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ABSTRACT

Benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) is the nodular proliferation of the prostate transition zone in older men, leading to urinary storage and voiding problems that can be recalcitrant to therapy. Decades ago, John McNeal proposed that BPH originates with the “reawakening” of embryonic inductive activity by adult prostate stroma, which spurs new ductal proliferation and branching morphogenesis. Here, by laser microdissection and transcriptional profiling of the BPH stroma adjacent to hyperplastic branching ducts, we identified secreted factors likely mediating stromal induction of prostate glandular epithelium and coinciding processes. The top stromal factors were Insulin Like Growth Factor 1 (IGF1) and C-X-C Motif Chemokine Ligand 13 (CXCL13), which we confirmed by RNA in situ hybridization to be co-expressed in BPH fibroblasts, along with their cognate receptors (IGF1R and CXCR5) on adjacent epithelium. In contrast, IGF1 but not CXCL13 was expressed in human embryonic prostate stroma. Finally, we demonstrated that IGF1 is necessary for the generation of BPH-1 cell spheroids and patient-derived BPH cell organoids in three-dimensional culture. Our findings partially support historic speculations on the etiology of BPH, and provide what we believe to be new molecular targets for rational therapies directed against the underlying processes driving BPH.
INTRODUCTION

Benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) is the nodular proliferation of epithelial and stromal elements within the transition zone (TZ) of the prostate (1). BPH leads to prostate enlargement and lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) – including urinary storage and voiding problems – that cause substantial morbidity in men over 50 years old (1). Current BPH treatments – including α-adrenoceptor antagonists and 5α-reductase inhibitors – are only partially effective and may not target the underlying pathologic processes, which are still incompletely known.

In the 1970s, Stanford pathologist John McNeal recorded detailed observations of BPH histology that informed a new hypothesis of BPH etiology (2, 3). He noted that the tangent ducts bordering BPH nodules exhibited epithelial hyperplasia and neo-ductal growth exclusively on the side facing into the nodule (Figure 1A). This eccentric effect suggested exposure to stromal inductive processes known to occur in prostate embryogenesis, and later shown to be critical to epithelial and duct growth by tissue recombination studies (4). Thus, McNeal proposed that BPH nodules arise by the “reawakening” of embryonic inductive activity by adult prostate stroma. Whether the diffusible factors of BPH stroma mirror those of prostate embryogenesis has remained largely unknown.

Studies of gene-knockout mice and of rat prostatic rudiments in culture have identified secreted factors that modulate prostate development and ductal branching morphogenesis (5, 6), including Fibroblast growth factors (FGFs), Insulin-like growth factors (IGFs), Transforming growth factors (TGFs), Bone morphogenic proteins (BMPs), WNT proteins (WNTs), and Sonic Hedgehog (SHH). By extension, many of those same factors have been implicated in BPH (7).
More recently, bulk tissue and single-cell transcriptome studies have enumerated the genes expressed in human BPH tissues and cells (8, 9), but have lacked histologic spatial resolution.

Here, by spatial transcriptomics of human BPH nodules, we identify secreted factors expressed in the presumptive inductive stroma adjacent to hyperplastic branching ducts. Our findings provide insight into McNeal’s hypothesis of embryonic reawakening, and suggest what we believe to be new avenues for disease-targeted therapy.

RESULTS

Spatial transcriptomics identifies factors secreted by presumptive inductive BPH stroma

McNeal observed that tangent ducts bordering BPH nodules exhibit epithelial hyperplasia (with taller columnar epithelial cells) and neo-ductal growth exclusively on the side facing into the nodule (Figure 1A) (3), suggestive of inductive signals originating in the adjacent stroma. For shorthand, we have dubbed these branching ductal structures “BPH hubs”, because of their superficial resemblance to a wagon-wheel or USB hub (Figure 1B).

To investigate McNeal’s hypothesis, we first sought to profile and compare gene expression in the “inner” (inside the nodule) versus “outer” adjacent stroma of BPH hubs. By examining H&E-stained sections of whole-mount human prostates (obtained from patients with prostate cancer and mild to severe BPH symptoms), we identified six BPH hubs with the classic features described by McNeal (Hubs 1-6 in Figure 1C-H and Supplemental Table 1). Combining laser capture microdissection (LCM) (Figure 2A-E) and RNAseq, we generated paired spatial transcriptomes of the six BPH hubs. By supervised analysis of the gene-expression data, we then identified genes whose expression was significantly enriched in the inner or outer stroma of BPH
hubs (Figure 2F and Supplemental Table 2). Here, we focus on transcripts enriched in the inner stroma, which would include candidate inductive factors.

The topmost gene transcripts enriched in the inner stroma were Insulin Like Growth Factor 1 (IGF1) and C-X-C Motif Chemokine Ligand 13 (CXCL13). IGF1 has previously been linked to prostate development (10), and has been implicated, among many other growth factors, in BPH pathogenesis (7). CXCL13 is a lymphocyte chemoattractant (11) that we recently found overexpressed in bulk BPH tissue (8). Other top transcripts enriched in the inner stroma include Endothelin 3 (EDN3), Complement Factor D (CFD), Prostaglandin D2 Synthase (PTGDS), Insulin-like growth factor 2 (IGF2), Prokineticin 1 (PROK1), Pleiotrophin (PTN), and Placenta Associated 9 (PLAC9). Notably, 8 of the top 10 genes (80%), and 11 of the top 20 (55%) genes, are known or predicted secreted proteins, representing a significant enrichment over the known human proteome (9.4%) ($P \leq 3.7E-07$; Hypergeometric test) (12). By Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (Qiagen), the top inner stromal genes were enriched for physiologic processes that included “Tissue Development”, “Tissue Morphology”, and “Organ Morphology” (Supplemental Table 3). Our subsequent studies focused on the two top genes, IGF1 and CXCL13.

By RNA in situ hybridization (RISH), we confirmed enriched expression of IGF1 and CXCL13 in the inner stroma adjacent to BPH hubs, including among hubs that were microdissected and transcriptionally profiled (exemplified by Hub-1 in Figure 3A-D, and quantified in Supplemental Table 4), as well as in additional independent hubs (exemplified by Hub-7 in Figure 3E-K). Importantly, enriched expression did not merely reflect an enrichment of prostate fibroblasts, since interstitial prostate fibroblasts – identified by expression of Complement Factor 7 (C7) (9) – were observed in both the inner and outer stroma (Figure 3D, H, K).
*IGF1* and *CXCL13* expression were not exclusive to the BPH hub-adjacent stroma. By examining the full prostate cross sections, we observed stromal *IGF1* and *CXCL13* expression elsewhere within BPH nodules, but always in association with adjacent epithelial hyperplasia and ductal branching (as observed for the BPH hubs) (examples in Supplemental Figure 1).

**IGF1 and CXCL13 are co-expressed in BPH fibroblasts and may function as paracrine factors**

*IGF1* and *CXCL13* are both expressed in the inner stroma of BPH hubs. We next asked whether they were both expressed by the same cell. By single-cell RNAseq of paired BPH-normal human prostate tissue, we identified a discrete population of BPH fibroblasts (Figure 4A, B, and Supplemental Figure 2). The BPH fibroblasts expressed both *IGF1* and *CXCL13*, as well as Steroid 5 alpha-reductase 2 (*SRD5A2*) and Androgen receptor (*AR*) (*P* ≤ 2.7E-05, Hypergeometric test) (Figure 4C, D). We confirmed co-expression of *IGF1* with *CXCL13*, *SRD5A2* and *AR* by RNA in situ Hybridization (Figure 4E-J, and quantified in Supplemental Table 4).

Since IGF1 is expressed in hub-adjacent BPH stroma, we next asked whether its receptor – Insulin like growth factor 1 receptor (*IGF1R*) – was expressed in the hub ductal epithelium. Indeed, by two-color RISH we observed epithelial *IGF1R* expression adjacent to *IGF1*+ stroma (Figure 5A-B, E, and quantified in Supplemental Table 4). Perhaps more surprisingly (given the studied role of CXCL13 in lymphocyte chemotraction), we also observed epithelial expression of the CXCL13 receptor – C-X-C Motif Chemokine Receptor 5 (*CXCR5*) – adjacent to *CXCL13*+ stroma (Figure 5C, F). Although expressed at lower levels than *IGF1R*, *CXCR5* expression was clearly visible in comparison to negative control probes (Figure 5D).
IGF1 is a growth factor known to promote cell proliferation (13). Consistent with that function, we observed by immunohistochemistry increased Ki-67+ (proliferating) epithelial cells in BPH hub epithelium adjacent to inner IGF1+/CXCL13+ stroma, in comparison to the outer IGF1+/CXCL13− stroma (Figure 5H and Supplemental Figure 3). (P = 0.04, paired Student’s t-test). CXCL13 may have more than one function, but it has been best characterized as a lymphocyte chemoattractant (11). Perhaps surprisingly then, we observed B and T cell infiltrates within both the inner CXCL13+ stroma and the outer CXCL13− stroma (example shown in Figure 5I).

**IGF1 but not CXCL13 is expressed in human embryonic prostate stroma**

McNeal’s hypothesis – that BPH represents a reawakening of embryonic patterns of stromal induction – would imply that IGF1 and CXCL13 are also expressed in human embryonic prostatic stroma. To investigate this possibility, we carried out RNA in situ hybridizations on human fetal prostate tissues (obtained from elective terminations) of 12-15 weeks of gestation, within the timeframe of embryonic ducal branching (14). We observed marked IGF1 expression within the embryonic prostate stroma encircling the urethra (Figure 6A-B), and adjacent to new ductal budding (Figure 6D-E) and ductal branching (Figure 6G-H), as well as IGF1R expression in the prostatic epithelium (Fig. 6B, E, H). In contrast, CXCL13 expression was not apparent in the embryonic prostate stroma, although low-level expression of CXCL13 and/or CXCR5 could be observed in a small minority of epithelial cells (Figure 6C, F, I).

**Inhibition of IGF1 signaling abolishes BPH spheroid and organoid formation**
Our spatial transcriptomics identified stromal IGF1 as a candidate inducer of BPH ductal epithelial hyperplasia, proliferation (Ki-67 staining), and/or neo-ductal growth. To evaluate IGF1 function on glandular epithelium, we used two different three-dimensional cell culture models: spheroid culture of BPH-1 cells (a prostate epithelial cell line established from human BPH tissue) (15) and organoid culture (16) of primary patient-derived BPH epithelial cells. Since IGF1 (and/or the cross-activating insulin) (17) is a component of BPH-1 cell culture media (containing fetal bovine serum), prostate organoid media (containing insulin), and Matrigel (where growth-factor depleted Matrigel retains IGF1), we evaluated the effect of IGF1R inhibition. Two different small molecular IGF1R tyrosine kinase inhibitors – BMS-754807 (18) and Picropodopyllin (PPP) (19) – at 1µM thwarted BPH-1 spheroid formation and BPH organoid formation in Matrigel (P<0.001; Student’s t-test) (Figure 7 A-C, E, F-H, J, and Supplemental Figure 4).

Given the observed CXCR5 expression in BPH epithelium, we also sought to evaluate CXCL13 function on BPH epithelium. Since CXCL13 is not a known component of BPH-1 cell media or prostate organoid media, we evaluated the effect of CXCL13 addition on BPH-1 spheroid and BPH organoid culture. Physiologic concentrations of recombinant human CXCL13 (100 ng/ml) had no discernable impact on spheroid or organoid formation (Figure 7D-E, I-J). Though we note that expression of the CXCL13 receptor CXCR5, by qRT-PCR, was substantially lower compared to IGF1R in BPH-1 spheroids and BPH organoids (Supplemental Figure 5).

**DISCUSSION**
Decades ago, McNeal observed that the tangent ducts bordering BPH nodules displayed epithelial hyperplasia and neo-ductal growth exclusively on the side facing into the nodule, suggesting a response to inductive stroma (3). Here, by spatial transcriptomics of the inner versus outer stroma neighboring these “BPH hubs”, we have identified candidate stromal inductive factors, including IGF1 and CXCL13.

From mouse knockout studies, IGF1 was previously linked to prostate development and ductal branching morphogenesis (10), and indeed IGF signaling has long been implicated in BPH (20). However, much of the evidence has been circumstantial, and uncertainty has remained even over which prostate cell type(s) produce (or respond) to IGFs. In one study, primary human BPH fibroblasts were found to express IGF2 but not IGF1 (21). In another study, some but not other primary human prostate fibroblast cultures (albeit none derived from BPH tissue) showed androgen-stimulated expression of IGF1 (22). Other studies have implicated IGF1 expression from prostate epithelial cells and even macrophages (23). Thus, importantly, we believe our study is the first to identify high IGF1 expression in human BPH fibroblasts at the site of IGF1R+ epithelial hyperplasia and neo-ductal growth, providing “smoking gun” evidence of the key role of stromal IGF1 in BPH nodular morphogenesis.

Our finding of high stromal IGF1 expression adjacent to BPH hubs is notable, but equally striking is what we did not find. Other growth factors – including FGFs, TGFs, BMPs, WNTs, and SHH – also have reported roles in fetal/neonatal prostate development (5, 6) and by extension have been implicated in BPH (1, 7). Yet among those growth factors, our studies identified only IGF1 (and IGF2) as top enriched genes. Our findings singularly highlight IGF1 as a critical growth factor in BPH nodular proliferation, and therefore as a persuasive target for therapy. Our results may also explain why hyperinsulinemia is a risk factor for BPH (24); high
insulin levels can cross-activate the IGF1 receptor (17). Nonetheless, other growth factors – including Bone Morphogenetic Protein 5 (BMP5) that we previously found highly overexpressed in bulk BPH tissue (8) – likely contribute to BPH, albeit exhibiting less polarized expression in the inner stroma, or perhaps with activity at earlier stages of nodular growth.

We recently reported markedly elevated expression of CXCL13 in bulk BPH tissue – where it was also part of a 65-gene BPH stromal signature that correlated with BPH symptom severity (8) – but it had until then never been implicated in BPH. CXCL13 has been characterized as a lymphocyte chemoattractant (11). Nonetheless, in our preliminary studies we observed lymphocyte infiltrates within both the inner and outer stroma. Notably, we observed expression of CXCR5 (the only known CXCL13 receptor) in BPH epithelium. This finding suggests the possibility that CXCL13 functions as a paracrine factor in the growth or some other characteristic of adjacent prostatic epithelium. While our studies in three-dimensional culture did not reveal that function, future studies (including in vivo) should inform the function(s) of CXCL13 in BPH pathogenesis.

The top gene transcripts over-expressed in inner (presumptive inductive) stroma were enriched for secreted factors, as predicted by McNeal’s hypothesis. Notably, their known functions suggest roles not only in epithelial induction (IGF1, IGF2), but also in the proliferation, differentiation or function of other cell types, including immune cells (CXCL13) (11), endothelial cells (PROK1) (25), and peripheral neurons (EDN3) (26). This finding underscores that BPH nodular proliferation is more than merely epithelial and fibroblast proliferation, but rather encompasses the morphogenesis of diverse new tissue elements. The function still of other top-ranked inner-stroma gene transcripts (e.g., PTN, PLAC9) remains to be investigated. Future studies will also evaluate the protein expression of the above factors (which
is notoriously challenging for secreted proteins). Also of future interest are the gene transcripts enriched within the outer stroma of hubs, which might include factors that constrain epithelial proliferation. Single-cell spatial approaches will also refine the list and cell-type source of inductive factors; though we note that current approaches would have missed CXCL13, which is not included in existing catalog gene sets.

Our studies were motivated by McNeal’s observations and hypothesis that BPH is the reawakening of embryonic inductive activity by adult prostate stroma. Though mesenchymal induction of prostatic epithelial development was elucidated in the mouse and rat, recent studies demonstrated that human urogenital sinus mesenchyme is also an inducer of prostatic epithelial development (27). It is therefore notable that our findings only partly support McNeal’s hypothesis. IGF1 is expressed in BPH inner (inductive) stroma and also in the stroma of human fetal prostates undergoing ductal branching morphogenesis. However, CXCL13 expression is absent from the stroma of those fetal prostates. Thus, in the most straightforward interpretation, BPH nodular proliferation is not simply the reactivation of embryonic inductive patterns. Future studies that employ single-cell profiling of active chromatin (e.g., by ATAC-seq) (28) may reveal the processes regulating IGF1 and CXCL13 expression in BPH fibroblasts, and whether these reflect normal embryonic patterns or else focal responses for example to hormonal changes, inflammation, or aging.

Our studies also have several therapeutic implications. Most notably, two different small molecule IGF1R inhibitors – each with different off-target profiles (18, 19) – abolished BPH-1 spheroid and patient-derived BPH organoid growth in cell culture. While follow-up studies (including in vivo studies) are needed, our findings suggest the potential efficacy of IGF1R inhibitors as a disease-targeted approach in preventing or managing BPH and its associated
lower urinary tract symptoms. In cancer clinical trials, IGF1R inhibitors have shown manageable toxicity (albeit with limited clinical benefit against many cancers) (29); thus, they may find utility in BPH, particularly if delivery or activity can be restricted to the prostate. Indeed, inhibition of mTOR (which functions downstream of IGF1R) was reported to reduce prostate size (30). And saw palmetto extract, an alternative BPH therapy, may modulate the IGF1 signaling pathway (31).

Also intriguing is that the BPH fibroblasts expressing IGF1 and CXCL13 also express SRD5A2 (5α-reductase) and AR. Currently, 5α-reductase inhibitors (e.g., finasteride and dutasteride) are a first-line therapy for BPH, where they inhibit the conversion of testosterone to the more potent dihydrotestosterone, leading to the involution of androgen-dependent prostatic epithelium and prostate shrinkage (26). Of note, during prostate development, androgens act primarily on embryonic mesenchyme to prompt the secretion of paracrine-acting epithelial growth factors (32). Thus, it will be of interest to determine whether 5α-reductase inhibitors also affect the AR+ BPH fibroblast expression of IGF1, CXCL13, and/or other secreted/inductive factors. If so, then these therapies might prove “more targeted” to BPH disease mechanisms than currently recognized.

**METHODS**

**Prostate tissue specimens**

Human BPH tissue specimens were obtained from radical prostatectomy cases (done for prostate cancer) at the Stanford Hospital, with IRB approval and patient informed consent. LCM studies were done using archived FFPE blocks, while single-cell RNAseq and patient-derived organoid
studies used fresh BPH tissue. Patient age, prostate size, and International Prostate Symptom Score (IPSS) are listed in Supplemental Table 1. Human fetal prostate specimens were obtained from elective terminations done at UCSF, with IRB approval and patient informed consent.

**Laser capture microdissection and RNAseq**

For each BPH hub, laser capture microdissection (LCM) was done on three consecutive 10 µM FFPE sections, using an Arcturus XT System. RNA was isolated from captured tissue using Qiagen RNeasy FFPE kit, and quantified by Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer. RNAseq libraries were constructed from 2 ng RNA input using Takara SMARTer Stranded Total RNA-seq kit v3 - Pico Input Mammalian. RNAseq libraries were evaluated by Agilent BioAnalyzer, then sequenced on an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 (Novogene) to an average 46 million read pairs per sample.

**RNAseq data analysis**

Illumina sequence reads were used to quantify transcript abundance as Transcripts per Million (TPM) using Kallisto (33). TPM data were processing sequentially by thresholding low values (to 0.01), log2 transforming, mean centering genes and samples, and excluding genes with low variability in expression (StDev < 1). Differentially expressed genes (Inner/inductive versus Outer stroma) were identified using paired-SAM (34), which estimates false discovery rates against randomly permutated samples. Pathway analysis was done using Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) (Qiagen). Predicted secreted proteins were identified from the Human Protein Atlas (12).

**RNA in situ Hybridization and Immunohistochemistry**
RNA in situ Hybridization (RISH) was done by manual RNAscope Chromogenic assays, using RNAscope 2.5 HD Assay-Brown or Duplex Assay according to the manufacturer (ACDBio). Chromogenic probes were Hs-IGF1, Hs-CXCL13, Hs-C7, Hs-CXCL13-C2, Hs-IGF1R-C2, Hs-SRD5A2-C2, and Hs-AR-C2. Semi-quantitative scoring of RNAscope assays (based on dots per cell, 0-4 scale) was done per ACDbio guidelines. Immunohistochemistry was done using Tris-EDTA antigen retrieval, with ImmPRESS HRP Detection Kit and ImmPRESS Duet Double Staining Polymer Kit (HRP/AP) (Vector Laboratories). Primary antibodies were Ki-67 (D2H10, 1:400) (Cell Signaling), CD20 (L26, 1:400) (Sigma), and CD3 (MRQ-39, 1:800) (Sigma).

**Single-cell RNAseq**

For single-cell RNAseq, fresh human BPH and normal prostate tissues were separately disaggregated to single cells by sequentially incubation with collagenase/hyaluronidase, trypsin, and dispase, following STEMCELL Technology’s Prostate Tissue Dissociation protocol (35). Red blood cells were lysed by Ammonium Chloride Solution (STEMCELL Technologies), then live cells (propidium iodide negative) flow-sorted (Stanford Shared FACS facility). Single-cell RNAseq libraries were generated separately for BPH and normal prostate tissue using 10X Genomics Chromium Controller (Stanford Functional Genomics facility), reagent kits and protocols. Libraries were sequenced on an Illumina X-Ten (Novogene). Single-cell data were processed using Cell Ranger, and BPH and normal prostate data were then aggregated (cellranger aggr command) and visualized using Loup Browser (10X Genomics).

**Prostate cell culture experiments**
BPH-1 cells (15) were obtained from the DSMZ cell culture repository, and verified by STR profiling (ATCC). For spheroid assays, 50K cells (per 6-well plate well) were plated in growth-factor reduced Matrigel (Corning) using Matrigel “3D on-top” assays (36). BPH-1 cell media comprised RPMI-1640 media with 5% FBS. For patient-derived organoids, fresh human BPH tissue was disaggregated to single cells as detailed above. Cells were then plated in Matrigel as droplets (20-50K cells) (16) or “3D on-top” assays (100-200K cells) in a 24-well plate well (36). BPH organoids were grown in Clevers media, exactly as described (16), with media changes every 2-3 days. BMS-754807 and Picropodopyllin were obtained from SelleckChem, and human recombinant CXCL13 from PeproTech. Spheroids and organoids were imaged using a Keyence BZ-X810, and diameters measured using ImageJ. In some experiments, spheroids were harvested from Matrigel by dilution in cold PBS with 5 mM EDTA, then fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, and embedded in paraffin for subsequent sectioning and H&E staining. For qRT-PCR, spheroids and organoids were harvested as above, then RNA isolated using Qiagen RNeasy Mini Kit. Q-RT-PCR was done using TaqMan Gene Expression Assays, with probe sets for IGF1R (Hs00609566_m1), CXCR5 (Hs00540548_s1), and GAPDH Endogenous control (Applied Biosystems), with TaqMan Fast Advanced Master Mix (Applied Biosystems), on a QuantStudio 5 Real-Time PCR System (ThermoFisher).

Data availability

All RNAseq and single-cell RNAseq data are available from the database of Genotypes and Phenotypes (phs000000). Supporting data values associated with the manuscript figures are available as supplemental material, in the Excel file “Supporting_data_values”.
Statistics

Unless otherwise indicated, a two-sided Student’s t-test was performed to assess statistical significance, using GraphPad Prism 10.0, where $P < 0.05$ was considered significant. Paired two-class analysis of RNAseq data was done using SAM (34), which estimates false discovery rates against randomly permutated samples. Pathway analysis was done using Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (Qiagen), where P-values are calculated by Hypergeometric test.

Study approval

The study was approved by the Stanford University Institutional Review Board. Written informed consent was received from participants prior to including in the study.
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Figure 1. BPH “hubs” suggest an inductive stroma. (A) Tangent ducts at the periphery of BPH nodules display epithelial hyperplasia (with taller columnar epithelial cells) (pink arrow) and neo-ductal growth (green arrow) exclusively on the side facing into the nodule, implying an inductive inner stroma (yellow star) and non-inductive outer stroma (blue star). Histologic image from McNeal (3) with permission. (B) For shorthand, we term these ductal structures “BPH hubs” for their similarity to a wagon wheel or USB hub (shown). (C-H) Six hubs (Hubs 1-6) that display the classic features noted by McNeal were selected for laser capture microdissection. Inner stroma (yellow star) and outer stroma (blue star) are indicated. Scale bar is 500µM.
Figure 2. Spatial transcriptomics of BPH hubs nominates stromal inductive factors. (A-E) Laser capture microdissection (LCM) exemplified for Hub-5. (A) H&E stain. Inner stroma (yellow star) and outer stroma (blue star) are indicated; scale bar is 500µM. Note, the H&E section shown in Figure 2A is the same as that presented in Figure 1G. (B) Corresponding LCM scope image. (C) Annotated LCM scope image. The red (inner stroma) and blue (outer stroma) markings register spots for infrared welding laser and boundaries for ultraviolet cutting laser. (D) Captured outer stroma. (E) Captured inner stroma. (F) Volcano plot of RNAseq-identified gene transcripts significantly over-expressed in the Inner versus Outer stroma of the six microdissected hubs. False Discovery Rate (FDR) is plotted against log2 expression fold change. Select genes significantly overexpressed in the Inner (inductive) stroma are indicated.
Figure 3. RNA in situ Hybridization (RISH) verifies IGF1 and CXCL13 expression in inner (inductive) stroma. (A-D) RISH exemplified for a laser microdissected hub, Hub-1. (E-K) RISH exemplified for an independent hub, Hub-7. (A, E) H&E stain. Inner stroma (yellow star) and outer stroma (blue star) are indicated; scale bar is 500µM. (B, F, I) IGF1 expression by RISH (brown staining). (C, G, J) CXCL13 expression by RISH (brown staining). (D, H, K) C7 expression (marks interstitial prostate fibroblasts) by RISH (brown staining); arrows indicate fibroblasts interspersed within the “outside” stroma. Note, RISH for IGF1, CXCL13, and C7 was conducted on seven additional BPH hubs (Supplemental Table 4).
Figure 4

Figure 4. IGF1 and CXCL13 are co-expressed in BPH fibroblasts. (A-D) Single-cell RNAseq of paired BPH-normal human prostate tissue. (A) t-SNE plot of combined BPH/normal prostate cells. Each dot represents an individual cell. Cell clusters (colored) are annotated by the expression of known cell-type markers (e.g., LUM and DCN in fibroblasts). (B) Close-up of fibroblast cells contributed by BPH (purple) or normal prostate (green). (C) Fibroblast gene-expression levels shown for IGF1, CXCL13, SRD5A2 and AR. Color bar depicts log2 transcript counts per cell. (D) Cell co-expression (purple) of IGF1 with CXCL13, SRD5A2, or AR. (E-J) Two-color RNA in situ Hybridization (RISH) of BPH tissue, exemplified for Hub-8, verifies cell co-expression of (E, H) IGF1 (blue) with CXCL13 (red); (F, I) IGF1 (blue) with SRD5A2 (red); and (G, J) IGF1 (blue) with AR (red). Arrows identify representative cells with dual staining. Scale bar is 500µM. Note, two-color RISH for IGF1/CXCL13, IGF1/SRD5A2, and IGF1/AR was conducted on one additional BPH hub (Supplemental Table 4).
Figure 5. IGF1 and CXCL13 receptors are expressed in adjacent prostate ductal epithelium. (A) H&E stain of Hub-8. Inner stroma (yellow star) and outer stroma (blue star) are indicated; scale bar is 500µM. (B-F) Two-color RNA in situ Hybridization (RISH) of Hub-8 shown for (B, E) IGF1 (blue) and IGF1R (red); (C, F) CXCL13 (blue) and CXCR5 (red); and (D) negative control probes. Note, two-color RISH for IGF1/IGF1R and CXCL13/CXCR5 was conducted on three additional BPH hubs (Supplemental Table 4). (G) IHC of Ki-67 identifies proliferating ductal epithelial cells adjacent to IGF1+ stroma. Arrows identify representative Ki-67+ cells. (H) Increased proliferating (Ki-67+) ductal epithelial cells on the side facing the inner/inductive stroma. Data from four hubs (see Supplementary Figure S2, quantified as Ki-67+ cell per mm duct. Mean +/- 1SD shown. *, P<0.05; two-sided paired Student’s t-test. (I) Two-color IHC identifies B cells (CD20, brown) and T cells (CD3, red) within both the inner and outer stroma of hubs. Note, two-color IHC for CD20/CD3 was conducted on one additional BPH hub (Hub-7).
Figure 6. *IGF1* but not *CXCL13* is expressed in stroma of human fetal prostates undergoing branching morphogenesis. Shown are cross-sections of human fetal prostates at gestational ages (A-C) 12 weeks showing the urethra; (D-F) 14.6 weeks showing ductal budding from the urethra; and (G-I) 15 weeks showing ductal branching. (A, D, G) H&E stains; scale bar is 500µM. Note, the large black circle in panel G is a bubble under the coverslip. (B, E, H) Two-color RNA in situ Hybridization (RISH) of *IGF1* (blue) and *IGF1R* (red). (C, F, I) Two-color RISH of *CXCL13* (blue) and *CXCR5* (red). Arrows mark occasional epithelial cells with low-intensity *CXCL13* and/or *CXCR5* expression.
Figure 7. Inhibition of IGF1 signaling abolishes BPH spheroid and organoid formation. (A-E) BPH-1 cell spheroids grown in Matrigel to 10 days, and (F-J) Patient-derived BPH organoids grown in Matrigel to 14 days, in media containing (A, F) vehicle (DMSO); (B, G) IGF1R inhibitor BMS-754807 (1 µM); (C, H) IGF1R inhibitor Picropodophyllin (PPP) (1 µM); or (D, I) recombinant human CXCL13 (100 ng/ml). Scale bar is 100µM. (E) BPH-1 spheroid and (J) BPH organoid generation quantified as the largest 25 diameters (µM) in a random 10X microscope field. Mean +/- 1SD shown. *, P<0.001; two-sided Student’s t-test, with Bonferroni correction applied for multiple comparisons. “Ctr” is media-alone comparison control for CXCL13. Each experiment was conducted twice.