Cannabinoid CB$_1$ receptor overactivity contributes to the pathogenesis of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis
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Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) is a life-threatening disease without effective treatment, highlighting the need for identifying new targets and treatment modalities. The pathogenesis of IPF is complex, and engaging multiple targets simultaneously might improve therapeutic efficacy. To assess the role of the endocannabinoid/cannabinoid receptor 1 (endocannabinoid/CB$_1$R) system in IPF and its interaction with inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) as dual therapeutic targets, we analyzed lung fibrosis and the status of the endocannabinoid/CB$_1$R system and iNOS in mice with bleomycin-induced pulmonary fibrosis (PF) and in lung tissue and bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF) from patients with IPF, as well as controls. In addition, we investigated the antifibrotic efficacy in the mouse PF model of an orally bioavailable and peripherally restricted CB$_1$R/iNOS hybrid inhibitor. We report that increased activity of the endocannabinoid/CB$_1$R system parallels disease progression in the lungs of patients with idiopathic PF and in mice with bleomycin-induced PF and is associated with increased tissue levels of interferon regulatory factor-5. Furthermore, we demonstrate that simultaneous engagement of the secondary target iNOS by the hybrid CB$_1$R/iNOS inhibitor has greater antifibrotic efficacy than inhibition of CB$_1$R alone. This hybrid antagonist also arrests the progression of established fibrosis in mice, thus making it a viable candidate for future translational studies in IPF.

Introduction

Fibrosis is a highly prevalent, multifactorial, and progressive pathogenic process affecting many organs, including the lungs (1). Pulmonary fibrosis (PF) is a life-threatening disease that may benefit from simultaneously targeting multiple pathways to improve therapeutic efficacy. Combination therapy can achieve this, but its practicality is often limited by complicated pharmacokinetics and dosing schedules as well as extensive drug-drug interactions and adverse effects (2). Indeed, clinical trials using combination therapies for idiopathic PF (IPF) have yielded disappointing results, showing lack of efficacy or even worsening outcomes (3). Although the FDA recently approved pirfenidone and nintedanib for the treatment of IPF, both compounds have tolerability problems, modest efficacy, and poor pharmacokinetic properties in clinical use (4, 5). Polypharmacology is an emerging strategy that involves the use of a single chemical entity to target multiple therapeutic pathways (6). A rational application of polypharmacology in the case of IPF may involve simultaneously targeting distinct pathways affecting alveolar inflammation and the fibrotic process itself. For instance, a pathway regulating redox balance together with any other profibrotic pathway might be a rational target for polypharmacology, in view of the dysregulation of oxidant/antioxidant balance in fibrotic disorders, including IPF, resulting in increased production of reactive oxygen and nitrogen species, which likely contribute to respiratory failure and poor survival (7, 8).

Inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) catalyzes the generation of inflammatory reactive nitrogen species involved in cell injury. iNOS is induced in fibrotic lung tissues in mice (9, 10) and humans (11), and the early proliferative response of human pulmonary fibroblasts to inflammatory stimuli is associated with increased iNOS gene expression (12). iNOS protein levels are strongly correlated with the degree of PF in human lung
tissue, and low expression of iNOS is associated with reduced risk of death (13). Furthermore, iNOS inhibitors have antifibrotic activity in animal models of PF (14, 15), making iNOS a viable therapeutic target for PF.

The endocannabinoid/cannabinoid receptor 1 (endocannabinoid/CB₁R) system is another rational therapeutic target in PF. Endocannabinoids are bioactive lipids that act on cannabinoid receptors, CB₁R and CB₂R, that also recognize and mediate the effects of marijuana (16). Endocannabinoids acting via CB₁R promote fibrosis progression in multiple organs, including liver (17–21), kidney (22, 23), heart (24), and skin (25), and CB₂R has been linked to radiation-induced PF in mice (26). In addition to promoting fibrosis, activation of CB₁R is proinflammatory in chronic inflammatory diseases (27, 28), whereas the brain-penetrant CB₁R antagonist/inverse agonist rimonabant mitigates liver fibrosis in animal models (17). However, the potential role of CB₁R in IPF has not yet been investigated either in the human disease or in an animal model.

Here, we evaluated the role of the endocannabinoid/CB₁R system and iNOS in human IPF and in the bleomycin-induced mouse model of PF (BL-PF). Our observations point to an overactivity of both the endocannabinoid/CB₁R system and iNOS, as assessed in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF) and lung tissue from both human subjects with IPF and mice with BL-PF as well as their respective controls. We also provide evidence that one of the downstream mediators of CB₁R activation in the fibrotic lung is interferon regulatory factor-5 (IRF5). Furthermore, the antifibrotic efficacy of an orally bioavailable, peripherally restricted CB₁R/iNOS hybrid inhibitor in mice with BL-PF was found to exceed that of inhibitors of either target alone, which supports the therapeutic potential of simultaneously targeting these two pathways.

Results

Increased anandamide levels in BALF from patients with IPF. Endocannabinoid levels are increased locally in certain organs and/or in the systemic circulation in disorders such as obesity, diabetes, and liver fibrosis (21, 28–30). Eventually, this results in an overactive endocannabinoid/CB₁R system that contributes to disease pathology. We analyzed human plasma and BALF from normal healthy volunteers and patients with IPF by measuring the level of the endocannabinoids, anandamide (arachidonoyl ethanolamide [AEA]) and 2-arachidonoylglycerol (2-AG). In BALF, AEA content was significantly elevated in IPF relative to normal healthy volunteers, whereas there was no change in 2-AG levels (Figure 1A). Plasma AEA and 2-AG levels were unaffected by IPF (Figure 1B). AEA levels in BALF were negatively correlated with pulmonary function in these patients (Figure 1C and Table 1).

Overexpression of CB₁R and iNOS proteins in fibrotic human lung tissue. Next, we evaluated CB₁R and iNOS protein levels by immunohistochemistry in lung tissue from patients undergoing lung transplantation and found that CB₁R and iNOS proteins were dramatically increased in IPF lungs (Figure 2A), which also exhibited marked alveolar interstitial collagen deposition (Figure 2B). The increased tissue level of AEA, coupled with the increased expression of its target CB₁R, points to an overactive endocannabinoid/CB₁R system in IPF.

Overactive endocannabinoid/CB₁R system and iNOS in mice with BL-PF. We also analyzed the endocannabinoid/CB₁R system in a bleomycin-induced murine model of PF. Lung fibrosis was histologically and biochemically evident on day 7 after oropharyngeal instillation of bleomycin, as reflected in increased collagen deposition (Figure 3A), higher Ashcroft score (Figure 3B), and higher hydroxyproline content (Figure 3C), and further progression coupled with increased mortality was evident by days 14 and 18 (Figure 3D). Gene expression of the fibrogenic markers Tgfl1, Col1a1, and Timpl1 was progressively elevated in the lungs (Figure 3E). This was coupled with significant and progressive increases in AEA, but not 2-AG, levels in both BALF and lung tissue (Figure 3, F and G), similar to the findings in human IPF (Figure 1A). Furthermore, Cnr1 and Nos2 mRNA were significantly increased both in lung and BALF cells at day 18 after bleomycin (Figure 3, G–I). Interestingly, at the 7-day time point, Cnr1 mRNA was increased in BALF cells but not in lung, whereas early increase in Nos2 mRNA was evident in lung but not in BALF cells. The progressive increase of CB₁R in the lungs of mice with BL-PF is similar to findings in human IPF, lending support to the translational relevance of this mouse model regarding the overactivity of the endocannabinoid system in PF.

The cellular levels of AEA reflect the balance between its biosynthesis and degradation, catalyzed primarily by the enzymes N-acyl phosphatidylethanolamine–specific phospholipase D (NAPE-PLD) and fatty acid amidase hydrolase (FAAH), respectively. We found that Napepld expression in murine lung tissue was unchanged with bleomycin treatment at days 7 and 14 days relative to control, whereas Faah expression was remarkably reduced at day 7 and remained at this level at day 14 (Supplemental Figure 1; supplemental material available online with this article; https://doi.org/10.1172/jci.insight.92281DS1). This suggests that reduced FAAH activity may be one of the mechanisms by which AEA levels increase in the fibrotic lung.
Cellular localization of CB₁R in BL-PF. In parallel with the increase in AEA levels and CB₁R gene expression, CB₁R protein levels were also elevated in lungs of BL-PF mice (Figure 4A), akin to those observed in human IPF lung tissue (Figure 2A), although the cellular localization of CB₁R is yet to be explored. Alveolar type 2 epithelial cells (ATII cells) and alveolar macrophages showed CB₁R-positive immunoreactivity in human IPF lung tissue (Figure 4B). Since ATII cells, alveolar macrophages, and myofibroblasts all have important roles in lung fibrogenesis, we attempted cell-type–specific localization of CB₁R using double immunohistochemistry and confocal microscopy. CB₁R expression by ATII cells and alveolar macrophages was indicated by their colocalization with surfactant protein C (SP-C) and CD68, respectively (Figure 4C). On the other hand, α-smooth muscle actin–positive (α-SMA–positive) lung myofibroblasts did not appear to have detectable CB₁R expression (Figure 4C).

Cnr1–/– and Nos2–/– mice display decreased fibrogenesis and improved survival relative to WT mice with BL-PF. Pharmacological inhibition or genetic deletion of Cnr1 was reported to mitigate PF and improve survival in mice following thoracic irradiation (26), but a similar role of CB₁R in IPF and BL-PF has not yet been investigated. On the other hand, the involvement of iNOS in human IPF and in BL-PF was suggested in several prior studies (11, 15). In view of the observed activation of both the endocannabinoid/CB₁R system and iNOS in BL-PF, we explored the effect of gene deletion of Cnr1 and Nos2 on fibrosis development in this model. Bleomycin-induced fibrosis was diminished in both Cnr1–/– and Nos2–/– mice relative to WT mice, as determined by Masson trichrome staining (Figure 5A), Ashcroft scoring (Figure 5B), and tissue hydroxyproline content (Figure 5C). Bleomycin-induced fibroblastic activity was also attenuated in both Cnr1–/– and Nos2–/– mice, as quantified by α-SMA immunostaining (Figure 5, A and D). Cnr1–/– and Nos2–/– mice both displayed improved survival rates following bleomycin instillation (Figure 5E). The expression of the fibrogenic genes Tgfb1, Ctgf, Pdgfc, Col1a, Fn1, and Timp1 in lung tissue was also significantly reduced in both strains, relative to similarly treated WT mice (Figure 6A). Furthermore, gene expression and protein levels of the inflammatory and fibrogenic chemokines, monocyte chemotactic protein-1 (MCP-1, also known as C-C motif ligand 2 [CCL2]) and...
C-X-C motif chemokine 12 (CXCL12), were significantly attenuated by the deletion of Cnr1 but not Nos2 (Figure 6, B and C). Bleomycin-induced Arg1 gene expression was also attenuated by the deletion of Cnr1 (Figure 6D).

The endocannabinoid/CB1R system and iNOS are independently activated in BL-PF. The bleomycin-induced elevation of AEA levels was attenuated by Cnr1 but not by Nos2 deletion (Figure 7A), whereas the parallel increases in iNOS activity were attenuated in Nos2−/− mice but remained unaffected by Cnr1 deletion (Figure 7B). These findings support the deleterious role of CB1R overactivation and increased iNOS activity in lung fibrosis, while also suggesting a distinct role of these two pathways in PF pathogenesis, which justifies their combined targeting for treatment.

Deletion of Cnr1 prevents the bleomycin-induced proinflammatory and fibrogenic phenotype in alveolar macrophages. Alveolar macrophages contribute to the development of PF (31), and overactivity of macrophage CB1R is proinflammatory (27, 28). Therefore, CB1R expressed in alveolar macrophages may contribute to lung inflammation and fibrogenesis. Bleomycin treatment induced a progressive increase in AEA content and CB1R expression in BAL cells (Figure 3, F and H), which paralleled the increase in fibrosis markers (Figure 3, B, C, and E). We next analyzed the immune cell population and alveolar macrophage activation in cells isolated by flow cytometry from BALF of WT, Cnr1−/−, and Nos2−/− mice at 7 and 14 days after bleomycin delivery. Bleomycin-induced elevation on BAL cellularity was similar in WT mice and mice lacking either the Cnr1 or Nos2 genes (Supplemental Figure 2A). Additionally, bleomycin-induced increases in the levels of CD11c+ alveolar macrophages and infiltrated GR1+ neutrophils, CD4+ T lymphocytes, CD19+ B lymphocytes, and NK1.1 cells were similar in the three mouse strains (Supplemental Figure 2, B–D, and Supplemental Figure 3). CD11c+ alveolar macrophages were further sorted into CD11b+ proinflammatory and CD206+ profibrotic cells. The bleomycin-induced increase in CD11b+ cell number and expression intensity was evident already after 7 days, whereas these increases were delayed and only appeared after 14 days in the case of CD206+ cells (Figure 8).

Furthermore, deletion of Cnr1, but not Nos2, blunted the increase in both the abundance and the intensity of cell surface expression of the respective antigens in these two cell types (Figure 8).

Combined CB1R/iNOS inhibition has superior antifibrotic efficacy in BL-PF over CB1R inhibition alone.
We developed MRI-1867 as a dual-target peripheral CB1R/iNOS inhibitor and demonstrated its superior efficacy in rodent models of liver fibrosis relative to inhibition of CB1R or iNOS alone (21). MRI-1867 and rimonabant are equipotent in antagonizing CB1R. We selected an oral dose of 10 mg/kg for rimonabant and MRI-1867 and an intraperitoneal dose of 10 mg/kg for AM6545, because this dose...
causes near-maximal antagonism of the CB,R agonist–induced decrease in upper GI motility (21). Following oral administration of 10 mg/kg MRI-1867, the peak concentration measured in lung tissue (~20 μM, Supplemental Figure 4A) was well above concentrations that inhibit iNOS activity, as determined in LPS-injected mouse lung homogenates (Supplemental Figure 4B). In contrast, rimonabant at concentrations of up to 10 μM did not inhibit iNOS activity in the same in vitro assay (Supplemental Figure 4B). The peripherally restricted CB,R antagonist AM6545 was pharmacologically characterized earlier (32), and its antifibrotic efficacy was recently shown on prevention of radiation-induced PF (26). We next compared the antifibrotic efficacy of MRI-1867, rimonabant, and AM6546 by chronic administration of a 10 mg/kg daily oral dose for rimonabant and MRI-1867 or intraperitoneal dose for AM6545 to mice with bleomycin-induced fibrosis. Treatment was started either immediately following bleomycin administration for rimonabant and MRI-1867 (to assess their ability to prevent fibrosis development) or 7 days after bleomycin instillation for rimonabant, MRI-1867, and AM6545 (to assess slow down or progression arrest of PF), as illustrated in Figure 9, A and B, respectively.

In the prevention paradigm, MRI-1867 and rimonabant yielded survival rates of 92% and 80%, respectively, both of which were significantly higher than the 36% survival rate in the vehicle group (Figure 9A). Similarly, both MRI-1867 and rimonabant significantly reduced fibrosis development, as quantified by hydroxyproline content (Figure 9C).

In the fibrosis progression arrest paradigm, only MRI-1867 caused a significant increase in survival rate (100% with MRI-1867 compared with 36% in the vehicle group), whereas the 70% and 71% survival rates in the rimonabant and AM6545 groups were not significantly different from that of the vehicle group (Figure 9B).

In the progression arrest paradigm, only MRI-1867, and not the single target CB,R antagonists, was able to significantly attenuate fibrosis compared with the vehicle group, as indicated by the reduction of lung hydroxyproline content (Figure 9C), which implies the role of iNOS inhibition in this effect.

Similarly, MRI-1867 was significantly more efficacious than rimonabant in attenuating the expression of the fibrogenic genes Ctgf, Pdgfc, Timp1, Col1a, and Fn1, although their efficacy was comparable in reducing Tgfb1 expression (Figure 10A). The bleomycin-induced increase in iNOS activity was unaffected by rimonabant but completely abrogated by MRI-1867 (Figure 10B), which mirrored the similar effect of Nos2 deletion but not Cnr1 deletion (Figure 7). On the other hand, the bleomycin-induced

Figure 4. Cellular localization of CB,Rs after bleomycin induction in fibrotic mouse lung. CB,R immunohistochemistry in mouse lung tissue sections from WT control, WT BL-PF, and Cnr1–/– BL-PF at 14 days after bleomycin challenge (A). CB,R immunohistochemistry in human idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) lung tissue, showing CB,R expression in alveolar macrophages (AMΦ) and alveolar epithelial type 2 (ATII) cells. Original magnification: ×40 (B). CB,R/SP-C, CB,R/CD68, and CB,R/α-SMA double immuno-histochemistry and imaging by confocal microscopy in lung tissue from WT BL-PF mice. Colocalization marked by white arrows, with distinct localization marked in yellow. Original magnification, ×40 (C). Scale bar: 25 μm (A, bottom row); 100 μm (A, top row).
increase in AEA levels was completely abolished by either rimonabant or MRI-1867, reflecting the role of CB1R blockade (Figure 10C).

We also tested partial CB1R antagonism using a dose of 3 mg/kg/d for both rimonabant and MRI-1867 in both the fibrosis prevention and the progression arrest paradigms (Supplemental Figure 5). As expected, survival rates were lower than for the 10 mg/kg/d doses (Figure 9) but were qualitatively similar. As before, only MRI-1867, and not rimonabant, caused a significant increase in survival rate in the progression arrest paradigm (Supplemental Figure 5). These data confirm the deleterious role of the two targets in PF and support the rationale of their joint targeting for treatment.

Figure 5. Effect of Cnr1 and Nos2 gene deletion on fibrosis development and survival in mice. Collagen deposition and myofibroblast activation in lung visualized by Masson trichrome staining and α-SMA immunostaining at 14 days after bleomycin challenge (A), as quantified by Ashcroft scoring (B), hydroxyproline (hyp) content (C), and α-SMA staining (D). Survival curve of mice after bleomycin challenge. Survival by day 18 was analyzed by using log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test comparing WT, Cnr1−/−, and Nos2−/− mice (E). Data represent box-and-whisker plots; horizontal lines represent the median and 25th to 75th percentiles, and whiskers represent minimum and maximum values from n = 5 (control), 20 (WT), 9 (Cnr1−/−), and 11 (Nos2−/−) mice. Data were analyzed by 1-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s multiple comparisons test. *P < 0.05 indicates significant difference from control group in WT. #P < 0.05 indicates significant effect of gene deletion relative to WT in the bleomycin-challenged group. Scale bar: 100 μm.
(28). Because we observed that CB₁R activation is proinflammatory and profibrotic in alveolar macrophages, we investigated the regulation of Irf5 expression by CB₁R in lung tissue during PF. Bleomycin treatment induced Irf5 expression, which was attenuated either by genetic deletion or pharmacological inhibition of CB₁R but not by deletion of Nos2 (Figure 11, A and B). A similar pattern of changes was noted in the IL1β tissue levels in the lung (Figure 11C), which is compatible with our earlier findings that IRF5 mediates CB₁R-induced IL1β secretion in proinflammatory macrophages (28).

Discussion
Here, we report, for the first time to our knowledge, a robust upregulation of both CB₁R and one of its endogenous ligands, AEA, in lung and BALF samples from patients with IPF and from mice with BL-PF, suggesting a pathogenic role of the endocannabinoid/CB₁R system in IPF. This was strongly supported by the findings that Cnr1<sup>−/−</sup> deletion in mice reduced bleomycin-induced fibrosis, improved survival (Figures 5 and 6), and reduced the activation of alveolar macrophages (Figure 8), suggesting that pulmonary CB₁R is both profibrogenic and proinflammatory in BL-PF.

The expression of the proinflammatory enzyme iNOS was also induced in both human IPF and in
mice with BL-PF, and Nos2 deletion similarly provided partial protection from BL-PF. These findings identify both CB1R and iNOS in lung tissue as therapeutic targets in PF. Most importantly, we tested the therapeutic strategy of simultaneously inhibiting these two proteins with a single chemical entity, resulting in significantly greater attenuation of fibrosis progression and improvement in survival rate compared with equivalent antagonism of CB1R alone. This was most evident in a paradigm testing the arrest of progression of established bleomycin-induced fibrosis, in which treatment with the hybrid CB1R/iNOS inhibitor completely arrested fibrosis progression and dramatically improved the survival rate from 25% to 100%, making the current findings clinically more relevant.

Injury of lung epithelial cells is considered critical for the initiation of the fibrotic process. In addition, immune cells have an important role in alveolar inflammation and progression to PF. Therefore, multicellular interactions in the lung constitute the fibrogenic environment and affect progression of the disease. In the lung, CB1R is expressed in different cell populations, including ATII cells (35), bronchial epithelial cells (36), and both resident and infiltrating alveolar macrophages (37). We found a high degree of colocalization of CB1R with the ATII cell marker SP-C (Figure 4B). ATII cells play an important role in recruiting fibrocytes (38) and promoting fibroblast proliferation in IPF (39) by producing fibrocyte-recruiting chemokines such as MCP-1 and CXCL12 (40, 41) and fibrogenic growth factors such as CTGF (42). In fact, fibrocyte recruitment is considered an indicator of poor prognosis in IPF (43). Bleomycin induced significantly greater increases in Ccl2 and Cxcl12 gene expression in WT mice than in Cnr1–/– mice (Figure 6B), and protein levels of MCP-1 and CXCL12 in lung were also dramatically attenuated in Cnr1–/– mice (Figure 6C), which implicates endocannabinoids acting via CB1R in promoting chemokine secretion. Furthermore, a recent study exemplified an interaction between ATII cells and alveolar macrophages in fibrogenesis: MCP-1 secretion is increased in ATII cells from mice with Hermansky-Pudlak lung fibrosis, which increases alveolar macrophages in the lung, resulting in increased TGF-β secretion (44). In the current study, bleomycin-induced Tgfb1 gene expression was attenuated both in Cnr1–/– mice and following CB1R antagonist treatment. This suggests that activation of CB1R in ATII cells may be one of the cell-type–specific mechanisms in the pathogenesis of PF. This intriguing possibility remains to be further explored.

We found that CB1R and AEA are present in cells isolated from BALF and that their expression is strongly increased following bleomycin administration (Figure 3, F and H). Interestingly, Cnr1 mRNA in BALF cells and AEA levels in BALF were already highly elevated during the early inflammatory phase at day 7 of bleomycin treatment and increased further during the fibrogenic phase from day 14 to 18 (Figure 3, F and H). BALF cells constitute a mixed population of immune cells, and future studies will aim to explore cell-type–specific changes in endocannabinoids and their receptors in this cell population. In contrast to the time course observed in BAL cells, Cnr1 expression in the lung specimens remained unchanged at days 7 and 14 and only increased by day 18 following bleomycin treatment (Figure 3I). This is compatible with the notion that an early increase in endocannabinoid tone in proinflammatory cells triggers similar changes in lung tissue, which then promotes the later stages of fibrogenesis. The late increase in CB1R expression in the lungs of BL-PF mice parallels the robust increase in CB1R protein levels in the lungs of patients with severe IPF who subsequently had lung transplantation (Figure 2A).

We also analyzed the influence of CB1R and iNOS activity on the activation of alveolar macrophages present in BALF. Alveolar macrophages are detectable as a CD68dimF4/80dimCD11c+ cell popu-
lation in BALF, which is distinct from the phenotype of other tissue macrophages. This alveolar macrophage population usually does not express the M1 marker CD11b but expresses CD206 (macrophage mannose receptor). Nonetheless, it is known that during fibrogenesis alveolar macrophages acquire a proinflammatory and profibrotic phenotype, which is characterized by elevated expression of both CD11b and CD206 (45, 46). There is evidence for increased expression of CD206+ macrophages in alveolar macrophages isolated from patients with IPF versus controls, which is compatible with the fibrogenic role of this subset of alveolar macrophages (47). In the present study, bleomycin treatment induced a selective increase in both the number of CD11b+ cells and the cell surface expression of CD11b within 7 days, whereas similar changes in CD206+ cells only appeared 14 days after bleomycin treatment (Figure 8, B and C). These data suggest that CD11b+ alveolar macrophages contribute the proinflammatory milieu that promotes fibrosis initiation at day 7, at which time the number of CD206+ macrophages was still low (Figure 3, A–C, and Figure 8, B and C). On the other hand, a late
rise in fibrogenic CD206+ alveolar macrophages at day 14 could support their role in fibrosis progression. Interestingly, Cnr1−/− deletion moderated the bleomycin-induced increase in both CD11b and CD206 expression compared with cells from similarly treated WT mice (Figure 8). Although both CB1R and iNOS are expressed in alveolar macrophages, only CB1R is involved in activating alveolar macrophages, which reflects the distinct roles of these two targets in PF pathogenesis. This further supports the dual, proinflammatory and profibrogenic, function of CB1R in alveolar macrophages. CB1R, possibly on ATII cells, also promotes the secretion of chemokines involved in macrophage activation, as discussed above (Figure 6). The multicellular fibrogenic mechanisms modulated by CB1R in the lung are schematically illustrated in Figure 12.

There are multiple myeloid cell subsets in the lung, such as alveolar macrophages, interstitial macrophages, lung monocytes, and blood monocytes (48). We found colocalization of CB1R with the macrophage marker CD68 in the lung (Figure 4B). However, flow cytometry analyses using BALF cells limited our observations to the alveolar macrophage subpopulation. Considering the proinflammatory function of CB1R in macrophages (27), further studies are warranted to explore the role of CB1R in other macrophage subsets in PF.

Macrophages in the lung play an important role in regulating inflammation, injury, and fibrosis (49). IRF5 has emerged as a master regulator of the proinflammatory polarization of macrophages (50) and has also been implicated in promoting acute and chronic inflammatory conditions in the lung (51). Furthermore, IRF5 is overactivated in bleomycin-induced skin and lung fibrogenesis, whereas genetic deletion of IRF5 mitigates these conditions (34). IRF5 is also involved in the activation of liver macrophages during liver fibrosis in mice and human subjects, and its expression positively correlated with clinical markers of liver damage, whereas deletion of IRF5 in myeloid cells protects the liver from fibrogenesis (33). Together, these findings...
highlight the essential role of IRF5 in fibrogenesis, as a regulator of macrophage activation. We recently reported that IRF5 is an obligatory mediator of CB1R-induced β cell loss by promoting the secretion of the cytotoxic cytokines TNF-α and IL1β in macrophages infiltrating the pancreatic islets (28). In the current study, bleomycin treatment induced Irf5 expression and IL1β protein levels in the lung, both of which were abrogated either by deletion or pharmacological antagonism of CB 1R (Figure 11), supporting the role of IRF5 as a downstream mediator of the proinflammatory effect of CB1R activation in the fibrotic lung.

The question arises as to what mechanism(s) trigger the increased endocannabinoid/CB1R signaling, which then contributes to lung fibrogenesis. The observed selective increase in AEA, but not 2-AG, levels in the fibrotic lungs of both mice and patients with IPF suggests the involvement of biosynthetic
and/or degradative pathways unique to AEA, as reported earlier in response to various proinflammatory stimuli (52, 53). We found that the gene expression of FAAH, the enzyme responsible for the in vivo metabolism of AEA, was downregulated in the mouse lung as early as day 7 after bleomycin treatment and remained low during the fibrogenic phase, whereas gene expression of the AEA biosynthetic enzyme NAPE-PLD remained unchanged (Supplemental Figure 1). Thus, reduced enzymatic degradation of AEA likely accounts for the parallel increase in its tissue levels by day 7 and its subsequent plateau (Figure 10C). Indeed, a similar decrease in FAAH expression as well as activity in fibroblasts has been documented in experimental systemic sclerosis, which was exacerbated by genetic deletion or pharmacological inhibition of FAAH (54).

Multiple cell types, including infiltrating immune cells, are believed to contribute to inflammation and fibrogenesis in PF, and macrophages, dendritic cells and lymphocytes have all been found to have elevated levels of AEA in response to inflammatory stimuli (52, 53, 55, 56). On the other hand, human lung macrophages isolated from lungs adenocarcinoma patients, which display M2-like polarization, do not contain elevated levels of AEA following in vitro exposure to LPS (37). In addition, pulmonary inflammation induced by aerosolized LPS, which is not associated with significant cell damage, exhibited neutrophil infiltration and secretion of inflammatory cytokines but no increase in AEA in BALF (57). These latter findings suggest that acute inflammation alone may be insufficient to trigger an increase in AEA in BALF cells, which may require additional injury to interstitial cells and probably chronic epithelial cell injury.

As for the parallel upregulation of CB₁R in the fibrotic lung, this may be secondary to the increase in AEA levels. There is published evidence for “autoinduction” of CB₁R expression, whereby endocannabinoid activation of CB₁R induces CB₁R gene expression in various cell-types, including T lymphocytes (58) and hepatocytes (59), and, conversely, chronic CB₁R blockade reverses the upregulation of hepatic CB₁R in mice with diet-induced obesity (60).
Epithelial cells in various tissues may also respond to injury by increasing the cellular levels of AEA (30, 61). Thus, multiple cell types may contribute to the increase in AEA levels and CB1R expression in the fibrotic lung, which warrants further studies. As for iNOS, its elevated activity induces nitrosative stress via reactive nitrogen species, which eventually promotes epithelial tissue injury. iNOS expression in ATII cells increases BL-PF (11). In agreement with previous studies, we observed increased expression and activity of iNOS in lung tissue with both experimental and clinical PF (11, 62). Nos2–/– mice displayed lower levels of fibrosis than WT mice after bleomycin challenge (Figure 5), in agreement with published findings (11, 15); this also suggested that pharmacological inhibition of iNOS has therapeutic benefit in PF (14, 15). Our finding that combined inhibition of CB1R and iNOS results in greater antifibrotic efficacy than equivalent inhibition of CB1R alone confirms this notion, and it also indicates that iNOS signals through targets not engaged by CB1R. Although we did not explore such targets in detail in the lung fibrosis model, we have previously reported that, in bile duct ligation–induced liver fibrosis, increased expression of the profibrogenic genes Pdgfb/Pdgfrb and Nlrp3/Pycard and integrin αvβ6 was linked to increased iNOS but not CB1R signaling (21), and these targets may also be uniquely engaged by iNOS in the lung. In the current study, CB1R blockade or Cnr1 deletion resulted in profound downregulation of the expression of another set of major profibrogenic genes, such as Tgfb1, Ctgf, Pdgfc, Col1a, Fn1, and Timp1, in the fibrotic lung, with no or much less pronounced decreases in the lungs of Nos2–/– mice (Figure 6A and Figure 10A). This suggests that the Tgfβ1 pathway may be a dominant target of CB1R-mediated fibrogenesis in PF. The alveolar macrophages are a known source of Tgfβ1 that contributes to the profibrogenic milieu in the lung (63). In contrast to the preferential activation of fibrogenic gene expression by CB1R over iNOS in the lungs of bleomycin-treated mice, iNOS activity was not significantly affected by Cnr1 deletion, whereas it was absent in the Nos2–/– mice (Figure 7), suggesting corresponding differences in the degree of nitrosative stress. Thus, unique activation of distinct fibrogenic pathways by the two molecules likely accounts for the additive antifibrogenic effect resulting from their joint targeting for inhibition.
Although there are recently approved therapies available for IPF (see Introduction), they do not completely arrest progression of the disease. The limited success of medications with a single target suggests that multitargeted therapies may be more effective, considering the multifactorial pathology of IPF. Here, we report that a dual-target hybrid inhibitor of peripheral CB₁R and iNOS completely arrested the progression of BL-PF and dramatically improved the survival rate in a progression arrest treatment paradigm, providing proof of principle for a polypharmacology approach in this preclinical model of IPF. MRI-1867 is orally bioavailable and peripherally restricted to minimize the CNS liability observed in first-generation, brain-penetrant CB₁R antagonists. This and the favorable pharmacokinetic and druggable safety properties of this compound (21) should make it a viable candidate for future translational studies in IPF.

**Methods**

**Chemicals.** MRI-1867 was synthesized as described previously (21). Rimonabant was obtained from the National Institute of Drug Abuse Drug Supply Program (Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, USA). Fumonisin B₁ was from Cayman. AM6545 was from Tocris. [³⁵C(U)]Arginine was from Perkin-Elmer. Bleomycin (cell culture grade) and all the other chemicals were from Sigma-Aldrich.

**Pulmonary function tests for human subjects.** Pulmonary function testing was performed as described previously (64). Briefly, forced vital capacity, total lung capacity, and diffusion capacity of carbon monoxide measurements were performed in accordance with guidelines from the American Thoracic Society (SensorMedics). Values were expressed as percentages of predicted values.

**BALF and lung tissue procurement for human subjects.** BALF was isolated and lung tissue fixed in 10% formalin as procured as described previously (65, 66).

**Endocannabinoid measurement.** The tissue levels of endocannabinoids were measured by stable isotope dilution liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) as described previously (67).

**Animals.** Eight- to ten-week-old male C57BL/6J mice were obtained from The Jackson Laboratory. Cnr1⁻/⁻ mice were generated as described previously (68). Nos2⁻/⁻ mice were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory. Cnr1⁻/⁻ and Nos2⁻/⁻ mice were on a C57Bl/6J genetic background. Mice were maintained under a 12-hour-light/12-hour-dark cycle and fed ad libitum. Mice were kept on a standard laboratory diet (NIH-31 rodent diet; Teklad NIH-31, Envigo).

**Oropharyngeal aspiration of bleomycin.** We used a BL-PF model by delivering bleomycin via oropharyngeal aspiration as previously defined (69), with slight modifications. Briefly, mice were anesthetized with intraperitoneal injection of ketamine/xylazine (84 mg/ml of ketamine/1 ml/kg of xylazine) and placed on a surgery board in the supine position at an angle of 45°, with the head immobilized by an elastic band across the upper incisors. Using a sterile forceps, the mouth was then nudged open and the tongue was gently pulled out and toward the mandible and lower incisors. This maneuver allowed visualization of the vocal cords under adequate lighting. Lidocaine gel (2%) was applied topically by a cotton swab to numb the vocal cords and thus prevent their spasm, which could cause dyspnea or choking. Bleomycin (1 U/kg) was delivered through the oropharynx using a sterile 100-μl pipette during inspiration at a volume of 100 μl/50 g body weight. Sterile saline was used as vehicle and applied to the control groups. The animals were then allowed to recover from the anesthesia.

**Survival analysis for mice.** Survival curves were plotted by using GraphPad Prism 6 software. Survival was analyzed by using log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test for assessing statistical difference between animal groups.

**BALF and BAL cell harvesting from mice.** BALF was collected from anesthetized mice by lavaging lungs 3 times with 0.5 ml Hanks’ balanced salt solution without calcium and magnesium (HBS-) (Sigma-Aldrich). Then, collected BALF was diluted 2-fold by adding 1.5 ml HBSS-. BAL cells were collected by centrifugation at 500 g for 7 minutes at 4°C. Supernatant was collected as BALF for further analysis, and 100 μl BALF was subjected to endocannabinoid measurements. BAL cells were further used for either gene expression analysis or flow cytometry experiments.

**BAL cell preparation and flow cytometry experiments.** Collected BAL cells were resuspended in 1 ml PBS containing 0.5% bovine serum albumin (0.5%BSA-PBS) and centrifuged at 500 g for 7 minutes at 4°C. 300 μl ACK lysis buffer (Lonza) was added to the resulting pellet and incubated for 15 minutes at 37°C to remove red blood cells. The cell suspension was washed twice with 3 ml 0.5%BSA-PBS by centrifuging at 500 g for 7 minutes at 4°C. The resulting pellet was suspended in 1 ml 0.5%BSA-PBS, and the total number of the BAL cells was established by counting cells under a microscope. The cells were preincubated with Mouse BD Fc Block (purified rat anti-mouse CD16/CD32 [2.4G2] [catalog 553142]; BD Biosciences) at 4°C for 15 minutes and then stained with APC-conjugated anti-CD11b (catalog 101212), APC-conju-
gated anti-CD206 (catalog 141708), PerCP-conjugated anti-F4/80 (catalog 123126), PE-conjugated anti-CD68 (catalog 137014), FITC-conjugated anti-CD11c (catalog 117306), PE-conjugated anti-GR-1 (catalog 108408), and PE-conjugated anti-NK1.1 (catalog 108707) (all from BioLegend) and FITC-conjugated anti-CD19 (catalog 557398) and APC-conjugated anti-CD4 (catalog 553051) (both from BD Biosciences Phar mingen) for 30 minutes at 4°C. The cells were centrifuged twice at 500 g for 7 minutes at 4°C. Then, the stained cells were fixed by adding 100 μl PBS containing 1% paraformaldehyde. The gating strategies for neutrophils, alveolar macrophages, and lymphocytes are shown in Supplemental Figure 4. For instance, following autofluorescence of BAL cells, alveolar macrophages were assessed using unstained cells, and alveolar macrophages were then defined as a autofluorescence-positive, F4/80dim, CD11c-positive population (Auto+F4/80dimCD11c+) (Supplemental Figure 3). Flow cytometry analysis was performed using FACSCalibur (BD Biosciences) and analyzed with FlowJo software (TreeStar).

Real-time PCR analyses. RNA extraction was performed using RNeasy Mini Kits from Qiagen. One microgram of total RNA was reverse transcribed to cDNA using the Bio-Rad iScript cDNA synthesis kit. Expression of the target gene was quantified with gene-specific primers and PowerSYBRGreen master mix using a StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR instrument from Applied Biosystems. Predesigned mouse kit. 

RNA extraction was performed using RNeasy Mini Kits from Qiagen. One microgram of total RNA was reverse transcribed to cDNA using the Bio-Rad iScript cDNA synthesis kit. Expression of the target gene was quantified with gene-specific primers and PowerSYBRGreen master mix using a StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR instrument from Applied Biosystems. Predesigned mouse kit.

Chemokine measurements. Lung levels of MCP-1 and CXCL12 abundance were measured using the CCL2 or CXCL12 mouse quantikine ELISA Kits, respectively (R&D Systems).

Drug treatment. The compounds were administered by oral gavage once daily as indicated. Vehicle was used at ratio of DMSO/Tween 80/saline (1:1:18). Oral formulations were applied at 1 mg/ml or 0.3 mg/ml concentrations to achieve doses of 10 or 3 mg/kg, respectively.

Tissue levels of drugs. MRI-1867 levels were measured by LC-MS/MS as described previously (21).

Radioisotopic iNOS activity assay. iNOS activity in lung tissue was performed as described previously (21).

Hydroxyproline measurement. The degree of lung fibrosis was quantified biochemically by measuring hydroxyproline content of lung extracts using LC-MS/MS as described previously (21). The left lung was excised, wet tissue weight was recorded, and the tissue was snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and kept at –80°C until use. Lung tissue was homogenized in 0.1 N perchloric acid (PCA) at a ratio of 100 mg/ml. Then, an equal volume of 12 N HCL was added, and the homogenate was hydrolyzed at 100°C for 4 hours. Hydrolyzed samples were vortexed and centrifuged at 10,000 g for 10 minutes, and 5 μl hydrolysate was diluted 200-fold by the addition of 995 μl of 0.1 N PCA. The hydroxyproline level was analyzed by LC-MS/MS under conditions described earlier (21). Values are expressed as nmol/left lung.

Histology and immunohistochemistry. The right lung was used for histology. During lung harvesting, first the left bronchial arm was ligated and the left lung was removed for biochemical analyses. The remaining right lung was then inflated by 1.5 ml of 10% neutralized formalin solution introduced into the trachea using a syringe. The right bronchial arm was then ligated to keep the lung inflated before its removal. The right lung was then fixed in 10% neutralized formalin solution, embedded in paraffin, and sectioned (4 μm) onto glass slides. Immunohistochemistry was performed as described previously (21) by using primary antibodies against iNOS (Abcam, 15323), CB R (anti-mouse L15) (70), SP-C (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-7705), CD68 (Abcam, 31630), and α-SMA (Abcam 7817). For fluorescence detection, secondary antibodies coupled to Alexa Fluor 488 or Alexa Fluor 555 were used and sections were analyzed using a Zeiss LSM700 confocal microscope. Immunostaining intensity was quantified by using ImageJ software (NIH Public Domain) by a person blind to the sample ID. Quantification of images from one sample was averaged for actual data presentation for each sample (n = 6 per group).

Masson's trichrome staining. Histological staining was performed using Masson's Trichrome Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) with a slight optimization of the supplier's microwave staining protocol. 4-μm tissue sections were stained with the following time adjustments: D1 water rinse adjusted to 5 minutes after Bouin's Fluid (Thermo Fisher Scientific); Weigert's Iron Hematoxylin stain adjusted to 3 minutes; Biebrich Scarlet-Acid Fuchsin solution adjusted to 2 minutes; and Aniline Blue Solution adjusted to 25 minutes. All other steps were performed as instructed by the manufacturer.

Ashcroft scoring. Images were taken at ×200 magnification from at least 8 randomly selected areas per
lung tissue slide. Three readers scored the same fields independently (0 = no fibrosis; 8 = severe fibrosis) and were blinded to study group.

Statistics. Statistical analysis was performed by unpaired 2-tailed Student’s t test or by 1-way ANOVA, as appropriate and indicated in figure legends. P < 0.05 was considered significant.

Study approval. Subjects with IPF and healthy research volunteers provided written informed consent and enrolled in protocol 04-HG-0211, “Specimen Procurement for Individuals with Pulmonary Fibrosis,” which was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the National Human Genome Research Institute. Study eligibility criteria were previously described (71). All animal procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of NIAAA, NIH, and the experiments were carried out in accordance with the accepted guidelines.
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