to emphasize that FRS associated with calcified plaque burden did not associate with NCB, supporting that immune dysregulation and, specifically, neutrophil responses may be key drivers of vulnerable plaque, thus enhancing the risk of major cardiac adverse events. Future longitudinal studies will assess whether the presence of high NCB and LDGs in SLE predicts the development of high-risk plaque features and worse vascular outcomes.

Another important finding of this study is the association of impaired cholesterol efflux capacity with LDG numbers and NCB. In other patient populations, a link between impaired cholesterol efflux capacity and NCB was reported (40). We previously showed that lupus LDGs, through NET formation, can oxidize HDL in regions associated with induction of proinflammatory features and loss of HDL’s atheroprotective capacity (12). Our findings suggest that LDG-driven modified HDL present in SLE may promote foam cell formation and the development of inflamed, high-risk plaque.

Pathway analysis of blood RNA-Seq showed downregulation of genes involved in lymphocyte signaling and development in those SLE subjects with increased arterial inflammation. This is possibly explained by lymphopenia, as those subjects with higher TBR had lower lymphocyte counts (not shown), a hallmark of more active SLE (41). It is also possible that changes in subsets of lymphocytes with immunoregulatory roles account for changes in vascular inflammation, and future longitudinal studies will assess this. The pathway analysis of SLE with high NCB versus low NCB show differential expression of genes involved in NK cell signaling. This finding merits further exploration given that these and other related innate immune cells have been implicated in atherosclerosis development (42). The IFN pathway includes genes that were upregulated in patients with and without vascular involvement when compared with controls. This supports previous findings that SLE patients display enhanced type I IFN production (43). Future longitudinal assessments should evaluate whether the IFN signature predicts plaque progression, given previous studies that indicated a role for these cytokines in vascular damage in human and murine lupus (7, 44–46).

A key finding from the RNA-Seq analysis was that neutrophil signatures likely driven by LDGs were significantly associated with TBR and NCB in SLE. To our knowledge, this is the first study to examine the role of a specific subset of neutrophils, LDGs, with in vivo vascular disease in lupus. The contribution of genes known to be upregulated in LDGs to the neutrophil score supports the hypothesis that this subset promotes vascular damage in SLE. The apparent specificity of the neutrophil gene signature for patients with high levels of NCB should be further examined. While differences in blood cell subset composition between SLE and controls may contribute to these differences, it is important to emphasize that the contribution of neutrophil genes was restricted to those SLE patients with vascular disease. Given that current ability to predict CV risk in lupus is limited, future studies should investigate the potential that neutrophil gene signatures and/or LDGs have as vascular risk biomarkers.
Regarding study limitations, this was a cross-sectional evaluation, and longitudinal assessment of this cohort is ongoing to examine if any of these variables predicts CVD progression and CV events. While controls and SLE subjects were not completely matched by age, SLE patients continued to display significant abnormalities in multimodal phenotyping of vascular disease after age adjustment. The SLE cohort captured overall low disease activity; thus, future studies should address the phenotype of subjects with more severe disease. This may also explain, at least in part, why — compared with other lupus cohort studies — the levels of NET complexes in circulation were not significantly higher in SLE than in controls, and this could reflect nonimpaired NET clearance, which is a feature more characteristic of more severe SLE with active nephritis (47, 48). Nevertheless, the fact that vascular abnormalities and neutrophil associations are significant even in this well-controlled cohort support a prominent role for this neutrophil subset in driving premature CVD in lupus. As LDGs have recently been reported in other autoimmune conditions, it will be important to assess whether they also predict vascular damage and progression to atherosclerosis in other patient cohorts (49). Future studies should also confirm whether the neutrophil gene signature association with vascular disease is fully dependent on LDGs or whether other neutrophil subsets are also involved. There are several cell types in the artery that may take up FDG, including endothelial cells, smooth muscle cells, and immune cells, so it remains to be determined which cells were responsible for enhanced uptake. FDG uptake results need to be further supported in future studies by histologic correlation to improve specificity, although prior studies have performed similar evaluations (50). Of note, the number of individuals with previous thrombotic events or with associated antiphospholipid syndrome was small; therefore, this study was probably underpowered to detect whether a significant association exists between these variables and vascular inflammation or plaque. Finally, while no associations between medications and vascular abnormalities were detected, longitudinal assessments on how immunosuppressive drugs and other medications modulate vascular disease in SLE are needed.

Drugs that modulate NET formation, neutrophil function, and/or cholesterol efflux capacity are currently being investigated in preclinical and/or clinical studies in inflammatory conditions (35, 47, 51–55). Our study further supports the potential role of aberrant neutrophils in the pathogenesis of CVD in individuals with autoimmunity and suggests that targeting these pathways could have important roles in preventing and mitigating atherogenesis.

**Methods**

**Patient recruitment**

Healthy adults without any prior clinical CVD and adult patients that fulfilled revised criteria for SLE (56) were recruited from the NIH Healthy volunteer cohort and the NIAMS Lupus clinic or NIAMS Community Health Center, respectively. SLE subjects with estimated GFR < 60 ml/min/1.73 m² BSA did not undergo CCTA. Pregnant or lactating women or individuals with active infections were excluded from participation. Controls were excluded if they had any concomitant medical problems or were taking medications that would confound study results. After enrollment, controls were excluded if found to have HDL <40, BMI >35, diagnosed hypertension, and/or dyslipidemia. All individuals underwent physical examination and fasting blood and urine samples prior to vascular testing. Clinical and demographic characteristics, SLEDAI (27), SLICC Damage Index (28), and FRS (57) were calculated at each visit. Laboratory parameters including fasting blood glucose and lipid panel, white blood count with differential, and systemic inflammatory markers were quantified in the clinical laboratory at the NIH: HOMA-IR was calculated as (glucose [nmol/ml] + insulin [μIU/ml])/22.5 (58).

**Procedures**

$^{18}$F-FDG–PET/CT. This was performed following an overnight fast. Images were obtained approximately 60 minutes after administration of 10 mCi of $^{18}$F-FDG. All scans were completed using a 64-slice scanner (Siemens Biograph) acquiring 1.5 mm axial slices of the aorta. Standard bed positions of 3 minutes each were applied, and whole body scans were obtained for each patient from the vertex of the skull to the toes. The extent of $^{18}$F-FDG uptake within the aortic wall was measured with dedicated software (Osirix MD, Pixmeo SARL). Each arterial region of interest produced 2 measures of metabolic activity: a mean standardized uptake value (SUV$_{mean}$) and a maximal SUV (SUV$_{max}$), which were obtained in the aorta from the aortic outflow tract to the abdominal aorta. Regions of interest were also placed on 10 contiguous slices.
over the superior vena cava to obtain a single average background blood activity. The SUV_{mean} from each of the superior vena cava slices were then averaged to produce 1 venous value. To account for background blood activity, SUV_{max} values from each aortic slice were divided by the average venous SUV_{mean} value to yield TBR, a measure of vascular inflammation as previously described (15). Overall, 54 SLE subjects and 28 controls underwent this test (Supplemental Figures 1 and 2).

**Vascular function tests**

Subjects were asked to refrain from smoking and drinking caffeinated beverages for 24 hours and to fast for at least 6 hours prior to the tests. They were also asked to hold all medications including vasodilators, antihypertensives, and statins on the morning of the test. During testing, subjects were placed in a temperature-controlled quiet room in the supine position.

*CAVI.* CAVI was measured in both arms and ankles using VaSera-1500 (Fukuda Denshi Co.). After placing BP cuffs around arms and ankles and attaching electrocardiogram (EKG) electrodes to the upper arms, a microphone was placed on the sternal angle to record heart sounds. Measurements were automatically calculated using the VaSera VS-1000 software. The principle underlying CAVI has been discussed previously (59). Overall, 63 SLE subjects and 30 controls underwent this test (Supplemental Figures 1 and 2).

*Peripheral arterial tonometry (PAT).* Microvascular endothelial function was evaluated using PAT with an EndoPAT 2000 device (Itamar Medical Ltd.) as previously described (58). Finger probes were placed on symmetric fingers bilaterally, and a BP cuff was placed on 1 arm, with the other arm serving as the control arm. PAT was continuously measured for 20 minutes, and — in between, for 5 minutes — BP cuff was inflated to supra systolic pressure in the test arm. After occlusion dilatation, RH was captured by EndoPAT as an increase in the PAT signal amplitude and compared with the control arm. A postocclusion/preocclusion ratio was calculated by EndoPAT software, providing a RHI. Augmentation index (AI) was calculated from PAT pulses at the baseline period. The result is further normalized to heart rate of 75 bpm (AI@75). Overall, 58 SLE subjects and 30 controls underwent this test (Supplemental Figures 1 and 2).

*CTTA.* CCTA was performed using a 320-detector row scanner (Aquilion ONE ViSION). Coronary plaque was separately assessed in each of the main coronary arteries (left anterior descending, left circumflex, and right coronary artery) using QAngio CT (Medis). Total burden, calcified burden (CB), and NCB indices were calculated by dividing total vessel plaque volume by total vessel length. Imaging procedures included topogram/localizer images, coronary calcium score (i.e., noncontrast images of the heart), contrast timing images, and contrast enhanced images. Overall, 36 SLE subjects and 18 controls underwent this study (Supplemental Figures 1 and 2).

**Quantification of circulating LDGs**

Human PBMCs were isolated by Ficoll-Paque density gradient (GE Healthcare). Red blood cells were lysed with hypertonic solution, and PBMCs were resuspended in 2% FBS/PBS. After blocking 15 minutes with Human TruStain Fc Receptor Blocking Solution (BioLegend), cells were resuspended in FACS buffer and incubated with mouse anti-human CD10 (clone H10A, catalog 312209), –CD15 (clone HI98, catalog 301906), and –CD14 (clone HCD14, catalog 325610) antibodies (BioLegend) or isotype control for 15 minutes in the dark. Cells were fixed with 2% PFA. Data was collected using a BD FACS Canto RUO and analyzed using FlowJo Software Version 10. Cutoff values for positive staining were determined using compensation controls for each fluorophore. LDGs were classified as CD10+CD15+CD14lo as previously described (8). As previously shown, SLE patients had significantly higher levels of LDGs than controls (8).

**RNA isolation and RNA-Seq**

Peripheral blood was collected by venipuncture in PAXgene Blood RNA tubes (BD Diagnostics) and stored at –80°C. RNA was isolated using PAXgene Blood RNA Kit (Qiagen) following manufacturer’s instructions. cDNA libraries were prepared using the TruSeq Stranded mRNA NeoPrep Kit (Illumina). RNA-Seq data were generated with Illumina’s HiSeq 2500 or 3000 system. Raw sequencing data were processed with CASAVA 1.8.2 to generate FastQ files. Reads of 50 bases were mapped to the human transcriptome and genome hg19 using TopHat 2.1.1 (60). Reads mapped to hemoglobin genes (HBA1, HBA2, HBB, HBH) were removed from the TopHat-generated BAM files using BEDTools (61) and customized Bash scripts. Hemoglobin-removed BAM files were used for downstream analysis including reads per kilobase exon per million mapped reads (RPKM) calculations. Gene expression values were calculated with Partek Genomics Suite 6.6, which
was also used for the principal components analysis (PCA) and 1-way ANOVA. For analyses, patients were grouped as increased coronary NCB and/or high TBR (1.5 SDs above the mean of healthy controls) or normal NCB and/or normal TBR (within 1.5 SDs of the mean of healthy controls). Pathway analysis and gene annotation were completed using Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (Qiagen). RNA-Seq results have been deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database under the accession number GSE110685 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/).

A Z score, referred to as the neutrophil score, was created using the RPKM values for the most upregulated neutrophil genes in patients with high TBR (AZU1, MPO, CTSG, PRTN3, ELANE, DEFA3). The mean healthy control RPKM value was calculated and subtracted from each control and patient RPKM value for each respective gene. These values were then divided by the healthy control SD of each gene, and a sum of these numbers created the score for each patient and control that underwent RNA-Seq analysis.

LDG signature validation
Validation of the neutrophil LDG signature was performed on mRNA extracted from isolated LDGs from SLE patients, autologous normal-density neutrophils from SLE, and normal-density neutrophils from healthy control individuals. LDGs and normal-density neutrophils were isolated as previously described (8, 10). Cells were suspended in TRI Reagent (MilliporeSigma), and RNA was extracted using Direct-zol RNA Miniprep Kit (Zymo Research) according to the manufacturers’ instructions. RNA was reverse transcribed using iScript Reverse Transcription Supermix (Bio-Rad). Quantitative PCR (qPCR) was performed using SsoAdvanced Universal SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad). Gene expression was normalized to the GAPDH housekeeping gene, and fold induction was calculated using the healthy control NDN mean expression. Primer sequences were as follows; GAPDH, 5′ - TTGC-CATCAATGACCCTTCA - 3′ (forward), 5′ - CGCCCCACTTGATTTTGGG - 3′ (reverse); MPO, 5′ - TGCTGCCCTTTAGAACCTG - 3′ (forward), 5′ - GTCCTCGGAAGTTAGGGGT - 3′ (reverse); ELANE, 5′ - CTGCGGTGCTTTTTCTCCTG - 3′ (forward), 5′ - GCCGACATGACGAGTTGG - 3′ (reverse); PRTN3, 5′ - AACTACGAGCGGAGAACA - 3′ (forward), 5′ - CAGGGGAGGAAAGT-GCAAATG - 3′ (reverse); CTSG, 5′ - ACATGGCGTATCTCAGATCCA - 3′ (forward), 5′ - GCGG-CCAGGTGTACATTT - 3′ (reverse); AZU1, 5′ - ATGCCCCTACCTGATGGGAC - 3′ (forward), 5′ - CAGGCGGGAAGTCGCTCT - 3′ (forward), 5′ - ACACCACCAATGAGGCAGTTC - 3′ (reverse).

Cytokine quantification
The levels of 27 cytokines in serum were measured using the Bio-Plex pro human 27-plex immunoassay (Bio-Rad) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The Bio-Plex pro human cytokine standard group I was used as standards for the assays.

NET complex ELISA
Human neutrophil elastase-DNA complexes were measured in plasma as previously described (47). In brief, 96-well ELISA plates were coated overnight at 4°C with rabbit anti-human HNE (catalog 481001, Calbiochem). Plates were blocked in 1% BSA and incubated overnight with plasma in blocking buffer. After washing, plates were incubated for 1 hour at room temperature with anti-dsDNA mAb (clone BV16-13, catalog MAB030, MilliporeSigma). Plates were washed and incubated for 1 hour with anti–mouse IgG-HRP conjugate (catalog 1721012, Bio-Rad) followed by a wash and the addition of TMB substrate (MilliporeSigma) and stop reagent (MilliporeSigma). Absorbance was measured at 450 nm, and values were calculated as an OD Index.

HDL cholesterol efflux capacity
HDL cholesterol efflux capacity assessment was based on published methods using J774 cells (14). Briefly, cells were plated and radiolabeled with 2 μCi of 3H-cholesterol/ml. ATP-binding cassette transporter A1 (ABCA1) was upregulated by means of a 16-hour incubation with 0.3 mmol/l 8-(4-chlorophenylthio)-cAMP. Then, 2.8% apoB-depleted plasma was added to the efflux medium for 4 hours. To quantify the efflux of radioactive cholesterol from the cells, liquid scintillation counting was performed. Cholesterol efflux capacity was calculated by using the following formula: (μCi of 3H-cholesterol in media containing 2.8% apoB-depleted subject plasma – μCi of 3H-cholesterol in plasma-free media)/μCi of 3H-cholesterol
in media containing 2.8% apoB-depleted pooled control plasma – μCi of ³H-cholesterol in pooled control plasma-free media). The pooled plasma was obtained from 5 healthy volunteers. All assays were performed in duplicate. Overall, 62 SLE subjects and 34 controls had cholesterol efflux capacity assessed (Supplemental Figures 1 and 2).

Statistics
Descriptive statistics are presented as mean and SD or median and interquartile range for continuous variables and frequencies for categorical variables. Normality of distribution for continuous variables was assessed by both the Shapiro-Wilk normality test and by measures of skewness and kurtosis, deeming the dataset appropriate for parametric analysis. A 2-tailed Student’s t test was used for parametric data analysis, and a Mann-Whitney U test was used for nonparametric data analysis on samples from lupus and controls. Correlations were determined using Spearman’s correlation analysis and are reported as Spearman’s ρ. Standardized univariate regression analysis was performed, and β-coefficients and P values were reported. Multivariate linear regression analysis was performed with presence of lupus as the predictor variable and vascular function tests (TBR, CAVI, RHI, and coronary plaque) as dependent variables, adjusting for cardiovascular and cardiometabolic risk factors. Log conversion of certain variables were done to render their distribution normal. Sample size was based on previous publications of studies on SLE cohorts that study vascular disease (7, 46). Statistical analysis was performed using STATA 12.0 software (STATA Corp.). P ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant.
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