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Introduction

Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) is a multisystem autoimmune disease characterized by loss of tolerance to nuclear antigens, resulting in the formation of autoantibodies against DNA, RNA, and ribonuclear proteins, rampant immune activation, and tissue destruction (1). Though the sources of autoantigens in SLE are not known, the release of cellular contents from living or dying cells is considered the most likely possibility (2).

Neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs) are extruded DNA structures coated with neutrophil granule proteins (3). Early reports suggest that decondensed nuclear DNA is the major constituent of NETs and that the neutrophil dies at the conclusion of this process (4). More recently, nuclear DNA externalization without concomitant cell lysis (5) and extrusion of mitochondrial DNA (6–8) have been described. Classical NET formation in humans and mice is dependent on NADPH oxidase–generated reactive oxygen species (ROS) (4, 9), though rapid NADPH oxidase–independent NET formation has been reported (5, 10). Peptidyl arginine deiminase, type IV (Padi4), a distal mediator of NET formation, is critical for NET formation (11–16).

Though recent reports suggest that neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs) are a source of antigenic nucleic acids in systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), we recently showed that inhibition of NETs by targeting the NADPH oxidase complex via cytochrome b-245, β polypeptide (cybb) deletion exacerbated disease in the MRL.Fas+ lpr lupus mouse model. While these data challenge the paradigm that NETs promote lupus, it is conceivable that global regulatory properties of cybb and cybb-independent NETs confound these findings. Furthermore, recent reports indicate that inhibitors of peptidyl arginine deaminase, type IV (Padi4), a distal mediator of NET formation, improve lupus in murine models. Here, to clarify the contribution of NETs to SLE, we employed a genetic approach to delete Padi4 in the MRL.Fas+ model and used a pharmacological approach to inhibit PADs in both the anti–glomerular basement membrane model of proliferative nephritis and a human-serum-transfer model of SLE. In contrast to prior inhibitor studies, we found that deletion of Padi4 did not ameliorate any aspect of nephritis, loss of tolerance, or immune activation. Pharmacological inhibition of PAD activity had no effect on end-organ damage in inducible models of glomerulonephritis. These data provide a direct challenge to the concept that NETs promote autoimmunity and target organ injury in SLE.
are present in a subgroup of SLE patients, can induce in vitro NETs from SLE but not normal neutrophils, in a process dependent on FcγRIIA, ROS, and TLR7 (18). Activating Fcγ receptors (FcγRs) are critical for the pathogenesis of SLE nephritis (25), and neutrophil FcγRs promote renal injury (26), leading to the possibility that FcγR-mediated NET formation contributes to end-organ injury. More recently, 2 groups reported that anti-RNP antibodies and immune complexes (ICs) can induce the externalization of immunostimulatory oxidized mitochondrial DNA (7, 8). While SLE LDGs release oxidized mitochondrial DNA (8) and anti–oxidized mitochondrial DNA autoantibodies are elevated in pediatric SLE patients (7), the mechanism by which oxidized mitochondrial DNA is released in the context of SLE, its relationship to NET-like structures, and its role in disease pathogenesis remain controversial.

While NETs are associated with SLE pathogenesis, this hypothesis is challenged by murine studies in which classical NETs were abolished by genetically deleting cytochrome b-245, β polypeptide (cybb), an essential component of the NADPH oxidase complex, in the context of a lupus-prone genetic background (27). In these mice, not only was lupus still present but cybb deficiency exacerbated multiple manifestations of lupus and immune activation, including kidney disease. These results parallel prior observations in male chronic granulomatous disease (CGD) patients who carry an X-linked mutation in cybb (28), as well as their carrier mothers (29, 30). Furthermore, alleles of other components of the NADPH oxidase complex, neutrophil cytosolic factor (Ncf) 1 and 2, that are thought to be less functional, are positively associated with lupus across multiple ethnic groups (31–33). Although these studies argue against the hypothesis that NETs drive lupus, it remains possible that other immune dysregulatory effects of global cybb deficiency dominantly override the requirement for NADPH oxidase–driven NETs in this case. In addition, as there have been reports that various stimuli induce distinct types of NETs, it is possible that cybb-independent NET formation could drive SLE.

To address this pivotal controversy, it is important to evaluate a second independent approach to block NET generation in the setting of SLE. Padi4 is a natural target since neutrophils from Padi4-deficient mice fail to make NETs (11, 12). Additionally, pharmacological inhibition of Padi4 with potent and specific Padi4 inhibitors, GSK199 and GSK484, reduced NET formation in mouse and human neutrophils (15).

To investigate the role of Padi4 in lupus and nephritis, we combined genetic approaches to delete this molecule in the MRL.Fas<sup>Δr</sup> spontaneous mouse model of SLE, coupled with pharmacological inhibition of the PAD family of enzymes in 2 different IC-FcγR–mediated nephritis models (34) to comprehensively test the contribution of Padi4-mediated processes in systemic autoimmunity and end-organ injury. We chose to eliminate Padi4 in the MRL.Fas<sup>Δr</sup> strain, as it has been tested in both the context of cybb deficiency and PAD pharmacological inhibition (19, 20, 27), thus allowing for direct comparison of results.

The MRL.Fas<sup>Δr</sup> model of SLE is a leading system for the study of lupus since it has the advantage of being driven by multiple genes of the MRL background coupled with spontaneous onset, as in the case of human SLE (35). Fas deficiency accelerates the disease but is not required for it, nor does it generally impact outcomes of genetic or therapeutic manipulations (35). Notably, the MRL model recapitulates nearly all American College of Rheumatology diagnostic criteria (27, 36) and is both type I/type II IFN dependent (37, 38), thereby allowing for a comprehensive analysis of the impact of Padi4 deficiency on multiple aspects of disease.

Here, we show that abrogation of Padi4 by a genetic approach and pharmacological pan-PAD inhibition did not have any impact on clinical or immunological parameters of SLE in MRL.Fas<sup>Δr</sup> mice nor on the 2 induced nephritis models. Taken together with earlier work (27), these data challenge the concept that NETs, to the degree that NET generation relies on Padi4 (11–16, 39) or cybb (4, 9, 40–42), critically drives lupus and nephritis.

**Results**

_Padi4 deficiency has no impact on nephritis or dermatitis._ To assess the role of Padi4 deficiency in SLE, we initially generated F2 cohorts of Padi4-deficient mice on the MRL.Fas<sup>Δr</sup> background by crossing the _Padi4<sup>−/−</sup>_ C57BL/B6 strain with _Padi4<sup>Δr</sup>/<sup>Δr</sup>_ MRL.Fas<sup>Δr</sup>, followed by intercrossing F1 progeny. Resulting lpr homozygotes have 50% of the SLE loci and typically develop clinical features of SLE by 16 to 24 weeks of age. The F2 approach is an operationally reliable genetic screen to identify potential mediators of disease pathogenesis, an approach successfully used by our group and others (27, 43–46). To confirm our findings in the F2 experiments, we then generated fully backcrossed Padi4-deficient MRL.Fas<sup>Δr</sup> cohorts.
No differences in urine protein were detected in any of the F2 (Supplemental Figure 1A; supplemental material available online with this article; https://doi.org/10.1172/jci.insight.92926DS1) or fully backcrossed cohorts (Figure 1A), with the exception that Padi4 −/− males in the F2 cohort had more proteinuria than their Padi4-intact male counterparts (median score 2 vs. 1, \( P = 0.028 \)). Padi4 deficiency had no impact on dermatitis in the fully backcrossed cohort (Figure 1A). No statistically significant differences in glomerulonephritis or interstitial nephritis were detected among the different Padi4 genotypes in either cohort (Figure 1B and Supplemental Figure 1B). Similarly, Padi4 genotype did not impact splenomegaly and lymphadenopathy (Figure 1C and Supplemental Figure 1C).

Padi4 genotype has no impact on loss of tolerance or anti-self responses. Padi4 genotype did not generally alter the autoantibody response or Ig titers. We did not detect any change in anti-RNA antibody (Figure 2A and Supplemental Figure 2A), anti-Sm antibody (Figure 2B and Supplemental Figure 2B), anti-nucleosome antibody (Figure 2C and Supplemental Figure 2C), rheumatoid factor (Figure 2D and Supplemental Figure 2D), or total IgM and total IgG titers (Figure 2E) in the context of Padi4 deficiency. Notably, fully backcrossed but not the F2 Padi4 −/− males had elevated anti-Sm titers (Figure 2B). Concordant with these data, Padi4 genotype did not affect the percentage of CD19−/−CD44+CD138+ intracellular \( \kappa \) antibody-forming cells (AFCs) (Figure 2F and Supplemental Figure 2E). Furthermore, there were no statistically significant differences in total \( \kappa \) light chain AFC ELISpot results (Figure 2G and Supplemental Figure 2F). Similar AFC ELISpot results were obtained for the IgG1, IgG2a, and IgM isotypes (Figure 2, H–J).

Padi4 deficiency has little impact on the myeloid and lymphoid compartments. Padi4 genotype had only a minor impact on the myeloid compartment in MRL.Fas−/− mice. The percentages of CD11b+Ly6G+ bone marrow neutrophils and CD11b+F4/80+Gr1hi− macrophages were not statistically different among the groups in the fully backcrossed cohort (Figure 3A). Male Padi4 −/− F2s had an increased percentage...
of splenic neutrophils compared with \textit{Padi4}^{+/+} and \textit{Padi4}^{+/-} controls, a feature not seen in the backcrossed cohort (Figure 3B and Supplemental Figure 3A). Fully backcrossed female \textit{Padi4}^{–/–} mice had a greater percentage of splenic neutrophils compared with their heterozygous counterparts (Figure 3B). F2 \textit{Padi4}^{–/–} males had an increased percentage of splenic macrophages compared with \textit{Padi4}^{+/-} males (Supplemental Figure 3A). These findings are unlikely to be biologically significant, as these data are not mirrored in both the F2 and fully backcrossed cohort and are small in magnitude. No differences in the percentage of CD19–CD11c+MHCII+ conventional DCs (cDCs) (Figure 3C and Supplemental
Padi4 deficiency did not substantially impact the lymphoid compartment. All genotypes in both cohorts exhibited indistinguishable total percentages of CD19+ B cells and TCRβ+ T cells (Figure 3D). The percentages of CD4+ T cells and CD4+CD44+CD62L– activated T cells were also similar among wild-type, Padi4-deficient, and heterozygous mice (Figure 3E and Supplemental Figure 3C). Similar results were obtained for naive and activated CD8+ T cells (Figure 3F and Supplemental Figure 3D).

Figure formation in the cremaster muscle following the reverse passive Arthus reaction is PAD4 dependent and is inhibited by Cl-Amidine. Neutrophil recognition of deposited ICs in the glomeruli can trigger FcγR-dependent inflammation leading to glomerulonephritis (25, 47, 48). Upon stimulation of FcγR, neutrophils are activated to produce ROS and secrete lytic enzymes that cause local tissue damage (49). We have previously

Figure 3. Padi4 genotype does not substantially affect the myeloid, DC, or T cell compartments. (A and B) Percentages of live CD11b+Ly6G+ neutrophils (left panel) and CD11b+GR1lo-int F4/80+ macrophages (right panel) in the bone marrow (A) and spleens (B). (C) Percentages of live CD19 MHCII+CD11c+ conventional DCs (left panel) and CD19 BST2+CD11c+ plasmacytoid DCs (right panel). (D) Percentages of live CD19+ total B cells (left panel) and TCRβ+ total T cells (right panel). (E) Percentages of live TCRβ+CD4+ T cells (left panel) and of CD4+CD44+CD62L– activated T cells (right panel). (F) Percentages of live TCRβ+CD8+ T cells (left panel) and of CD8+CD44+CD62L– activated T cells (right panel). Data representation and statistics are as in Figure 2F (Padi4−/− males n = 13; Padi4+/− males n = 19; Padi4+/+ males n = 18; Padi4−/− females n = 8; Padi4+/− females n = 22; Padi4+/+ females n = 16). NS, not significant.
shown that binding of ICs to FcγRs can also trigger the release of NETs (10). Notably, in vivo, ICs formed upon induction of the reverse passive Arthus reaction (RPA) in the cremaster muscle of the mouse induced NET formation that required neutrophil FcγRs (10). To directly investigate the role of Padi4 in IC-FcγR–dependent NET formation, NETs were evaluated in Padi4−− mice subjected to the RPA reaction in the cremaster muscle, which results in IC deposition in the tissue that can be visualized by intravital microscopy (10). RPA-induced NET formation was significantly reduced in the Padi4−− compared with wild-type mice (Figure 4A). Likewise, treatment of mice with N-R-benzoyl-N\(^5\)-(2-chloro-1-iminoethyl)-L-ornithine amide (Cl-Amidine), a pan-PAD inhibitor, markedly reduced NET formation (Figure 4B). These findings suggest that Padi4 plays a crucial role in NET formation in vivo.

Figure 4. Cl-Amidine effectively reduces NET formation in the cremaster muscle following the reverse passive Arthus (RPA) reaction. The RPA reaction was induced in the cremaster muscle. Leukocyte recruitment and neutrophil extracellular trap (NET) formation was evaluated 3 hours later. Data are represented as a function of Padi4 genotype or Cl-Amidine administration. (A) NET-like structures were visualized with Sytox green using intravital microscopy. Representative micrographs are shown. Scale bar: 100 μm. (B and C) Number of leukocytes adherent to the vessel wall of animals in A. Number of transmigrated leukocytes within 75 μm of each side of the vessel over 100 μm. Bars graphs denote the mean ± SEM and a Welch’s t test was performed to determine statistical significance (A [left] and B vehicle and Cl-Amidine treated n = 5 mice per group, A [right] and C vehicle n = 3 mice per group; Cl-Amidine n = 4 mice per group [right panel of C]). *P < 0.05. NS, not significant.
reduced NET generation to a similar degree as in Padi4−/− animals (Figure 4A), while neutrophil recruitment to the vessel wall and interstitial space was unaffected (Figure 4B and Figure 4C). The Cl-Amidine was effective when given 1 hour before or for 3 consecutive days with the last dose 20 hours before the experiment (Figure 4A). These data indicate that FcγR-mediated NET generation relies on Padi4 and that Padi4 deletion or Cl-Amidine treatment effectively reduces FcγR-dependent NET formation in vivo without affecting neutrophil recruitment.

Inhibition of PADs has no effect on kidney damage following anti-GBM nephritis. To independently confirm our genetic results that Padi4 deficiency did not affect nephritis in the MRL.Fas−/− lupus model, we tested Cl-Amidine in a murine model of proliferative anti–glomerular basement membrane (anti-GBM) nephritis induced by the injection of rabbit anti-GBM–containing sera in mice expressing FcγRIIA selectively on neutrophils (48). In this model, antibodies directed against the GBM trigger an inflammatory reaction that results in severe damage of the kidney that depends on neutrophil FcγRIIA. Daily treatment of mice subjected to the anti-GBM nephritis model with Cl-Amidine did not inhibit the development of kidney damage, as measured by proteinuria (Figure 5A) and histological signs of inflammation (Figure 5B). Further analysis of leukocyte recruitment to the kidneys showed no reduction in Cl-Amidine–treated versus vehicle-treated animals (Figure 5C).

PAD inhibition does not ameliorate kidney damage in a passive human SLE serum–transfer model of lupus nephritis. To further evaluate the effects of PAD inhibition specifically in the development of organ damage relevant to
human disease, we used a passive human SLE serum-transfer model of nephritis (34). In this model, mice that transgenically express the human FcγRIIA selectively on neutrophils and additionally lack the β2 integrin Mac-1 are susceptible to developing nephritis upon the i.v. injection of serum from patients with SLE (34). At day 10 after sera injection such mice develop proteinuria that correlates with neutrophil infiltration into the kidneys. Histological signs of disease including hypercellularity, endocapillary proliferation, and crescent formation are evident at day 14. Daily i.p. treatment with Cl-Amidine did not reduce albuminuria (Figure 6A) or histological signs of kidney damage (Figure 6B). Moreover, we did not observe a reduction in neutrophil or macrophage infiltration compared with vehicle-treated animals (Figure 6C).

**Discussion**

Collectively, these genetic and inhibitor studies in multiple relevant models fail to support the hypothesis that targeting of Padi4, and by extension Padi4-dependent NET generation, alters immune system composition or pathology in SLE. Execution of 2 independent genetic experiments using a mixed background F2 and a fully backcrossed Padi4-deficient MRL.Fas−/− cohort, with both yielding the same results, strengthens these conclusions. Furthermore, FcγRs play a key role in autoimmune-mediated organ damage (50, 51). We demonstrate that pharmacological inhibition of PADs using Cl-Amidine had no effect.
on neutrophil recruitment or glomerular injury in 2 independent models of IC-FcγR–mediated glomerulonephritis. Moreover, corroborating these studies, Padi4 deficiency does not reduce renal disease or the anti-self response in the pristane model of SLE (52).

Our Padi4-deficient MRL.Fas<sup>−/−</sup> results are discordant with previously reported PAD inhibitor studies utilizing Cl-Amidine and BB-Cl-Amidine in both the MRL.Fas<sup>−/−</sup> (19) and New Zealand mixed 2328 (NZM) (20) models. One possible explanation is that the (halo) acetamidine-based PAD inhibitors could affect other PAD family members (19, 53). As with most inhibitors administered at high dose over long periods in vivo, it is difficult to exclude off-target effects even beyond the related PAD enzymes. Despite the fact that only Padi4 has been implicated in NET formation and its deletion demonstrates a nonredundant effect on NET assays (11, 12, 15), it can be speculated that the ability of (halo) acetamidine-based PAD inhibitors to block related enzymes could account for the differences between specific genetic deletion of Padi4 and prior inhibitor studies. However, we believe this explanation to be less likely as Cl-Amidine pan-PAD specificity would not account for the lack of phenotype identified in the inhibitor studies using inducible models of glomerulonephritis reported here.

Moreover, our inhibitor data in IC-FcγR–dependent nephritis models conflict with a recent report by Ander’s group demonstrating that Cl-Amidine reduces severity of proliferative nephritis in the anti-GBM model (54). The discrepancies with published data are unlikely due to differences in treatment protocols or pharmacokinetic issues. As our studies were conducted in multiple models and in various mouse strains housed at 3 different animal facilities, it is unlikely that the lack of observed phenotype is due to differences in the microbiome. Furthermore, disease in MRL.Fas<sup>−/−</sup> germ-free mice was not grossly altered (55), again suggesting that the microbiome is not a major factor in this model.

Although Cl-Amidine has a short half-life, it is an irreversible inhibitor, allowing for prolonged inhibition of NET generation (56). We confirmed Cl-Amidine efficacy in vivo by visualizing NETs in the cremaster muscle following the RPA reaction by intravital microscopy. Cl-Amidine continued to significantly reduce NET formation to levels observed in Padi4-deficient mice (Figure 4A) 20 hours after administration, reinforcing the conclusion that the protocol of Cl-Amidine treatment in our nephritis model was effective in reducing PAD activity. Since PAD inhibition reduces NET formation to that observed in Padi4-deficient mice, it is unlikely that other PAD family members are serving in a compensatory capacity to generate NETs.

Neutrophils exposed to lupus serum and SLE-associated stimuli have a greater propensity to generate NETs (18, 20, 34). However, our data disassociate the correlation between NET formation in lupus patients and mice from disease pathogenesis. Close analysis of published data in clinical settings is consistent with this dissociation. For instance, while NET degradation is impaired in some SLE patients, in fact 59%–88% of SLE patients actually do degrade NETs adequately (21, 22, 57). Additionally, no statistical correlation was found between autoantibody titers, therapy, SLEDAI, and ex vivo NET production at baseline (17). Taken together, the data reported here coupled with our previously published results in cybb-deficient lupus-prone mice should lead the field to revisit and question whether NETs per se are critical drivers of autoimmunity or end-organ damage.

Further, an important contribution of the current work is that it reveals a distinction between Padi4- and cybb-deficient lupus-prone mice, in that only the latter get exacerbated disease. As cybb and Padi4 operate at distinct phases of NET-formation pathways, it remains possible that the aberrant products of presumably frustrated NET generation that would occur in the absence of each required protein might differ, in turn accounting for the disparate disease phenotypes. As cybb deficiency leads to markedly exacerbated disease, the products of neutrophil death in the absence of cybb could be uniquely immunogenic. Alternatively, though not exclusively, cybb deficiency might promote disease owing to lack of a fundamental regulatory activity of cybb. Indeed, there are multiple reported means by which NADPH oxidase can inhibit inflammation (58, 59), including neutrophil- (60, 61), macrophage- (62), and lymphocyte-dependent (63, 64) mechanisms. Recently, cybb function was found to be required for LC3-associated phagocytosis (LAP) (65). LAP has been linked to the concurrent production of suppressive rather than proinflammatory cytokines, and mice incapable of performing LAP develop autoimmunity (66).

NETs are evolutionarily conserved, suggesting a homeostatic function (67). Consistent with the modified view favored by our results, other recent publications suggest that NETs are not always proinflammatory and may even be immunomodulatory. Clearance of NET-like structures is an immunologically silent process and does not lead to proinflammatory cytokine production (68). Mice immunized with NETs do not break tolerance and show trace urinary protein levels up to 14 weeks after immunization (69). Moreover, aggregated NETs can ameliorate inflammation by inducing proteolytic cleavage of inflammatory mediators.
(61). NETs thus may have evolved, in part, to protect us from rather than promote autoimmunity (70).

**Methods**

Detailed supplemental methods are available online.

**Mice.** Padi4-deficient C57BL/6 mice were a gift from Yanming Wang (Center for Eukaryotic Gene Regulation, Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania, USA). F1 offspring were generated by crossing Padi4-deficient mice on the C57BL/6 background, with the MRL.Fas<sup>+</sup> strain (The Jackson Laboratory, catalog 000485). Offspring were then intercrossed to generate an F2 cohort. F2 Fas<sup>+</sup> homozygotes were analyzed for disease pathology as described below. Fully backcrossed mice were obtained by crossing the Padi4<sup>−/−</sup> allele onto the MRL. Fas<sup>+</sup> background for 9 generations. Heterozygous mice were then intercrossed to produce an experimental cohort. SLE pathology was analyzed at 16 weeks for F2 and 17 weeks for backcrossed cohorts.

FcγRIIA-expressing, γ-chain–deficient (FcγRIIA<sup>+/γ−</sup>) mice and FcγRIIA-expressing, γ-chain–deficient, and Mac1-deficient (FcγRIIA<sup>+/γ−</sup>/Mac1<sup>−/−</sup>) mice were generated as previously described (34, 48).

Wild-type C57BL/6 were obtained from Jackson Laboratories (catalog 000664).

All mice were housed under specific pathogen–free (SPF) conditions.

**Evaluation of SLE pathology.** MRL.Fas<sup>+</sup> SLE cohorts were analyzed as previously described (27, 37).

**RPA reaction in the cremaster muscle.** The RPA reaction in the cremaster was performed as described previously (10). In experiments using Cl-Amidine (Millipore), mice received 10 mg/kg Cl-Amidine or vehicle control via i.p. injection either 1 hour before the experiment or for 3 consecutive days with the last dose 20 hours before the experiment (20).

**Serum-transfer SLE nephritis.** Nephritis was induced using serum from SLE patients as previously described (34). Mice received either vehicle control (PBS) or Cl-Amidine at 10 mg/kg/d i.p. (20) for the duration of the experiment.

**Anti-GBM nephritis.** Experimental anti-GBM nephritis was induced as previously reported (48). Mice received either vehicle control (PBS) or Cl-Amidine at 10 mg/kg/d (20) i.p. for the duration of the experiment.

**Statistics.** Statistical analysis was performed using Prism 6.0 (GraphPad). A Kruskal-Wallis test with post-hoc Dunn's test, 2-tailed Mann-Whitney <i>U</i> test, 2-tailed Welch's <i>t</i> test, and a 1-way ANOVA with post hoc Holm-Sidak test were performed where indicated and appropriate. A <i>P</i> value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Study approval.** Animal studies were approved by (a) the University of Pittsburgh IACUC, (b) Yale University's IACUC, or (c) the Harvard Medical School Animal Care and Use Committee.
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