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Introduction

Protease-activated receptors (PARs) are G protein–coupled receptors (GPCRs) that are targeted by endogenous proteases. These proteases cleave the extracellular N-terminus of the receptor to reveal a tethered peptide ligand that then induces cellular signaling (1). These receptors can signal at the cell membrane, but they also continue to generate signaling after internalization via endosomal signaling pathways (2). PARs have been implicated in many pathological states (1, 3–8). Research on PAR2 has focused on pain and inflammation due to the long-standing observation of decreased pain sensitization in F2rl1–/– mice (9). Stemming from this original finding, many subsequent studies have focused on how PAR2 expression in nociceptors, but much of this body of evidence was built using a tool peptide PAR2 agonist, SLIGRL, which is now known to also be an agonist of the Mas-related GPCR family (Mrg) that are highly enriched in sensory neurons. We sought to clarify the cellular basis of PAR2-evoked pain. We developed a PAR2–conditional knockout mouse and specifically deleted PAR2 in all sensory neurons using the Pir1cr1 mouse line. Our behavioral findings show that PAR2 agonist–evoked mechanical hyperalgesia and facial grimacing, but not thermal hyperalgesia, are dependent on PAR2 expression in sensory neurons that project to the hind paw in male and female mice. F2rl1 mRNA is expressed in a discrete population (~4%) of mostly small-diameter sensory neurons that coexpress the Nppb and IL31ra genes. This cell population has been implicated in itch, but our work shows that PAR2 activation in these cells causes clear pain-related behaviors from the skin. Our findings show that a discrete population of DRG sensory neurons mediate PAR2-evoked pain.
PAR2. They found decreased mechanical hypersensitivity in response to proteases that are thought to act on PAR2, consistent with the hypothesis that PAR2-evoked pain is mediated specifically by nociceptors (2). This study also substantially advanced the field of PAR2 biology by demonstrating that PAR2 continues to signal once it is internalized via endosomal signaling. However, this study did not address different pain modalities or delineate precisely which populations of nociceptors express the F2rl1 mRNA.

We targeted exon 2 of F2rl1 to create a sensory neuron–specific conditional PAR2-knockout mouse using the PirtCre line (21). Our findings demonstrate that PAR2-evoked mechanical hypersensitivity and affective pain are lost in these mice while thermal hyperalgesia is lost in response to exogenous agonists but intact for endogenous protease-induced activation of PAR2. RNAscope in situ hybridization and cellular signaling assays on cultured mouse DRG neurons show that PAR2 is expressed by a small population of nociceptors that express several markers that identify itch nociceptors. Interestingly, we find that PAR2 activation leads only to pain, and not itch responses, demonstrating that this subpopulation of nociceptors signals pain with an appropriate stimulus.

Results

Evaluation of PAR2 expression in DRG sensory neurons. Recent RNA-sequencing studies find very low expression levels for F2rl1 mRNA in DRG neurons (14–16), a surprising finding given the large literature on PAR2 signaling in DRG neurons (1). We reevaluated F2rl1 mRNA expression in a deeply sequenced mouse single-cell RNA-sequencing data set (22). We found that F2rl1 mRNA was detected but only in a small subset of cells (Figure 1). Single-cell expression for F2rl1 was identified in a subpopulation of neurons with gene markers Il31ra and Npp6 that coexpress Hrh1 and Mrgrp2, all genes thought to mark a set of sensory neurons that are important for itch sensation (13, 23, 24). These neurons also coexpress Trpv1.

We next examined F2rl1 mRNA expression in mouse DRG using RNAscope. We used probes for mRNAs encoding PAR2, calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP), and P2X3 receptor (P2X3R) in triple-labeling experiments (Figure 2A). PAR2 expression was found in a small subset (3.4%) of neurons in F2rl1flxPirt+/+ mice. We generated a conditional knockout of PAR2, F2rl1flxPirtCre, and tested for knockout of PAR2 mRNA expression in DRG neurons of F2rl1flxPirt+/+ and F2rl1flxPirtCre mice. We detected PAR2 mRNA expression in F2rl1flxPirt+/+ mice but not conditional knockout mice (Figure 2B). In F2rl1flxPirt+/+ mice, PAR2 expression was rare in cells expressing mRNA encoding CGRP, but most PAR2 mRNA–expressing cells coexpressed P2X3R (Figure 2, C–E). PAR2 mRNA–expressing cells were almost entirely small diameter (Figure 2D). As an additional control for the specificity of our conditional knockout approach, we did RNAscope experiments on skin sections from F2rl1flxPirt+/+ and F2rl1flxPirtCre mice. We observed PAR2 mRNA expression in populations of skin cells in both genotypes, demonstrating that PAR2 was not knocked out in skin cells using the PirtCre approach (Figure 2F).

PAR2 agonist–induced signaling occurs exclusively in PAR2-expressing DRG neurons. Having established that PAR2 mRNA expression is restricted to a small proportion of DRG neurons, we tested whether this restricted expression pattern would be found in functional assays. We began with Ca2+ imaging of primary trigeminal ganglion neurons after treatment with the specific PAR2 agonist, 2-at-LIGRL-NH2 (2AT, 1 μM) (25–27). We found that 2AT induced Ca2+ signaling in approximately 4% of DRG neurons (Figure 3, A–C). We also assessed whether PAR2-mediated plasticity could be observed in DRG neurons using patch clamp electrophysiology. To do this, we focused on TRPV1-expressing neurons using a genetically tagged line because our analysis of RNA-sequencing data revealed that PAR2 overlaps with a subset of TRPV1-expressing cells. As predicted, we found that ramp-evoked spiking was augmented by 2AT (1 μM, 3 minutes) treatment but only in CGRP TRPV1+ cells (Figure 3, D and E).

PAR2 agonists can cause development of chronic pain via activation of extracellular signal–regulated protein kinase (ERK1/2) signaling (28). We also tested whether 2AT evokes activation of ERK signaling specifically in PAR2 mRNA–expressing cells. First, we evaluated PAR2 mRNA expression in DRG cultures from mice using RNAscope. We observed PAR2 expression in approximately 3% of cells, almost all of which also expressed P2X3R mRNA (Figure 4, A and B). We then exposed cultured mouse DRG neurons to 2AT (1 μM, 10 minutes) and then did RNAscope for PAR2 mRNA and immunocytochemistry (ICC) for p-ERK. Strikingly, we observed increased ERK phosphorylation but only in cells that also expressed PAR2 mRNA (Figure 4, C and D). These experiments demonstrate that 2AT acts specifically on PAR2-expressing cells to induce increased intracellular Ca2+, augmented cellular excitability, and enhanced ERK activity.
PAR2-driven mechanical hyperalgesia and grimace are mediated by sensory neurons. To determine whether PAR2 receptor activity in DRG sensory neurons is responsible for specific types of nociceptive behaviors, we injected the PAR2 agonist, 2AT, the mast cell degranulator 48/80, or neutrophil elastase (NE) into the hind paws of either control \( F2rl1 \text{floxPirt}^{+/+} \) or PAR2–conditional knockout \( F2rl1 \text{floxPirtCre} \) mice. Using von Frey testing, we found that when 2AT was injected into the hind paws of mice lacking PAR2 in sensory neurons (\( F2rl1 \text{floxPirtCre} \)), the mice showed only a very transient mechanical hypersensitivity (Figure 5A). In contrast, \( F2rl1 \text{floxPirt}^{+/+} \) mice displayed mechanical hypersensitivity that lasted for at least 24 hours and that was significantly greater than PAR2–conditional knockout mice (Figure 5A). Strikingly similar results were obtained for both 48/80 (Figure 5B) and NE (Figure 5C), demonstrating that PAR2-mediated mechanical hypersensitivity requires sensory neuron PAR2 expression in mice.

To determine whether PAR2 activation results in changes in affective measures of pain, we injected 2AT, 48/80, and NE into the hind paws of either \( F2rl1 \text{floxPirt}^{+/+} \) or \( F2rl1 \text{floxPirt}^{++/} \) mice and recorded grimacing behaviors. \( F2rl1 \text{floxPirt}^{++/} \) did not show any signs of grimacing in response to 2AT injection while \( F2rl1 \text{floxPirt}^{+/+} \) showed a significant increase in mouse grimace scores for up to 5 hours after injection (Figure 6A). Likewise, 48/80 (Figure 6B) and NE (Figure 6C) caused grimacing for about 5 hours in \( F2rl1 \text{floxPirt}^{+/+} \), but no grimacing responses were noted in \( F2rl1 \text{floxPirt}^{++/} \) mice. Therefore, grimacing in response to PAR2 activation also depends on PAR2 expression in DRG neurons.

Thermal hyperalgesia findings were less clear-cut than mechanical sensitivity and grimace. Injection of 2AT into the hind paws of \( F2rl1 \text{floxPirt}^{++/} \) did not cause thermal hyperalgesia when compared
with baseline (Figure 7A). *F2rl1* flox Pirt Cre mice showed thermal hyperalgesia only at the 24-hour time point, and the effect size of thermal hyperalgesia was greater in mice with intact PAR2 expression in DRG neurons (Figure 7A). Injection of 48/80 caused significant thermal hyperalgesia at the 5- and 48-hour time points only in *F2rl1* flox Pirt +/+ mice, but the effect size did not differ between genotypes (Figure 7B). NE caused robust thermal hyperalgesia in both genotypes that lasted for 48 hours (Figure 7C).

To determine whether PAR2 receptor activation results in changes in temperature indicative of inflammation of the paw, we used infrared FLIR imaging. With 2AT injection, we did not note any change in hind paw temperature in either genotype at any time point (Figure 8A). On the other hand, 48/80 caused a significant increase in paw temperature but only in *F2rl1* flox Pirt +/+ mice (Figure 8B). Upon injection of NE into the hind paw, we again observed that only *F2rl1* flox Pirt +/+ mice showed a significant increase in paw temperature (Figure 8C).

Our data show that *F2rl1* mRNA is very specifically expressed in a subset of sensory neurons that are associated with itch behavior. Therefore, we assessed acute itch and pain behaviors using the cheek scratch versus wipe assay (29). We used 3 concentrations of 2AT, 2 of which should be specific for
PAR2 and a higher concentration that could potentially activate Mrg receptors (10, 11). We also used interleukin-31 (IL-31, 19 pmol) because the PAR2-expressing population of cells express the IL-31 receptor (IL-31R), and IL-31R signaling is linked to itch behaviors (30). We observed that IL-31 caused an increase in itch bouts, but low doses of 2AT (30 and 100 pmol) did not (Figure 9A). The higher dose of 2AT (10 nmol) did cause itch bouts in WT mice, but this effect was not seen in global F2rl1/−/− mice. IL-31 and both low doses of 2AT caused wipes, indicative of pain behaviors, in WT mice (Figure 9B). The higher dose of 2AT did not cause significant wiping behavior in WT mice but did cause wiping in F2rl1/−/− mice. These findings show that 2AT, at concentrations that are specific for PAR2 activation (11), only causes acute pain behaviors and not itching.

Figure 3. 2AT-evoked Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling is specific for PAR2-expressing neurons. Primary mouse DRG cultures were prepared for Ca\(^{2+}\) imaging (A–C) or whole-cell current clamp recordings (D and E). (A) Representative original magnification ×40 images of cultured DRG neurons loaded with Fura 2 at baseline and upon treatment with 2AT (1 μM) and KCl (50 mM), a positive control for neuronal Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling. White arrows highlight an individual cell responsive to both 2AT and KCl (i.e., PAR2+ neurons). Scale bar: 20 μm. (B) Representative traces of 2 cultured DRG neurons showing changes in [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{i}\) (plotted as 340/380 nm ratiometric change) in response to 2AT and KCl. Baseline measures were recorded for 60 seconds in normal bath solution. Cells were then treated with 2AT (1 μM) for 120 seconds, washed in normal bath solution for 120 seconds, and treated with KCl (50 mM) for 10 seconds. Cells with at least 20% ratiometric change in response to KCl treatment were classified as neurons, and out of these, neurons with at least 40% ratiometric change in response to 2AT treatment were classified as PAR2+. (C) Pie chart illustrating the percentage of PAR2+ neurons in culture as characterized by response to 2AT (1 μM). About 3%–4% of primary cultured DRG neurons (KCl responsive) are PAR2+ (2AT responsive). (D) Whole-cell current clamp recordings reveal increased firing of TRPV1+CGRP+ cultured DRG neurons from CGRPcre/+ER\(^{ROSA26S1-GFPEROSA26S1-GFP}\) reporter mice after activation with 2AT (1 μM). A linear ramp (0 to 0.1 nA for 1 second) was applied to the patched neuron to generate an action potential (AP) train before and after 2AT (1 μM) treatment. (E) Electrophysiological experiments demonstrate that 2AT (1 μM) induced hyperexcitability exclusively in TRPV1+CGRP+ neurons. Changes in neuronal excitability were based on the ratio of current ramp-generated AP frequencies after and before vehicle/2AT treatment. \(n = 7\) for TRPV1+CGRP+ neurons treated with vehicle, \(n = 13\) for TRPV1+CGRP+ neurons treated with 2AT (1 μM), and \(n = 8\) for CGRP+ neurons treated with 2AT (1 μM). Data represent mean ± SEM. One-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparisons (E) **\(p < 0.01\).
Discussion

PAR2 was one of the first pain targets identified using knockout mouse technology (9) and has remained a prominent target in the pain field for 2 decades (1, 8). While many aspects of PAR2 physiology and pharmacology have been revealed, the elucidation of other targets, such as the Mrg family of GPCRs for some broadly used PAR2 tools, has complicated interpretation of much of the existing literature (10). Moreover, several recent RNA-sequencing papers have reported surprisingly low levels of $F2rl1$ gene expression in dorsal root ganglia and/or in single DRG neurons (14, 16, 22). Our experiments were aimed at gaining better clarity on which neurons in the DRG express PAR2 and what aspects of pain behavior are driven by these neurons. Combined with a recent study that independently generated...
a nociceptor-specific F2rl1-knockout mouse with nearly identical findings (2), our work makes it clear that sensory neuron–expressed PAR2 is required for mechanical hypersensitivity and spontaneous pain behaviors caused by PAR2 activation in the paw, at least in male mice. A unique aspect of our work is that we demonstrate that this effect is driven by a very small population of DRG neurons. We conclude that sensory neuron–expressed PAR2 is required for mechanical hypersensitivity and spontaneous pain behaviors caused by PAR2 activation in the paw, at least in male mice. A unique aspect of our work is that we demonstrate that this effect is driven by a very small population of DRG neurons.
that sensory neuron–expressed PAR2 is a key target for mechanical and spontaneous pain driven by the release of endogenous proteases from many types of immune cells.

Thermal hyperalgesia caused by inflammation is at least partially mediated by PAR2 (9). In our experiments we did not observe any genotype differences in 48/80- or NE-evoked thermal hyperalgesia, indicating that this effect is likely driven by PAR2 expression in nonneuronal cells. Interestingly, PAR2 is

Figure 6. PAR2 agonists effectuate facial grimacing via PAR2+ sensory neurons. Mice were injected with PAR2 agonists and grimacing was subsequently scored 1, 3, 5, 24, and 48 hours after hind paw injection. Baseline (BL) measures were obtained before administering 2AT, 48/80, or NE. When compared with F2rl1floxPirt+/+ mice, F2rl1floxPirtCre mice show decreased facial grimacing in response to 2AT (30 pmol) (A), 48/80 (6.5 nmol) (B), and NE (10 units) (C). Effect size is determined by calculating the cumulative difference between the value for each time point and the baseline value. *P < 0.05 compared with F2rl1floxPirt+/+ or F2rl1floxPirtCre groups. **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, ****P < 0.0001. Unpaired t test used for effect size: **P < 0.01, ****P < 0.0001. Asterisks denote significant differences between genotypes. Pound signs denote significant differences versus BL as a function of time.
expressed by a small subset of DRG nociceptors that also express TRPV1, but not CGRP, a receptor that is required for the generation of thermal hyperalgesia in inflammatory conditions (31–33). It is possible that PAR2 is not expressed by a sufficient proportion of these neurons to cause thermal hyperalgesia. Many previous studies have shown that PAR2 activation sensitizes TRPV1 (34–36), but many of these studies used SLIGRL, a PAR2-activating peptide that also stimulates Mrg receptors (10). The cellular basis of PAR2-mediated thermal hyperalgesia remains unresolved.

Figure 7. NE- and 48/80-evoked thermal hyperalgesia is not mediated via PAR2+ sensory neurons. Mice were injected with PAR2 agonists and then latency to paw withdrawal was measured via the Hargreaves test 1, 3, 5, 24, and 48 hours after hind paw injection. Baseline (BL) measures were obtained before administering 2AT, 48/80, or NE. When compared with F2rl1floxPirt+/+ mice, F2rl1floxPirtCre mice showed decreased thermal hyperalgesia in response to only 2AT (30 pmol) (A) but not to 48/80 (6.5 nmol) (B) or NE (10 units) (C). Effect size is determined by calculating the cumulative difference between the baseline value and the value for each time point. *P < 0.05 compared with F2rl1floxPirt+/+ or F2rl1floxPirtCre groups. **P < 0.05 compared with baseline measures. n = 4 for F2rl1floxPirt+/+ and F2rl1floxPirtCre groups treated with 2AT and 48/80, and n = 7 for F2rl1floxPirt+/+ and F2rl1floxPirtCre groups treated with NE. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM. Two-way ANOVA with Holm-Šidák and Dunnett’s multiple comparisons *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, and ***P < 0.001. Unpaired t test *P < 0.05.
PAR2 has previously been implicated in itch, but this literature is also complicated by the nonspecific nature of some widely used PAR2 pharmacological tools. For instance, SLIGRL-induced itch is mediated by an Mrg GPCR, not by PAR2 (10). Nevertheless, some itch-causing agents, such as cowhage, activate PAR2 (37, 38). Interestingly, our RNAscope and analysis of single-cell RNA-sequencing experiments clearly show that \( F2rl1 \) mRNA is expressed in a population of DRG neurons that are known to be critical for itch.

Figure 8. Effects of 48/80 and NE on paw temperature are sensory neuron PAR2-mediated. Mice were injected with PAR2 agonists, and then hind paw temperatures were measured via FLIR imaging 1, 3, 5, 24, and 48 hours after hind paw injection. Baseline measures were obtained before administering 2AT, 48/80, or NE. 2AT (30 pmol) (A) did not cause an increase in paw temperature in either genotype. Unlike the \( F2rl1^{floxP}pirt^{+/-} \) mice, \( F2rl1^{floxP}pirt^{cre} \) mice did not show an increase in paw temperature in response to 48/80 (6.5 nmol) (B) and NE (10 units) (C). Effect size is determined by calculating the cumulative difference between the value for each time point and the baseline value. * \( P < 0.05 \) compared with \( F2rl1^{floxP}pirt^{+/-} \) or \( F2rl1^{floxP}pirt^{cre} \) groups. * \( P < 0.05 \) compared with baseline measures. \( n = 4 \) for \( F2rl1^{floxP}pirt^{+/-} \) and \( F2rl1^{floxP}pirt^{cre} \) groups treated with 2AT and 48/80, and \( n = 7 \) for \( F2rl1^{floxP}pirt^{+/-} \) and \( F2rl1^{floxP}pirt^{cre} \) groups treated with NE. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM. Two-way ANOVA used for temperature, with Holm-Šidák and Dunnett’s multiple comparisons: * \( P < 0.05 \), and ** \( P < 0.01 \); # \( P < 0.05 \), ## \( P < 0.01 \), and ### \( P < 0.001 \). Unpaired t test used for effect size: * \( P < 0.05 \), and ** \( P < 0.01 \). Asterisks denote significant differences between genotypes. Pound signs denote significant differences versus BL as a function of time.
behaviors in mice (23). Importantly, the contribution of this subset of neurons to nociception has not been clear. Because of these previous and current findings, we tested whether 2AT can cause pain or itch behaviors in mice. At 2AT doses that are specific for PAR2 (11), we observed clear pain behaviors, consistent with our grimace findings. We did not observe itch behaviors. IL-31, which acts via a receptor that is expressed by this same population of cells (30), produced both itch and pain behaviors, indicating that activating these neurons is capable of driving both types of behavioral outcomes. These differential outcomes may be mediated by encoding at the level of the spinal cord, as it has recently been shown that burst firing in those itch circuits is required to drive itch behavior (39, 40). At high concentrations of 2AT, we noted both pain and itch behaviors, and the pattern of these behaviors was different in F2rl1–/– and WT mice. Although we did not explore the mechanisms driving this difference experimentally, it may be explained by a complex pattern of recruitment of different populations of nociceptors because of the lack of specificity of the compound at concentrations above ~10 μM (11). This pattern would necessarily be different in mice lacking PAR2. It could also be explained by differential innervation patterns for different types of afferents. In this regard, it has recently been shown that jugular neurons expressing an itch receptor, MrgprC11, signal bronchoconstriction, and airway hyperresponsiveness (41). The physiological outcome of PAR2 activation is likely dependent on the peripheral and central innervation target of the PAR2-expressing neuron.

Previous work from our group has demonstrated that activation of PAR2 leads to the development of a persistent pain state termed hyperalgesic priming (28). Hyperalgesic priming models involve a priming stimulus, such as 2AT, triggering neuronal plasticity that causes long-lasting sensitivity to subthreshold doses of inflammatory cytokines (e.g., prostaglandin E2) after resolution of the initial insult (42, 43). The hyperalgesic priming model serves as an important experimental model for chronic pain conditions in which a transition occurs from an acute to chronic pain state. The priming caused by PAR2 activation indicates a direct role of the receptor in the development of chronic pain disorders where protease release could be involved. The pain plasticity seen after 2AT treatment requires PAR2-mediated activation of ERK and downstream signaling to translation initiation factors that alter gene expression in nociceptors (28, 44, 45). These PAR2-mediated effects could have been due to signaling in sensory neurons or other nonneuronal cells. Our current work demonstrates that this effect is driven mainly by nociceptor-expressed PAR2 because 2AT-evoked mechanical hypersensitivity and grimacing are gone with sensory neuron–specific deletion of the F2rl1 gene and PAR2 activation activates ERK in this specific population of nociceptors. Although we provide compelling evidence that most aspects of PAR2-mediated pain are due to PAR2 in...
nociceptors, it remains to be seen what tissues these nociceptors innervate. This is an important question to address in future studies. PAR2 has been implicated in gastrointestinal pain (2, 18), but few, if any, colonic sensory neurons express F2rl1 mRNA (16). Discovering the innervation pattern of this population of nociceptors will clarify which pain disorders are likely to benefit from PAR2 antagonist therapy.

Methods

Animals. To generate F2rl1lox mice, loxP sites were inserted flanking the F2rl1 gene exon 2 on chromosome 13. The F2rl1 gene contains 2 exons, and exon 2 was targeted because it contains the majority of the coding sequence of the PAR2 protein. Mice were generated on a C57BL/6J background through a contract with Cyagen Biosciences (see Supplemental Methods for project report; supplemental material available online with this article; https://doi.org/10.1172/jci.insight.137393DS1). A neomycin selection cassette was inserted and removed through Flp-mediated recombination. Mice were crossed with PirtCre mice (21), provided by Xinzong Dong at Johns Hopkins University (Baltimore, Maryland, USA) through a material transfer agreement, at University of Texas at Dallas, to generate experimental animals for behavioral experiments. Additional C57BL/6J mice were bred in our colony for cell culture and cellular anatomy studies.

The Rosa26S.Cg-tdTomato/ mouse line on B6.129 background was obtained from the Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, Maine, USA). TrpV1GFP mouse lines were purchased from the GENSAT program (Mutant Mouse Resource and Research Center, University of North Carolina School of Medicine, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, USA, and UCD, Davis, California, USA). The Calcarea+ER mouse line was provided by Pao-Tien Chuang (UCSF, San Francisco, California, USA) (46). Adult male mice were used in described electrophysiology experiments.

Experimental reagents. 2AT was made as previously described (25, 26). NE was purchased from MilliporeSigma (C2313).

Behavioral methods. In behavioral experiments, we used male mice. Behavioral observers were blinded to genotype and treatment in all experiments. Mechanical sensitivity was measured using von Frey filament testing (47). Animals were acclimated to suspended Plexiglas chambers (11.4 × 7.6 × 7.6 cm) with wire mesh bottoms (1 cm²). Withdrawal thresholds to probing the hind paws were determined before experimental treatment and at 1, 3, 5, 24, and 48 hours after administration. Paw withdrawal thresholds were determined by applying von Frey filaments to the nonglabrous plantar aspect of the hind paws, and a response was indicated by a withdrawal of the paw. The withdrawal thresholds were determined by the Dixon up-down method by using blinded observers. The maximum filament strength was 2 g for the experiments.

Mouse grimace scoring was performed as described by Langford et al. (48). The mouse grimace scale is a pain assay used to measure affective pain in response to multiple types of stimuli (49–52). Mice were placed individually in the same suspended Plexiglas chambers with wire mesh bottoms as previously described, allowed to acclimate for 1 hour, and then scored by blinded scorers before experimental treatment and at 1, 3, 5, 24, and 48 hours after administration. The scores of each animal subject were averaged at each time point by group.

Thermal sensitivity was measured using the Hargreaves method (53). Mice were placed on a warmed glass floor (29°C) 20 minutes before each testing, and using a Hargreaves apparatus (IITC Model 390), a focused beam of high-intensity light was aimed at the plantar nonglabrous surface of the hind paws. The intensity of the light was set to 30% of maximum with a cutoff value of 20 seconds. The latency to withdraw the hind paw was measured to the nearest 0.01 seconds. The hind paws were measured before treatment and at 1, 3, 5, 24, and 48 hours after administration.

Paw inflammation was investigated by measuring the temperature of the animal’s hind paws. All testing was performed in a climate-controlled room with an ambient temperature of 21°C ± 2°C. Animals were allowed to acclimate in the testing room for 1 hour before testing. Colorized infrared thermograms that captured the nonglabrous surface of the animal’s hind paws were obtained using a FLIR T-Series Thermal Imaging Camera (FLIR Systems, Inc). The thermograms were captured before experimental treatment and at 1, 3, 5, 24, and 48 hours after administration. Thermogram analysis was performed using the FLIR Thermal Imaging Software. For each thermogram image, a straight line was drawn on the plantar surface of both hind paws, and the mean temperature was recorded from the average of each pixel along the drawn line. The raw temperatures were then plotted for ipsilateral and contralateral hind paws for each individual animal.

To assess cheek itch and wipe bouts, mice were anesthetized briefly with isoflurane, and the left cheek was shaved 2–3 days before intradermal injection. Animals were allowed to adapt to the experimental conditions by placing them in the same suspended Plexiglas chambers with wire mesh bottoms, as previously...
described, before experiments began. On the day of the experiment, mice were habituated for 1 hour in the acrylic boxes, and then their baseline behavior was recorded for 15 minutes. For each mouse, 2 camcorders (Samsung HMZ-F90 and HMX-QF20) were placed in front of and behind the mouse, and recordings were done simultaneously. After 15 minutes of recording baseline behavior, the mice were restrained and received a 10-μL intradermal injection into the cheek of either 2AT (30 pmol, 100 pmol, or 10 nmol) or IL-31 (19 pmol). Injections were done using a Hamilton syringe (catalog 80901) with a 30G needle held parallel to the skin and inserted superficially. Once mice were injected, they were placed back into the acrylic boxes, and their behavior was videotaped for 30 minutes. The video recordings from the 2 camcorders positioned in front of and behind each mouse were edited together into 1 video to give a simultaneous view of 2 angles of the mouse. The behavior in the videos was scored by students who were blinded to the experimental groups. Wiping and scratching behaviors were scored as described previously by Shimada et al. (29).

**DRG cultures.** For primary neuronal cultures used in calcium imaging and RNAscope in situ hybridization, dorsal root ganglia were dissected from adult male and female Institute of Cancer Research mice (bred at University of Texas at Dallas and originally obtained from Envigo) and suspended in Hanks' balanced salt solution without calcium and magnesium before culturing. Ganglia were incubated at 37°C for 25 minutes in 1 mg/mL papain (LS003119; Worthington), followed by 25 minutes of incubation at 37°C in 3 mg/mL collagenase type 2 (LS004176; Worthington) and 2 mg/mL Dispase II (04942078001; MilliporeSigma). Ganglia were then triturated in HBSS with a 1-mL pipette tip. The solution was passed through a 70-μm cell strainer (22363548; Thermo Fisher Scientific), and the cells were resuspended in DMEM/F12/GluTaMAX (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific) culture medium nourished with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; SH30088.03; HyClone) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (pen-strep; 15070-063; Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific). Cells were plated and allowed to adhere for 2 hours, and each well was then flooded with the same supplemented culture medium described previously with an additional 10 ng/mL nerve growth factor (NGF; 01-125; MilliporeSigma) and 3 μg/mL 5-fluoro-2′-deoxyuridine + 7 μg/mL uridine (FRD+U; MilliporeSigma) added. Thereafter, neurons were kept at 37°C and 5% CO₂ in an incubator with supplemented culture medium with NGF and FRD+U changed every other day until further experimentation.

**DRG cultures for immunocytochemistry and RNAscope experiments** were prepared as described above with the neurons plated on 8-well Chamber Slides (154534; Nunc Lab-Tek). The neurons were resuspended in culture medium, plated in 100 μL in each well, and allowed to adhere for 2 hours. Then, the wells were flooded with culture medium supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% pen-strep, 10 ng/mL NGF, and 3 μg/mL + 7 μg/mL FRD+U. Neurons were kept at 37°C and 5% CO₂ in an incubator with supplemented culture medium with NGF and FRD+U changed every other day until their use. Protease III (Advanced Cell Diagnostics) treatment concentration for RNAscope-ICC was optimized to 1:30.

For primary DRG neuronal cultures for electrophysiology recordings, L3-L5 DRG neurons were quickly removed from male reporter mice *CGRPER-cre/+ Rosa26LSL-tDTomato/+* and *CGRPRCre/+ Rosa26LSL-tDTomato/+* *TRPV1-GFP*. DRG neurons were dissociated by treatment with a 1 mg/mL Collagenase/Dispase (Roche) solution. Cells were maintained in DMEM supplemented with 2% FBS, 2 mM l-glutamine, 100 U/mL penicillin, and 100 μg/mL streptomycin. The experiments were performed within 6–36 hours after DRG neuron plating.

**Calcium imaging.** DRG neurons were dissected and cultured as described before and were plated on poly-D-lysine–coated dishes (P35GC-1.5-10-C; MatTek) with additional laminin coating (L2020; MiliporeSigma). Neurons were used within 24 hours of plating. DRG neurons were loaded with 1 μg/μL Fura 2AM (108964-32-5; Life Technologies) for 1 hour before changing to normal bath solution (135 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 10 mM HEPES, 1 M CaCl₂, 1 M MgCl₂, and 2 M glucose, adjusted to pH 7.4 with N-methyl-glucamine, osmolarity of 300 ± 5 milliosmoles). The cells were then treated with 1 μM 2AT dissolved in normal bath solution for 120 seconds. Images were acquired on the Olympus IX73 inverted microscope at original magnification ×40. For purposes of analysis, cells that responded with at least 20% ratiometric change (340 nm/380 nm) in extracellular Ca²⁺ upon treatment of KC1 were classified as neurons. Out of this classification, neurons that responded with at least 40% ratiometric change upon treatment of 2AT were classified as PAR2⁺. The experiment was performed using the MetaFluor Fluorescence Ratio Imaging Software.

**Tissue preparation.** Lumbar DRG neurons and hind paw skin were rapidly dissected, embedded in optimal cutting temperature compound, and flash-frozen immediately in dry ice. Tissues were sectioned at 20 μm onto charged slides. Sections were only briefly thawed to adhere to the slide but were immediately returned to the −20°C cryostat chamber until completion of sectioning.
**RNAscope in situ hybridization.** RNAscope in situ hybridization multiplex version 1 was performed as instructed by Advanced Cell Diagnostics (ACD). Slides were transferred from the cryostat directly into cold (4°C) 10% formalin for 15 minutes and then dehydrated in 50% ethanol (5 minutes), 70% ethanol (5 minutes), and 100% ethanol (10 minutes) at room temperature. The slides were air-dried briefly, and then boundaries were drawn around each section using a hydrophobic pen (ImmEdge PAP pen; Vector Labs). When the PAP pen boundaries had dried, sections were incubated in protease IV reagent for 2 minutes at room temperature. Slides were washed briefly in 1X phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.4) at room temperature. Each slide was then placed in a prewarmed humidity control tray (ACD) containing dampened filter paper. For DRG experiments, F2rl1 (PAR2; 417541; ACD), Calca (CGRP; 417961; ACD), and P2rx3 (P2XR3; 521611; ACD) probes were pipetted onto each section until fully submerged and then incubated for 2 hours at 40°C. For hind paw skin, only the F2rl1 or bacterial dapB (negative control) probes were used. Slides were then washed twice in 1X RNAscope wash buffer and returned to the oven for 30 minutes after submersion in AMP-1 reagent. Washes and amplification were repeated using AMP-2, AMP-3, and AMP-4 (ALT-B) reagents with 15-minute, 30-minute, and 15-minute incubation periods, respectively. Slides were then washed 2 times in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (PB, pH 7.4). The DRG slides were then processed for immunohistochemistry, while the hind paw skin slides were incubated for 5 minutes in 1:5000 DAPI (ACD), washed in 0.1 M PB, air-dried, and coverslipped with ProLong Gold mounting medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

**Immunohistochemistry.** After completion of RNAscope in situ hybridization, DRG slides were incubated in blocking buffer (10% normal goat serum, 0.3% Triton X-100 in 0.1 M PB) for 1 hour at room temperature while being shielded from light. Slides were placed in a light-protected, humidity-controlled tray and incubated in primary antibody (mouse anti–Neurofilament 200; clone N52; MAB5266; MilliporeSigma) at 1:500 in blocking buffer overnight at 4°C. The next day, slides were washed 2 times in 0.1 M PB and then incubated in secondary antibody, goat anti-mouse IgG (H+L) Alexa Fluor 405 (1:2000; A-31553; Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 1 hour at room temperature. Sections were washed 2 times in 0.1 M PB, air-dried, and coverslipped with ProLong Gold mounting medium.

**Image analysis for DRG sections.** Three mice per genotype were imaged on an Olympus FV3000 confocal microscope at original magnification ×20. One image was acquired of each mouse DRG section, and 3 sections were imaged per mouse (total: 9 images). The raw image files were brightened and contrasted equally in Olympus CellSens software and then analyzed manually 1 cell at a time for expression of Calca, P2rx3, and F2rl1. Cell diameters were measured using the polyline tool. NF200 signal (not shown), Calca, P2rx3, and F2rl1 were used to quantify the total neuronal population. Representative images of hind paw skin are shown from F2rl1loxPirt+/− and F2rl1loxPirt−/− mice with a negative control from an F2rl1loxPirt−/+ animal imaged at the same settings.

**RNAscope in situ hybridization on DRG cultures.** DRG cultures were prepared as described with the neurons plated on 8-well Chamber Slides (154534; Nunc Lab-Tek) coated with poly-γ-lysine (P0899; MilliporeSigma). On day 5, the cultures were treated with 1 μM 2AT or vehicle (culture medium) for 10 minutes in the incubator. The samples were then prepared as instructed by ACD. The chambers were disassembled and the slides submerged in 1X PBS. They were transferred to 10% formalin for 30 minutes at room temperature, followed by 3 washes in 1X PBS. Hydrophobic boundaries were drawn around each well as previously described. Each well was incubated with protease III reagent (1:30 in 1X PBS) for 10 minutes at room temperature. Slides were washed in 1X PBS and then placed in a prewarmed humidity control tray containing dampened filter paper. F2rl1 and P2rx3 probes were pipetted onto each well. Two wells received only control probes, negative (bacterial dapB) or positive (320881; ACD). Slides were incubated in the probes, followed by washes, and amplification as previously described. After completion of RNAscope in situ hybridization, ICC was performed.

**Immunocytochemistry.** The following steps were performed in a light-protected humidity control tray. Slides were incubated in blocking buffer (10% normal goat serum in 0.1 M PB) with 0.02% Triton X-100 for 1 hour at room temperature. They were then incubated overnight at 4°C with primary antibody, mouse anti–Neurofilament 200 at 1:500 and rabbit anti–phospho-p44/42 MAPK T202/Y204 (p-ERK; 9101; Cell Signaling Technology), at 1:250 in blocking buffer. The next day, slides were washed twice in 0.1 M PB and incubated for 1 hour at room temperature with secondary antibodies, goat anti-mouse IgG (H+L) Alexa Fluor 405 (A-31553; Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific) and goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) Alexa Fluor 647 (A-21245; Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific), both at 1:2000 in blocking buffer. Slides were washed twice in 0.1 M PB, air-dried, and coverslipped with ProLong Gold Antifade mounting medium.
Image analysis for DRG cultures. Using an Olympus confocal microscope (FV1200), 5 wells of each treatment were imaged. Per well, 3–6 images were taken at original magnification ×40 with 9 Z-slices for a total of 22 images per treatment. The raw image files were projected to their maximum Z, brightened and contrasted equally in Olympus CellSens software, and analyzed manually for expression of P2rx3 and F2rl1. NF200 signal was used to verify the neuronal population. Z-slices that did not contain the neuron in focus were excluded from analysis. Regions of interest of each neuron were drawn using the ellipse tool, and p-ERK signal was quantified using mean gray intensity value. Background values taken from the negative control were subtracted before analysis. Percentages of neurons expressing P2rx3 and F2rl1 were summed from both treatment groups, while p-ERK intensity was compared among 2AT- and vehicle-treated F2rl1-positive and -negative neurons. Representative images at original magnification ×40 are shown for P2rx3, F2rl1, and NF200 expression, along with a zoomed-in image of a single neuron. Zoom-in of a single neuron is also shown for p-ERK expression in a representative F2rl1+ neuron.

Electrophysiology. Recordings were made in whole-cell current clamp configurations at 22°C–24°C. Data were acquired and analyzed using an Axopatch 200B amplifier and pCLAMP10.2 software (Molecular Devices). Recorded data were filtered at 5 kHz and sampled at 30 kHz. Borosilicate pipettes (Sutter) were polished to resistances of 2–3 MΩ. Access resistance (Rₐ) was compensated (40%–80%) when appropriate up to the value of 6–8 MΩ. Data were rejected when Rₐ changed more than 20% during recording, leak currents were more than 50 pA, or input resistance was less than 300 MΩ. Standard external solution contained (in mM): 140 NaCl, 5 KCl, 2 CaCl₂, 1 MgCl₂, 10 d-glucose, and 10 HEPES pH 7.4. The standard pipette (internal) solution contained (in mM): 140 KCl, 1 MgCl₂, 1 CaCl₂, 10 EGTA, 10 d-glucose, 10 HEPES pH 7.3, 2.5 ATP, and 0.2 GTP. Drugs were applied by a fast, pressure-driven, computer-controlled, 4-channel system (ValveLink8; AutoMate Scientific) with quartz application pipettes.

CGRP+ DRG small (<30 pF) neurons from CGRP<sup>pro/+</sup>-ER<sup>+</sup> Rosa<sup>26</sup>SLS-DTomato<sup>+</sup> mice were randomly selected for recording. TRPV1<sup>+</sup>CGRP- DRG neurons from CGRP<sup>pro/+</sup>-ER<sup>+</sup> Rosa<sup>26</sup>SLS-DTomato<sup>+</sup> TRPV1-GFP reporter mice were selected for recording because they have PAR2 (15). To characterize modulation of TRPV1<sup>+</sup>CGRP- or CGRP+ DRG neuron excitation by vehicle (control) or PAR2-activating peptide (2AT), the following sequence of recording protocols was applied: (a) a single AP in current clamp configuration was generated with a 0.5-ms and 1-nA current step to define the type of sensory neurons (54); (b) a linear ramp from 0 to 0.1 nA for 1 second was applied to generate a control AP train; (c) the patched neuron was treated for 2–5 minutes with vehicle or PAR2 activator; and then (d) the ramp as in the step 2 was reapplied. Data were accumulated from 3–5 independent mouse DRG neuronal cultures. Each culture was generated from 1 male mouse. Changes in neuronal excitability were calculated by dividing AP frequency generated by a current ramp after vehicle or drug treatment to AP frequency produced by the ramp before treatment. Excitability was determined to be regulated by 2AT when the drug treatment produced a statistically significant increase in AP frequency versus vehicle treatment (i.e., control).

Bioinformatics. Read counts for each coding gene for 204 single-cell RNA-sequencing profiles of mouse DRG sensory neurons were obtained from Gene Expression Omnibus (accession number GSE63576) (22). t-SNE–based clustering and visualization of the single-cell data sets were performed using Seurat package 2.2.1 (55, 56).

Statistics. All statistical tests used GraphPad Prism version 8.4.1 (GraphPad Software, Inc.). Differences between groups were evaluated using 1- and 2-way ANOVAs followed by Bonferroni’s, Tukey’s, Dunnett’s, or Holm-Šidák multiple comparisons for data sets with 3 or more groups. Unpaired 2-tailed t tests were done for data sets with only 2 groups as indicated in the text and figure legends. Outliers were assessed using a Grubb’s test and excluded. Only 1 outlier data point was identified in this study and is noted in the figure legend for that data set. All statistics, including t, q, degrees of freedom, and exact P values, are shown in Supplemental Tables 1 and 2. All data are represented as mean ± SEM with P < 0.05 considered significant.

Study approval. All animal protocols were approved by the University of Texas at Dallas Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee and were consistent with the NIH’s Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (National Academies Press, 2011).
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