Liver fibrosis, a consequence of chronic liver injury and a way station to cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma, lacks effective treatment. Endocannabinoids acting via cannabinoid-1 receptors (CB1R) induce profibrotic gene expression and promote pathologies that predispose to liver fibrosis. CB1R antagonists produce opposite effects, but their therapeutic development was halted due to neuropsychiatric side effects. Inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) also promotes liver fibrosis and its underlying pathologies, but iNOS inhibitors tested to date showed limited therapeutic efficacy in inflammatory diseases. Here, we introduce a peripherally restricted, orally bioavailable CB1R antagonist, which accumulates in liver to release an iNOS inhibitory leaving group. In mouse models of fibrosis induced by CCl4 or bile duct ligation, the hybrid CB1R/iNOS antagonist surpassed the antifibrotic efficacy of the CB1R antagonist rimonabant or the iNOS inhibitor 1400W, without inducing anxiety-like behaviors or CB1R occupancy in the CNS. The hybrid inhibitor also targeted CB1R-independent, iNOS-mediated profibrotic pathways, including increased PDGF, Nlrp3/Asc3, and integrin αvβ6 signaling, as judged by its ability to inhibit these pathways in cnr1−/− but not in nos2−/− mice. Additionally, it was able to slow fibrosis progression and to attenuate established fibrosis. Thus, dual-target peripheral CB1R/iNOS antagonists have therapeutic potential in liver fibrosis.
Hybrid inhibitor of peripheral cannabinoid-1 receptors and inducible nitric oxide synthase mitigates liver fibrosis
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Introduction

Fibrosis results from excessive extracellular matrix deposition that accompanies chronic inflammation or wound healing and is a key pathogenic process in many organs, including the liver. Liver fibrosis is a consequence of chronic liver injury associated with alcoholic or nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, viral hepatitis, or metabolic diseases (1). Although the pathogenesis of fibrosis has common features across different organs, the exact mechanisms involved are not fully understood. Unfortunately, there is no effective antifibrotic therapy approved for human use; therefore, there is an urgent need for identifying novel treatment modalities.

For multifactorial chronic diseases, such as fibrosis, the conventional pharmacological approach based on the “one-disease/one-target/one-drug” paradigm limits therapeutic efficacy and could be improved by simultaneously hitting multiple therapeutic targets (2). Although this could be achieved by combination therapy, there are drawbacks to using multiple chemical entities, such as differences in pharmacokinetics and target organ engagement, and a wider range of drug-drug interactions and adverse effects. These issues could be mitigated by developing a single drug that has more than one therapeutic target. When applied to liver fibrosis, such an approach could aim at both preventing its progression and reversing the process, leading to improved liver function (3). This could best be achieved by engaging targets involved not only in...
the fibrotic process itself, but also in the underlying pathologic conditions that predispose to liver fibrosis, as described above.

One such target is the endocannabinoid/cannabinoid-1 receptor (endocannabinoid/CB1R) system. Endocannabinoids are lipid signaling molecules that act on the same cannabinoid receptors — CB1 and CB2 — that mediate the effects of marijuana (4). An overactive endocannabinoid/CB1R system contributes to visceral obesity and its complications (5), including type 2 diabetes (6), and also plays a role in the pathology of alcoholic fatty liver disease (7) and viral hepatitis (8). Conversely, CB1R blockade has beneficial effects in preclinical models of these conditions (7, 9) as well as in overweight individuals with metabolic syndrome (10). Endocannabinoids acting via CB1R have also been implicated in liver fibrosis in the absence of obesity, and the prototype CB1R inverse agonist rimonabant has moderate antifibrotic efficacy in animal models (11). However, rimonabant and related brain-penetrant CB1R antagonists cause psychiatric side effects due to blockade of CB1R in the CNS, which halted their therapeutic development. Non-brain-penetrant CB1R antagonists have recently been reported to retain the metabolic benefit of globally acting compounds (6, 12, 13), but their therapeutic potential in liver fibrosis has not yet been evaluated.

Another potential therapeutic target involved in both fibrosis and its underlying pathologies is inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS), an enzyme that catalyzes the generation of proinflammatory reactive nitrogen species. iNOS-producing hepatic macrophages have been identified as the main fibrogenic cell population in experimental liver fibrosis (14), which can be attenuated by genetic deletion (15) or pharmacologic inhibition of iNOS (16). Furthermore, increased iNOS activity contributes to alcoholic liver disease (17) and the progression of viral hepatitis (18) and is also involved in diet-induced steatohepatitis (19), insulin resistance (20), and obesity-associated inflammation and endoplasmic reticulum dysfunction (21). Thus, iNOS is another promising therapeutic target for liver fibrosis. However, first-generation iNOS inhibitors lacked oral bioavailability (16), whereas more recently developed, orally bioavailable iNOS inhibitors have had disappointingly low therapeutic efficacy in clinical trials involving inflammatory diseases (22).

Here, we introduce a peripherally restricted CB1R inverse agonist, which accumulates in the liver to release a metabolically cleaved leaving group with potent iNOS inhibitory activity, resulting in high expo-
Sure of both targets in the liver without detectable exposure of CB₁R in the brain. In two mouse models of liver fibrosis, the antifibrotic efficacy of the hybrid compound exceeds that of rimonabant due to inhibition of profibrotic pathways uniquely engaged by iNOS, as verified through the use of mice deficient either in CB₁R or iNOS, and is able not only to slow fibrosis progression, but also to attenuate established fibrosis.

Figure 2. Peripheral selectivity of MRI-1867. (A) Tissue distribution of MRI-1867, as determined by LC-MS/MS 1 hour after acute or 28-day chronic oral dosing at 3 and 30 mg/kg. Numbers below graphs indicate concentrations in nM of unbound MRI-1867 in brain, determined by equilibrium dialysis using brain membranes from CB₁R⁻/⁻ mice. (B) Brain CB₁R occupancy by MRI-1867 or rimonabant, as determined by CB₁R PET following acute or chronic treatment with the indicated doses. Data in A and B represent mean ± SEM from 3–4 mice/group. Data from the same 3 vehicle-treated mice were used as controls for both the acute and chronic pretreatment groups, and the same representative CB₁R PET image from a vehicle-treated mouse is shown for both the acute and chronic pretreatment groups. (C) Rimonabant (3 mg/kg), but not MRI-1867 (3-30 mg/kg), is anxiogenic in the elevated plus maze test (n = 6 [vehicle], n = 5 [rimonabant], and n = 6 [MRI-1867] mice/group). Data in B and C were analyzed by 1-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s multiple comparisons test, *P < 0.05 relative to vehicle.
**Results**

*Design and pharmacological properties of a dual CB1R/iNOS inhibitor.* In order to optimize the antifibrotic therapeutic potential of CB1R blockade, we have modified the structure of the brain-penetrant CB1R antagonist/inverse agonist ibipinabant (23) to generate compounds with limited brain penetrance while retaining high potency and selectivity as CB1R antagonist and also acting as parent drug or pro-drug to directly inhibit iNOS activity.

The design of peripherally restricted hybrid CB1R/iNOS inhibitors involved chemically attaching an acetamidine moiety, known for its iNOS inhibitory activity (24), to the core chemical scaffold (see the Methods, Figure 1, and Supplemental Figures 1 and 2; supplemental material available online with this article; doi:10.1172/jci.insight.87336DS1). Acetamidine is a polar chemical moiety with limited ability to penetrate biological membranes, so its chemical attachment to the apolar sulfonylurea scaffold would increase the polar surface area of the hybrid structure, limiting its brain penetration. Furthermore, the hybrid compound could serve as a pro-drug and a carrier for the iNOS inhibitory moiety, facilitating the
delivery of the latter into hepatocytes and resulting in high target exposure. The chemical structure of the lead compound (−)-MRI-1867, from here on referred to as MRI-1867, and its physicochemical and pharmacological properties are illustrated in Figure 1. The absolute configuration of MRI-1867 was 4S, as indicated by the X-ray diffraction data (Supplemental Figure 3B). The low brain penetrance of MRI-1867 is reflected in its very low (~3%) brain/plasma C\textsubscript{max} ratio after either acute or 28-day chronic oral administration of the maximally efficacious dose of 3 mg/kg, with no significant accumulation in the brain evident after chronic dosing (Figure 2A). Of note, the compound accumulated highly in the liver (Figure 2A), yet displayed no hepatotoxicity, as reflected by normal plasma levels of alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and aspartate aminotransferase (AST) following 28-day chronic administration of oral doses of 3 or 30 mg/kg (ALT: 28.5 ± 1.3 U/l, 31.8 ± 4.8 U/l, and 26.2 ± 3.4 U/l; AST: 39.7 ± 5.4 U/l, 44.0 ± 6.1 U/l, and 36.2 ± 1.2 U/l in control, 3, and 30 mg/kg drug groups, respectively). The low brain penetrance of MRI-1867 could be partly attributed to its increased polar surface area relative to rimonabant and ibipinabant (Table 1) but also to its being a substrate of p-glycoprotein-mediated reverse transport at the blood-brain barrier. This is suggested by an efflux ratio of 2.5 in a Caco-2 permeability assay (Supplemental Table 1) and further confirmed by the marked increase in its brain penetrance and brain CB\textsubscript{1}R occupancy, associated with a central CB\textsubscript{1}R-mediated behavioral effect in mdr\textsubscript{1α}−/− mice (Supplemental Figure 4).

![Figure 4: Effects of rimonabant or MRI-1867 on progression of biliary fibrosis.](image)

Wild-type, cnr\textsubscript{1}−/−, and nos2−/− mice received daily oral doses of 3 mg/kg of either compound for 2 weeks, starting on the day of bile duct ligation (BDL). (A) Liver fibrosis determined histologically by Sirius red staining; fibrosis area analyzed by computerized morphometry or (B) by hydroxyproline content. Scale bar: 100 μm. Data represent mean ± SEM from 6 (nos2−/−) or 9 mice/group (wt, cnr\textsubscript{1}−/−). Data in A and B were analyzed by 1-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s multiple comparisons test. Significant difference from corresponding values in sham-operated controls (*P < 0.05), from values in vehicle-treated BDL group (#P < 0.05), or between rimonabant and MRI-1867-treated groups (+P < 0.05).
with a 10 times higher dose, unbound drug concentrations in the brain (0.9–1.2 nM) remained below the Ki for CB1R (Figure 2A). As a result, acute or chronic treatment of mice with 3–30 mg/kg MRI-1867 failed to cause significant displacement of a CB1R PET ligand (25) in in vivo PET imaging studies, whereas 3 mg/kg rimonabant caused significant, marked displacement (Figure 2B). Accordingly, MRI-1867 at doses up to 30 mg/kg did not induce anxiety-like behavior in the elevated plus maze, an effect triggered by CB1R blockade in the CNS, whereas rimonabant caused pronounced anxiety at 3 mg/kg (Figure 2C).

The inverse agonist properties of MRI-1867 were documented both in vitro using the GTPγS binding assay and in vivo using a functional assay to quantify CB1R-mediated changes in upper gastrointestinal motility (26). In the absence of an agonist, MRI-1867 caused concentration-dependent decreases in GTPγS binding, and at oral doses of 3–10 mg/kg, it significantly increased upper GI motility in control but not in CB1R–/– mice, both effects indicating inverse agonism at CB1R (Supplemental Figure 5, A and C). The same assays were used to assess competitive antagonism of CB1R by MRI-1867, which concentration-dependently inhibited GTPγS binding stimulated by 300 nM of the CB1R agonist, CP-55,940, and also dose-dependently reversed the inhibition of upper GI motility caused by a 10 mg/kg dose of the CB1R agonist, arachidonoyl 2-chloroethylamide, with rimonabant causing similar inhibition in the same dose or concentration range (Supplemental Figure 5, B and D). So, in subsequent experiments, a daily oral dose of 3 mg/kg was used for both compounds.

The acetamidine metabolite and the cleaved chemical scaffold were both detectable by LC-MS/MS in the supernatant of mouse liver microsomes incubated with 3 μM MRI-1867, indicating that acetamidine is generated by hepatic metabolism of MRI-1867 (Figure 3A). These two metabolites could also be detected in peripheral blood plasma 1 hour after oral administration of MRI-1867 (data not shown).

Both MRI-1867 and its leaving group acetamidine caused concentration-dependent inhibition of iNOS activity in the 1–10 μM range when tested in vitro in cell-free extracts of RAW 264.7 mouse macrophages treated with LPS + γ-interferon to induce iNOS expression.

Table 1. Physicochemical and pharmacodynamic properties of MRI-1867

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(-)-MRI-1867</th>
<th>Rimonabant</th>
<th>Ibipinabant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cLogP ( Mouse )</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>6.01</td>
<td>6.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tPSA (Mouse)</td>
<td>100.5</td>
<td>50.16</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K_i CB1R (nM)</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.4</td>
<td>8.0 ± 2.0</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC_50, CB1R-induced [35S]GTPγS (nM)</td>
<td>40 ± 7</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma protein binding (%)</td>
<td>99.6</td>
<td>99.88</td>
<td>99.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein binding in CB1R–/– brain (%)</td>
<td>98.6</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

tPSA, total Polar Surface Area; N.D., not determined.

Figure 5. Effects of rimonabant and MRI-1867 on gene expression of fibrosis markers. Wild-type, cnr1–/–, and nos2–/– mice received daily oral doses of 3 mg/kg/d of either compound for 2 weeks, starting on the day of bile duct ligation (BDL). Gene expression of collagen 1α (coll1α), transforming growth factor-β1 (tgfβ1), α-smooth muscle actin (acta2), and tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase-1 (timp1) was assayed by real-time PCR. Data represent mean ± SEM from 6 (nos2–/–) or 9 mice/group (wt, cnr1–/–). Data were analyzed by 1-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s multiple comparisons test. Significant difference from corresponding values in sham-operated controls (*P < 0.05) or from values in vehicle-treated BDL group (#P < 0.05).
(Figure 3B). Note that the inhibitory concentrations are below the peak hepatic concentration of unmetabolized MRI-1867 following its acute or chronic administration at a therapeutically efficacious dose (Figure 2A). In contrast, rimonabant at up to 100 μM did not inhibit iNOS. Finally, the inhibitory effects were selective for iNOS, as endothelial NOS (eNOS) activity was unaffected by MRI-1867 or acetamidine (Figure 3C).

**Druggable properties of MRI-1867.** The oral bioavailability of MRI-1867 was 81%, defined as the ratio of AUC following oral gavage versus intravenous administration of a 3 mg/kg dose in Sprague-Dawley...
Figure 7. CB₁R-independent profibrotic pathways targeted by MRI-1867. Effects of MRI-1867 and rimonabant on (A) *pdgfb, pdgfrβ, cd248*, (B) *αVβ6*, and (C) *asc3/nlrp3* pathways in wild-type, *cnr1<−/−*, and *nos2<−/−* mice with bile duct ligation (BDL). Note that MRI-1867 but not rimonabant reverses BDL-induced increases in gene expression and (D) Nlrp3 protein levels, and its inhibitory effect is retained in *cnr1<−/−* but lost in *nos2<−/−* mice. Scale bar: 100 μm. Mean ± SEM from 6 mice/group. Data were analyzed by 1-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s multiple comparisons test. Significant difference from corresponding values in sham-operated controls (*P < 0.05), from values in vehicle-treated BDL group (*P < 0.05), or between rimonabant and MRI-1867-treated groups (+P < 0.05).
Plasma $t_{1/2}$ was ~4 hours for orally administered MRI-1867 in both mice and rats. Microsomal stability assays, using mouse, rat, or human liver microsomal preparations indicated that 46%–62% of the compound remained unmetabolized following 1 hour of incubation at 37°C (Supplemental Table 2). A 2-strain Ames test for in vitro genotoxicity was negative at a dose of up to 125 $\mu$g/ml MRI-1867 (Supplemental Table 3). In an in vitro assay, the IC$_{50}$ of MRI-1867 for inhibition of the K$^+$ current mediated by hERG (human ether-à-go-go-related gene) was 5.1 $\mu$M (Supplemental Table 4). One $\mu$M MRI-1867 was also tested in a safety screen, including selected receptors, ion channels, and enzymes (Cerep), and the only positive hit other than CB1R (93% displacement) was for the $\kappa$ opioid receptor (61% displacement, Supplemental Table 5). However, in a functional screen testing for agonist as well as antagonist activity, the same concentration of MRI-1867 did not interact with the $\kappa$ opioid receptor or with any of a series of 192 GPCRs other than CB1R (Supplemental Table 6). Finally, MRI-1867 has >500-fold selectivity for CB1R over CB2R (Figure 1B).

**Antifibrotic activity of MRI-1867 in bile duct ligation–induced liver fibrosis.** We compared the antifibrotic effects of rimonabant and MRI-1867 in two murine models of liver fibrosis with different etiologies, induced either by bile duct ligation (BDL) or carbon tetrachloride (CCL$_4$) treatment.

BDL is an experimental model featuring biliary fibrosis and cirrhosis with periportal hepatocyte death (27). The BDL model is relevant to clinical entities such as extrahepatic biliary atresia and primary sclerosing cholangitis, in which the liver injury is associated with interruption of bile flow to the gut. In this model, the irreversible nature of liver injury enables one to determine its drug-induced regression. Therefore, we have used two different treatment paradigms. To assess the prevention of fibrosis, treatments...
started immediately after fibrogenesis initiation by BDL surgery. As for mitigating established fibrosis, in preliminary studies we determined that marked fibrosis was evident within 6 days of the ligation of the common bile duct, and it showed very low intersubject variability, so attenuation of fibrosis was tested by starting drug treatments on day 6 after BDL. The potential role of the secondary target iNOS in the antifibrotic activity of MRI-1867 was assessed in two ways: first, by comparing its antifibrotic efficacy with that of an equipotent CB 1R inhibitory dose of rimonabant, and second, by testing its effects in CB 1R knockout (cnr1–/–) as well as iNOS knockout (nos2–/–) mice with BDL-induced fibrosis.

MRI-1867 was significantly more efficacious than rimonabant, both dosed at 3 mg/kg/d, in preventing the progression of BDL-induced collagen deposition in the liver, as quantified histologically by Sirius red staining (Figure 4A) and biochemically by measuring hydroxyproline (Hyp) content (Figure 4B). BDL also induced marked ductular proliferation, which was again attenuated significantly more effectively by MRI-1867 than by rimonabant (Supplemental Figure 6). Compared with wild-type mice, BDL-induced fibrosis was less pronounced in both cnr1–/– and nos2–/– mice. In cnr1–/– mice, it could be further attenuated by MRI-1867 but not by rimonabant, whereas in nos2–/– mice, the 2 compounds had similar antifibrotic efficacy (Figure 4A). In wild-type mice with BDL, both compounds significantly reduced the gene expression of the fibrosis markers collagen-1, Tgfβ1, α-smooth muscle actin (αSma), and tissue inhibitor of metalloprotease-1 (Timp1), with MRI-1867 being significantly more effective than rimonabant. Its effects were retained in cnr1–/– mice (Figure 5).

BDL induced a robust increase in iNOS expression in the liver. This was documented by iNOS immunostaining evident in hepatocytes (Figure 6A) as well as iNOS gene expression (Figure 6B); both were completely prevented by MRI-1867 in both wild-type and cnr1–/– mice, whereas rimonabant did not affect iNOS protein levels and only partially reduced iNOS gene expression. These findings were in accordance with the similar increase in BDL-induced iNOS expression in the wild-type and Cnr1–/– liver (Figure 6A and B). The specificity of iNOS immunostaining was indicated by its absence in nos2–/– mice (Figure 6A). MRI-1867 also completely prevented the BDL-induced increase in iNOS function, as quantified by hepatic iNOS enzyme activity (Figure 6C) or hepatic protein nitrosylation (Figure 6D), with rimonabant having no significant effect in either assay. BDL also increased cnr1 expression and hepatic endocannabinoid levels, and these changes were reversed by MRI-1867 treatment (Figure 6E). These effects are likely due to CB1,R...
blockade, as judged by similar effects reported with rimonabant (28). CB1R blockade also appears to be responsible for the attenuation of the elevated plasma AST and ALT levels by both antagonists (Figure 6F).

The antifibrotic activity of MRI-1867 in CB1R–/– mice suggests that in addition to sharing some targets with CB1R, iNOS can also uniquely target fibrotic processes not affected by CB1R. The criteria used to identify such targets in mice with BDL-induced fibrosis included the inhibition of their increased gene expression in wild-type mice by MRI-1867 but not by rimonabant and the inhibitory effect of MRI-1867 remaining unchanged in cnr1–/– mice but being absent in nos2–/– mice. As illustrated in Figure 7, we have identified multiple fibrogenic targets that meet these criteria: the PDGF/PDGFRβ system (29) and its...
downstream mediator CD248 (endosialin) (30), which promote the differentiation of quiescent stellate cells into profibrotic myofibroblasts (Figure 7A); αvβ6 integrin, which is expressed in activated epithelial cells and is a marker of the progression of biliary and portal fibrosis as well as an activator of latent TGF-β (31) (Figure 7B); and the Nlrp3 inflammasome and its associate protein Asc3, which play a prominent role in liver inflammation associated with drug- or obesity-related liver fibrosis (32) (Figure 7C). Unique activation of these pathways by iNOS but not by CB1R may contribute to the differential antifibrotic efficacy of MRI-1867 and rimonabant.

BDL was associated with marked infiltration of the liver by CD68+ macrophages (Figure 8A), probably driven by the elevated levels of the chemokine Ccl2 (Figure 8B) and causing hepatocellular damage, as indicated by the parallel increase in apoptotic cells (Figure 8A). Macrophage infiltration and hepatocyte apoptosis as well as the elevated levels of Ccl2 were more effectively suppressed by MRI-1867 than by rimonabant, and MRI-1867 remained effective in cnr1−/− but not in nos2−/− mice (Figure 8B). Furthermore, MRI-1867 but not rimonabant was able to completely arrest the progression, with a tendency to reverse BDL-induced liver fibrosis when treatment was started on day 6 after BDL (Figure 9). Daily treatment with a near-maximal inhibitory dose of 10 mg/kg of the iNOS inhibitor 1400W attenuated fibrosis to the same extent as rimonabant but was less effective than MRI-1867. BDL-induced fibrosis involved marked bridging, which remained evident in rimonabant- or 1400W-treated treated mice but was practically abolished/prevented by MRI-1867 in both treatment paradigms (Figure 4A and Figure 9).

**Antifibrotic activity of MRI-1867 in CCl4-induced liver fibrosis.** CCl4-induced fibrosis is widely used as a chemically induced liver fibrosis model, which features centrilobular hepatocyte death (27). As fibrosis induced by short-term (<8 weeks) CCl4 remains reversible, this model is suitable to determine drug-induced prevention but not reversal of fibrosis. Mice were treated twice weekly for 4 weeks with 1 ml/kg CCl4 to induce liver fibrosis (27) and simultaneously treated with vehicle, MRI-1867 (3 mg/kg/d), or rimonabant (3 mg/kg/d). Fibrosis was attenuated significantly more by MRI-1867 than by rimonabant, as documented histologically by Sirius red staining (Figure 10A), by the reduced hepatic Hyp content, fibrogenic gene expression (Figure 10B), and iNOS protein expression (Figure 10C).

Finally, we tested MRI-1867 for attenuating obesity and its metabolic consequences, known predisposing factors for liver fibrosis, based on the documented effectiveness of other peripherally restricted CB1R antagonists in mouse models of obesity (12, 33). In C57BL6/J mice with high-fat diet-induced obesity, chronic treatment with MRI-1867 caused dose-dependent reductions in body weight, hepatic steatosis, and improved glucose tolerance (Supplemental Figure 7).

**Discussion**

We have introduced a type of peripherally restricted hybrid compound that simultaneously acts on two targets previously associated with the development and progression of liver fibrosis of different etiologies, CB1R and iNOS. Using MRI-1867, a potent, orally bioavailable, non-brain-penetrant CB1R inverse agonist with additional activity as a direct inhibitor of iNOS, we demonstrate that the engagement of the secondary target results in a CB1R-independent increase in antifibrotic efficacy relative to the efficacy of the single-target CB1R antagonist rimonabant in mouse models of both hepatocellular and biliary fibrosis.

The hybrid compound has unique pharmacokinetic as well as pharmacodynamic features. As for pharmacokinetics, its limited access to CB1R in the brain minimizes its ability to elicit behavioral effects predictive of neuropsychiatric side effects in humans, thus avoiding the pitfall that plagued first-generation, brain-penetrant CB1R antagonists. The lack of detectable occupancy of CB1R in the brain at doses up to 10 times the efficacy dose was demonstrated in real time by CB1R PET, which indicates a favorable therapeutic index regarding centrally mediated adverse effects. Features that limit the brain penetration of the hybrid compound include an increase in its polar surface area as well as its being a substrate of the P-glycoprotein-mediated reverse transport through the blood-brain barrier, the latter indicated by its increased brain penetration in mdr1a-deficient mice.

Furthermore, by incorporating the iNOS inhibitory polar acetamidine moiety into the apolar core chemical scaffold, one could overcome the lack of oral bioavailability of acetamidine, yielding very high target organ exposure through the hepatic uptake and accumulation of the parent compound or “pro-drug,” from which the acetamidine moiety is released through metabolic cleavage. Of note, the very high hepatic concentration of the hybrid inhibitor is not associated with hepatotoxicity, as reflected by unchanged plasma ALT and AST levels in mice chronically treated with MRI-1867.
Regarding the pharmacodynamics of the hybrid CB,R/iNOS inhibitor, two important principles have emerged from efforts to develop effective antifibrotic therapies. First, antifibrotic treatment strategies could aim to control the primary disease, to inhibit fibrogenic gene expression and signaling, to promote molecular mechanisms involved in fibrosis regression, or a combination of these (1). Second, with multiple molecular mechanisms and signaling pathways involved in fibrosis, targeting more than one could increase antifibrotic efficacy (34), and the hybrid CB,R/iNOS inhibitor embodies optimal characteristics on both accounts.

As to the first principle, both the endocannabinoid/CB,R system and iNOS are ideal targets, as they are known to be involved directly in the fibrotic process and also in the conditions predisposing to liver fibrosis, as detailed in the Introduction. An emerging major predisposing factor to liver fibrosis is nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (35), and CB,R blockade has proven effective in mitigating obesity-related hepatic steatosis in both rodent models (36) and humans (37). The other two major predisposing factors, alcoholic fatty liver disease and viral hepatitis, also involve increased CB,R activity. Hepatic CB,R expression is induced either by chronic ethanol intake (7) or the hepatitis C virus (38), and CB,R blockade mitigates alcohol-induced steatosis (7) and inhibits hepatitis C virus production (39). The demonstrated ability of MRI-1867 to attenuate diet-induced obesity and its metabolic consequences may also contribute to its efficacy in mitigating liver fibrosis when obesity is a contributing factor. Furthermore, CB,R blockade has therapeutic potential not only in conditions that predispose to fibrosis, but also in hepatocellular carcinoma (40), which has been epidemiologically linked to progressive liver fibrosis (41, 42).

In addition to mitigating predisposing factors, CB,R blockade can also directly engage profibrotic gene expression and signaling in the liver, inhibition of which has been shown to contribute to the therapeutic efficacy of CB,R blockade (11, 43–46), cacr1 gene deletion (47), or RNAi-mediated cacr1 knockdown (48) in models of liver fibrosis without underlying obesity. Furthermore, CB,R antagonism increases the expression of matrix metalloprotease-1 (49) involved in extracellular matrix degradation and decreases the expression of its inhibitor TIMP1 (43) and could thus promote fibrosis reversal. Here, we have shown that peripheral CB,R blockade by MRI-1867 can engage all three of the above mechanisms, most likely by reversing the fibrosis-related increase in hepatic endocannabinoid “tone,” which is reflected in the increased hepatic CB,R expression as well as hepatic endocannabinoid levels in the fibrotic liver (Figure 6E).

As for the secondary target iNOS, its activation as a proinflammatory mechanism contributing to fibrosis progression has been well documented, as detailed in the Introduction. In addition, iNOS protein and enzyme activity are markedly elevated in the livers of patients with cirrhosis caused by viral hepatitis, alcohol abuse, and cholestasis (50). There are also reports supporting an opposite, antifibrotic role of NO and iNOS in liver fibrosis induced by thioacetamide (51) or prolonged high-fat diet (52). However, other findings indicate that NO involved in fibrosis resolution and the related improvement in hepatic circulation may be generated via eNOS, which, in contrast to iNOS, is downregulated in fibrosis (53, 54). The present observations are in agreement with the majority of findings supporting the profibrotic function of iNOS (53–56) and the antifibrotic effect of iNOS inhibition (56, 57). This is evident in the markedly reduced levels of BDL-induced fibrosis in the livers of nos2–/– mice (Figure 4), which are also known to be less sensitive to CCl4-induced cirrhosis compared with wild-type mice (15). The antifibrotic efficacy of the iNOS inhibitor 1400W in BDL-induced fibrosis (Figure 8) further supports the profibrotic function of iNOS. The contribution of iNOS inhibition to the antifibrotic efficacy of MRI-1867 is clearly indicated by its antifibrotic activity in cacr1–/– samples and by the loss of its differential efficacy relative to rimonabant in nos2–/– mice (Figure 4).

The greater antifibrotic efficacy of MRI-1867 compared with rimonabant suggests that iNOS inhibition selectively blunts profibrotic signaling in the liver that is unaffected by CB,R blockade. Unique iNOS targets have been defined as being inhibited by MRI-1867 in wild-type and cacr1–/– mice but not in nos2–/– mice. One such target is the PDGF/PDGFβR pathway and its downstream mediator endosialin (CD248), which promote the proliferation and transdifferentiation of quiescent stellate cells into profibrotic myofibroblasts (58). MRI-1867 may inhibit this pathway by blocking iNOS-mediated NO production in hepatocytes, thus preventing a possible paracrine effect of NO on neighboring stellate cells. Activation of TGF-β, the other major growth factor pathway involved in stellate cell activation is suppressed by CB,R blockade, but iNOS inhibition also targets TGF-β via blocking the expression of αvβ6 integrin, a cell adhesion molecule expressed in proliferating bile duct epithelial cells that binds latent TGF-β to release active TGF-β (31, 59). αvβ6 Integrin is also expressed in hepatic progenitor cells, in which it promotes their differentiation into cholangiocytes and hepatocytes, whereas inactivation of αvβ6 promotes hepatic fibrosis and cholangiopathy models (60).
Thus, iNOS inhibition may mitigate fibrosis not only via antiinflammatory mechanisms, but also by inhibiting the regenerative capacity of the liver. On the other hand, the greater antifibrotic activity of MRI-1867 compared with 1400W (Figure 8) may reflect mechanisms uniquely targeted by CB₁R blockade, such as the increased tissue levels of anandamide and the increased expression of CB₁R in the fibrotic liver.

CB₁R is expressed by multiple cell types in the liver, including hepatocytes (61), stellate cells (11), and infiltrating macrophages (6), and iNOS is also known to be expressed in hepatocytes (50) as well as hepatic macrophages (14). Further studies are needed to explore the relative contribution of different types of liver cells as targets of the antifibrotic effect of combined inhibition of CB₁R and iNOS.

Interestingly, in wild-type mice with either BDL-induced or CCl₄-induced liver fibrosis, rimonabant moderately reduced iNOS gene and protein expression (Figure 5 and Figure 8). An explanation of this may involve recent findings that fibrosis progression is driven by the infiltration of the liver with proinflammatory macrophages expressing the Nlrp3 inflammasome (62) and that activation of CB₁R on such macrophages promotes their transmigration and proinflammatory activity, whereas CB₁R blockade produces opposite effects (6) and also suppresses the expression of NF-κB (63), a transcription factor promoting nos2 gene expression (64). In contrast to rimonabant, MRI-1867 completely reversed the increase in iNOS activity, most likely due to the enzyme inhibitory action of the acetamidine leaving group. The parallel inhibition of nos2 gene expression is similar to findings with other iNOS inhibitors (57) and likely reflects the blockade of a positive feedback loop, whereby peroxynitrite generated via iNOS leads to the upregulation of NF-κB and, consequently, nos2. Thus, inhibition of iNOS by the acetamidine leaving group may well contribute to the exceptionally high antifibrotic efficacy of the dual-target CB₁R/iNOS inhibitor.

The dual targeting of peripheral CB₁R and iNOS demonstrated here exemplifies the therapeutic gain obtained by simultaneously hitting more than one molecule, which could then engage distinct as well as convergent cellular pathways. The advantage of such an approach is highlighted by emerging experience with recently developed antifibrotic medications, which indicates that targeting a single pathway has limited effect on fibrotic diseases (34). Thus, the approach illustrated by the present study has promise as an effective antifibrotic strategy.

Methods

Additional details are provided in the Supplemental Methods.

Chemistry. The chemical synthesis, purification and verification of the structure of MRI-1867 are described in the Supplemental Methods.

Materials. Rimonabant and CP-55,940 were obtained from the National Institute of Drug Abuse Drug Supply Program (Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, USA). Arachidonoyl-2′-chlooroethylamine (ACEA) and Fumonisin B were from Cayman. [3H]AEA was synthesized by the reaction of arachidonoyl chloride with [3H]ethanolamine (65). [3H]CP55,940, [35S]GTPγS, [3H]arginine, and [14C(U)]arginine were from Perkin-Elmer. All other chemicals were from Sigma-Aldrich.

Animals. Male 8- to 10-week-old C57BL/6J mice were obtained from The Jackson Laboratory. Mice were maintained under a 12-hour-light/12-hour-dark cycle and fed ad libitum. To induce liver fibrosis, 10- to 12-week-old mice were subjected either to BDL surgery or CCl₄ treatment as indicated. To generate diet-induced obesity, C57BL/6J mice were fed a high-fat diet (D12492, Research Diets Inc., 60% of calories as fat). Lean controls were mice kept on a standard laboratory diet (NIH-31 rodent diet) for 14 weeks. Cnr1⁻/⁻ mice were generated as described previously (66). Nos2⁻/⁻ mice were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory. Cnr1⁻/⁻, nos2⁻/⁻, and mdr1a⁻/⁻ mice were on C57Bl/6J genetic background.

Induction of liver fibrosis by CCl₄. Hepatocellular liver fibrosis was generated by i.p. injection of CCl₄ (1 ml/kg, diluted 1:10 corn oil) twice weekly. Animals were sacrificed 40 hour after the last CCl₄ dose.

Induction of liver fibrosis by BDL. Ligature of the common bile duct was performed as described previously (67). Average survival was 18–20 days after the surgery. Therefore the study was completed at 14 days after surgery, at which time severe fibrosis was evident with low interindividual variability.

Drug treatment. The compounds were administered by oral gavage daily in both acute and chronic studies as indicated.

Blood chemistry. Blood was collected at the time the mice were sacrificed. Serum ALT and AST were quantified using AMS Vegasys Chemistry Analyzer (Diamond Diagnostics). Blood glucose was determined using the Elite glucometer (Bayer).
**Hepatic triglyceride content.** Liver tissue was extracted as described previously (33), and its triglyceride content was determined using the EnzyChrom Triglyceride Assay kit (BioAssay Systems).

**Glucose tolerance and insulin sensitivity tests.** The assays were performed (13) following 1 week of daily oral doses of MRI-1867, as described. i.p. glucose tolerance tests were performed after overnight fasting by i.p. administration of glucose at 1.5 mg/kg and subsequent sampling of tail blood at 15-minute intervals. i.p. insulin sensitivity tests were performed on the next day after a 6-hour fast by treating mice with a bolus dose of insulin (0.75 U/kg). Blood glucose levels were measured from tail blood.

**CB1R-binding assays.** The assay was performed as described previously (68). Briefly, binding affinity of the compounds to CB1R and CB2R was determined by radioligand displacement assays using 1 and 0.6 nM of [3H]CP55,940 as the radioligand, respectively. Plasma membranes were prepared from mouse and rat brain or from cultured CHO-K1 cells stably transfected with human CB1R or CB2R (Perkin Elmer). Twenty microgram or four microgram plasma membrane protein was used in a 1-ml reaction mixture for rodent and human sources, respectively. K, values were derived by computerized curve fitting and using the Cheng-Prusoff equation to account for the affinity of the radioligand, using the GraphPad Prism 6 program (GraphPad Prism Software Inc.).

**[^S]GTPγS-binding assay.** The assay was performed as described previously (68). Inverse agonism by MRI-1867 and rimonabant was determined in the absence of agonist, whereas their potency as antagonists (IC50) was determined in the presence of the agonist CP55,940 (300 nM), which generated a CB1R-mediated increase in GTPγS binding at the ~EC80 level. Ten μg plasma membrane protein was used in a 1.0-ml reaction mixture.

**Upper GI motility assay.** The assay was performed as described previously (68). To determine inverse agonism, MRI-1867 or rimonabant was administered orally by gavage to male 8- to 10-week-old mice 1 hour prior to an oral bolus of 10% charcoal suspension in 5% gum arabic. Thirty minutes later, the mice were killed, and the distance traveled by the head of the marker between the pylorus and the cecum was measured and expressed as percentage of total length of the small intestine. To assess in vivo CB1R antagonism, MRI-1867 or rimonabant was administered 30 minutes after an oral dose of the CB1R agonist ACEA (5 mg/kg), with the rest of the procedure as described above.

**Hyperambulatory activity.** The assay was performed as described previously (68). Locomotor activity of drug-naive mice treated with an oral bolus dose of a CB1R antagonist or vehicle was quantified by the number of disruptions of infrared beams in 2 dimensions in an activity chamber. The drugs were administered 3 hour after the start of the light cycle.

**Elevated plus-maze test.** Anxiety-related behavior was assessed using the elevated plus-maze test as described previously (33). Mice were tested 1 hour after either acute or 28-day chronic oral administration of rimonabant or MRI-1867.

**Real-time PCR analyses.** RNA extraction was performed using RNeasy Mini Kits (Qiagen). One microgram of total RNA was reverse transcribed to cDNA using SuperScript II (Invitrogen). Expression of the target gene was quantified with gene-specific primers and PowerSYBR Green master mix (ABI) using a 7500 Real-Time PCR instrument (Applied Biosystems). Gene expression values were calculated based on the ΔΔCt method.

**PET.** In vivo CNS CB1R occupancy by MRI-1867 and rimonabant was assessed by their ability to displace the CB1R PET radiotracer [18F]FMPEP-d2, as described previously (12). The specific activity of the PET radiotracer at the time of injection was 927 ± 212 mCi/μmol (mean ± SD). Lean control mice (26 ± 3 g) were treated orally with MRI-1867 (3, 10, and 30 mg/kg), rimonabant (3 mg/kg), or vehicle 1 hour prior to radioligand injection. Concurrent to injection of 127 ± 29 μCi of [18F]FMPEP-d2, PET scans began and continued for 120 minutes on a Siemens microPET Focus 120 camera (Siemens Medical Solutions). Images were reconstructed without attenuation and scatter correction. For each animal, a brain time activity curve was constructed and activity was expressed as a standardized uptake value, which compensates for the injected activity and body weight. Whole brain uptake was determined by area under the time activity curve from 60 to 120 minutes after radioligand injection.

**Endocannabinoid measurements.** The tissue levels of endocannabinoids were measured by stable isotope dilution liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) as described previously (69).

**Nonspecific protein binding in brain.** Nonspecific binding of MRI-1867 to brain tissue was determined by equilibrium dialysis as described previously (12) using crude brain membrane preparations from CB1R--/-.
mice. The membranes were incubated with 2 μM MRI-1867 in D-tube dialyzer maxi dialysis apparatus (Novagen). The levels of free (unbound) MRI-1867 were determined by LC-MS/MS.

**Tissue levels of drugs.** Tissues and plasma were extracted as described previously (69), and MRI-1867 levels were determined by LC-MS/MS using an Agilent 6410 triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (Agilent Technologies) coupled to an Agilent 1200 LC system (Agilent Technologies). Chromatographic and mass spectrometer conditions were set as described previously (70). Levels of each compound were analyzed by multiple reactions monitoring. The molecular ion and fragments for each compound were measured as follows: m/z 548.1→145 and 548.1→257.1 for MRI-1867 (CID energy: 56 V and 24 V, respectively), m/z 59.1→42.1 and 59.1→44.1 for acetamide (CID energy: 1 V and 2 V, respectively), and m/z 524→105 and 524→506.3 for a hydroxylated urea metabolite (CID energy: 16 V and 0 V, respectively). The amounts of MRI-1867 in the samples were determined against standard curves. Values are expressed as ng/g or ng/ml in wet tissue weight or plasma volume, respectively.

**Metabolism of MRI-1867 by liver microsomes.** MRI-1867 (3 μM) was incubated with mouse liver microsomes (GIBCO, ThermoFisher Scientific, 2 mg protein /aliquot) at 37°C for 90 minutes in 200 μl reaction buffer (100 mM KH₂PO₄, 4 mM NADPH, 3 mM MgCl₂, pH 7.4). Controls containing no MRI-1867 were used to establish baseline ion chromatogram. The reaction was stopped by addition of 200 μl of ice-cold methanol and incubation for at least 20 minutes at –20°C. The samples were centrifuged at 13,000 g for 10 minutes, and the supernatants were analyzed by LC-MS/MS to detect acetamide.

**Fluorimetric iNOS assay.** Fluorimetric Cell-Associated Nitric Oxide Synthase Detection System (Sigma-Aldrich) was used for measuring iNOS activity in RAW 264.7 cells (ATCC) as per the supplier’s instructions. Cells were preincubated with LPS (50 ng/ml) and γ-interferon (10 ng/ml) for 24 hours to induce iNOS activity before adding the test compounds for an additional hour, with 100,000 cells/well used per reaction.

**Radioisotopic hepatic iNOS activity assay.** Hepatic iNOS activity was measured by the rate of conversion of [14C(U)]L-arginine to [14C(U)]L-citrulline by using a NOS activity assay kit (Cayman). The assay was performed as previously described (50) with modifications to yield specific iNOS activity. Briefly, liver samples (25 mg wet weight) were homogenized by Precellys homogenizer in 5× volume of ice-cold homogenization buffer containing 50 mM Tris HCL, 320 mM sucrose, 1 mM DTT, and protease inhibitors (AEBSF 1 mM, aprotonin 0.8 μM, bestatin 50 μM, E-64 15 μM, leupeptin 20 μM, pepstatin A 15 μM), followed by centrifugation at 10,000 g for 20 minutes at 4°C. The supernatant was centrifuged at 100,000 g for 1 hour at 4°C to purify the iNOS-containing soluble compartment. Five μl of the supernatant was incubated at 37°C for 3 minutes in assay buffer with supplementation of L-arginine (20 μM), L-citrulline (1 mM), norvaline (10 mM), and fumonisin B (300 μM). In the liver, L-arginine and L-citrulline are utilized by urea cycle enzymes and are also substrates for arginase and argininosuccinate synthase, respectively. In order to prevent the breakdown of [14C(U)]L-arginine and [14C(U)]L-citrulline by urea cycle enzymes and arginase, the argininosuccinate synthase inhibitor fumonisin B and the arginase inhibitor norvaline were added to the reaction mixture. The specificity of the iNOS activity measured was further confirmed by its inhibition by 1 mM N-nitro-L-arginine (L-NNA). Enzyme activity was expressed as percentage conversion of [14C]L-arginine to [14C]L-citrulline.

**Radioisotopic eNOS activity assay.** eNOS activities were measured by the rate of conversion of [1H]L-arginine to [1H]L-citrulline by using a NOS activity assay kit (Cayman), as per the supplier’s instructions. This assay was used to measure the effects of the test substances on eNOS activity, using recombinant bovine eNOS (Cayman).

**3-Nitrotyrosine tissue levels.** 3-Nitrotyrosine levels in liver tissue, as an indicator of protein nitrosylation by iNOS, were determined using an ELISA kit from MyBiosource.com (MBS005184).

**Hyp measurement by LC-MS/MS.** For this method, 50 mg liver tissue was homogenized in 500 μl of ice-cold 0.1 N perchloric acid (PCA) to which 500 μl 12 N HCl was added and the homogenate was hydrolyzed at 100°C for 4 hours. Hydrolyzed samples were vortexed and centrifuged at 10,000 g for 10 minutes, and 10 μl hydrolysate was diluted 20-fold by the addition of 190 μl of 0.1 N PCA. LC-MS/MS analyses were conducted on an Agilent 6410 triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (Agilent Technologies) coupled to an Agilent 1200 LC system. 4-Hyp was separated using an Intrada Amino Acid column, 50 x 3 mm, 3 μm (Imtakt) at 40°C. Mobile phases consisted of acetonitrile/tetrahydrofuran/25 mM ammonium formate/formic acid = 9:75:16:0.3 (v/v/v/v) (phase A) and acetonitrile/100 mM ammonium formate = 20:80 (v/v) (phase B). Gradient elution (600 μl/min) was initiated and held at 0% B for 3 minutes, followed by a linear increase to 17% B by 6.5 minutes. This was followed by a
step increase to 100% B, which was held until 10 minutes after the gradient was begun, and then by a linear decrease to 0% B by 11 minutes, which was held until 13 minutes after the gradient was begun. The mass spectrometer was set for electrospray ionization operated in positive ion mode. The source parameters were as follows: capillary voltage, 4,000 V; gas temperature, 330°C; and drying gas, 8 l/min. Nitrogen was used as the nebulizing gas. Collision-induced dissociation (CID) was conducted using nitrogen. Hyp level was analyzed by multiple reaction monitoring. The molecular ion and fragments for Hyp were measured as follows: \( m/z \) 132.1→86 and 132.1→68 (CID energy: 8 V and 20 V, respectively). Hepatic levels of Hyp were determined against a standard curve, using trans-4-hydroxy-L-proline as standard (Sigma-Aldrich). Values are expressed as nmol/mg wet tissue.

*Picrosirius red staining.* To visualize fibrotic areas, liver tissue was fixed in 10% neutralized formalin solution and embedded in paraffin. Tissues were cut at 4-μm thickness for staining, and staining was performed as described previously (67).

*Tunel staining.* Tunel staining was performed using the TUNEL Apoptosis Detection Kit (Millipore Corp.). Sections were then counterstained with hematoxylin, Gill’s formula (Vector Labs), and analyzed using an Olympus BX41 microscope.

*Histology and immunohistochemistry.* Liver tissues were fixed in 10% neutralized formalin solution, embedded in paraffin, and sectioned (4μm) onto glass slides. Sections were stained with antibody against iNOS (Abcam 15323), NLRP3 (Novus Biochemical, NBP2-12446), and CD68 (Abcam 31630) and developed using ABC-elite-HRP/diaminobenzidine system (Vector Labs), counterstained with hematoxylin, Gill’s Formula (Vector Labs), and analyzed using an Olympus BX41 microscope.

*Histology data analysis.* Images were taken from at least 8 randomly selected areas from each liver section. Collagen deposition in liver tissues was quantified by measuring Sirius red–stained areas using ImageJ software (NIH) by a person blind to the sample ID. Quantification of images from one sample was averaged for actual data presentation for each sample (n = 6–12 animals/group).

*Histological assessment of ductular proliferation.* The degree of ductular proliferation was assessed in H&E–stained sections. The number of ductular profiles was counted in 50 portal areas from each liver, and their average number per portal area was determined.

*Statistics.* Values shown are expressed as mean ± SEM. Statistical analysis was performed by unpaired 2-tailed Student’s t test or by 1-way ANOVA, as appropriate. \( P < 0.05 \) was considered significant.

*Study approval.* Animal experiments and protocols were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, NIH.
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