Key driver genes as potential therapeutic targets in renal allograft rejection
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Acute rejection (AR) in renal transplantation is an established risk factor for reduced allograft survival. Molecules with regulatory control among immune pathways of AR that are inadequately suppressed, despite standard-of-care immunosuppression, could serve as important targets for therapeutic manipulation to prevent rejection. Here, an integrative, network-based computational strategy incorporating gene expression and genotype data of human renal allograft biopsy tissue was applied, to identify the master regulators — the key driver genes (KDGs) — within dysregulated AR pathways. A 982–meta-gene signature with differential expression in AR versus non-AR was identified from a meta-analysis of microarray data from 735 human kidney allograft biopsy samples across 7 data sets. Fourteen KDGs were derived from this signature. Interrogation of 2 publicly available databases identified compounds with predicted efficacy against individual KDGs or a key driver–based gene set, respectively, which could be repurposed for AR prevention. Minocycline, a tetracycline antibiotic, was chosen for experimental validation in a murine cardiac allograft model of AR. Minocycline attenuated the inflammatory profile of AR compared with controls and when coadministered with immunosuppression prolonged graft survival. This study demonstrates that a network-based strategy, using expression and genotype data to predict KDGs, assists target prioritization for therapeutics in renal allograft rejection.

Introduction

Acute rejection (AR) currently occurs in approximately 10%–20% of renal transplant recipients in the present era of calcineurin inhibitor–based (CNI-based) immunosuppression and remains a barrier to optimal allograft outcomes. AR can be classified by the mechanisms that underpin the immune-mediated injury as either acute T cell–mediated rejection (TCMR) or antibody-mediated rejection (ABMR), which can also be present simultaneously (mixed AR) in the rejecting allograft. While TCMR is characterized by mononuclear cell infiltration, predominantly T cells and monocytes/macrophages, of the renal interstitium and tubules (1), the diagnosis of ABMR is more complex and was recently refined to encompass histological, serological, and molecular features (2). Patients with TCMR and/or ABMR are at greater risk of inferior functional and histological outcomes, including chronic rejection and subsequent allograft loss (3–5). Previous studies with rigorous molecular analyses of kidney allograft tissue have shown that differentiating transcripts exist between TCMR and ABMR, but many molecular transcripts are in fact common to both (6, 7). These “universal” transcripts were strongly associated with the presence of rejection of any type, and rankings of the top 100 universal rejection transcripts were high in both TCMR-selective and ABMR-selective transcript lists (7). This suggests the processes of rejection are not mutually exclusive and share common nonspecific mechanisms of injury.

Transcriptomic studies in solid organ transplantation have focused on identifying differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in acute allograft rejection compared with healthy controls (8). Heterogeneity of large-scale gene expression profiling studies has been demonstrated across the published literature, allowing meta-analysis of transcriptomic data to increase the statistical power and accuracy.
of expression level estimates (9, 10). However, the standard approach of identifying DEGs provides information on the expression change of each gene in isolation and does not help determine the relevance or contribution of each gene to the pathophysiology of the disease under study. The construction of gene coexpression networks has become a useful approach to organize these genes into groups or modules, based on correlation of their transcript expression patterns (11). This is based on the assumption that highly correlated genes are more likely to be part of similar functions and share transcriptional regulation (12). By further incorporating genotyping data, such as with expression quantitative trait loci (eQTLs) mapping, which associates single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) data with transcript expression, the causal direction between genes can be inferred and predict the master regulators within the gene modules (13, 14). These are the key driver genes (KDGs), whose expression directs changes across the network of molecules involved in disease pathways under their regulatory control. Using this approach, predictions of KDGs in Alzheimer’s disease, coronary artery disease, and inflammatory bowel disease have been described (15–17). KDGs provide insights into the mechanisms of disease but may also serve as targets for therapeutic manipulation. Here we describe the identification of KDGs in AR in the solid organ transplant setting.

There is an unmet medical need for novel therapeutics in AR, but despite this, clinical trials evaluating candidates for AR prophylaxis are few (18). Beyond the extensive time and financial costs of de novo drug design and development and the low success rates of transitioning a drug from phase I trials to clinical use, the transplant population represents a relatively small therapeutic market. Drug repositioning or repurposing refers to identifying new therapeutic applications for drugs already in clinical use and provides an efficient alternative approach to drug discovery (19). The advent of large-scale gene expression profiling has enabled the identification of molecular changes caused by various drugs and compounds not previously considered and now readily accessible through a number of databases (20). Computational approaches to drug discovery for repurposing include searching bioinformatic and chemoinformatic resources (DrugBank, ChEMBL) to find drugs that alter the expression of individual gene transcripts (21, 22), while more advanced strategies facilitate drug predictions against a gene set (Connectivity Map [CMAP], LINCS) (23, 24). This latter approach is particularly relevant in complex conditions, such as AR, where the phenotype is a consequence of the altered expression of numerous molecules across various pathways, where suppressing a network of genes rather than a gene in isolation would have greater therapeutic impact. Our group has previously shown the feasibility of using CMAP to predict therapeutic candidates for repositioning in renal allograft fibrosis, based on a meta-analysis–discovered 85-gene signature with experimental validation of 2 of the identified compounds (25), although KDG derivation was not performed.

In this study, we hypothesized that there are molecular pathways in AR that are inadequately suppressed by standard immunosuppression. We describe the identification of KDGs among these alternative activation pathways in AR that may serve as potential targets for AR prevention.

## Results

A differential gene signature of AR demonstrated substantial enrichment of innate immune cell transcripts among the overexpressed genes. The overall experimental design of our study is presented in Figure 1, and a more detailed workflow of the meta-analysis and gene regulatory network construction is shown in Supplemental Figure 1; supplemental material available online with this article; https://doi.org/10.1172/jci.insight.136220DS1.

First, we obtained microarray gene expression data of renal allograft biopsy tissue from the public domain and our own group, totaling 735 samples across 7 data sets (Supplemental Table 1). To discover a meta-signature associated with AR, samples were dichotomized within each data set into AR and non-AR as designated within their original studies (26–31). AR included phenotypes of TCMR (including borderline), ABMR, and mixed (both TCMR and ABMR) cases, from both indication biopsies (which are prompted by a change in the patient’s clinical or laboratory parameters) and protocol biopsies (which are taken at predefined intervals following transplantation, independent of kidney function) (Supplemental Table 1). A meta-analysis was performed by combining effect size and P value–based methods as described in Methods and Supplemental Methods, yielding an overlap differentially expressed meta-gene signature of 982 genes (565 up- and 417 downregulated DEGs) associated with AR at a false discovery rate (FDR) cutoff of less than 0.05 (Figure 2A, Supplemental Table 2). The Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis
of the DEGs by Fisher exact test indicated that the most enriched biological processes represented by the upregulated genes included the immune and defense response, cell activation, and chemotaxis (Figure 2B). Of the downregulated genes, metabolic transport processes were most enriched (Figure 2C).

To investigate which immune cell subsets were represented by the upregulated DEGs, we processed the microarray data sets of mouse immune cell subsets (http://www.immgen.org) and identified genes that were highly expressed by each cell subtype. Using these data, enrichment analysis of upregulated DEGs revealed that cells classically associated with the innate immune system were most strongly represented (Supplemental Figure 2). Specifically, dendritic cells and macrophages and their precursors, monocytes, featured most prominently, followed by CD4+ T cells, natural killer T cells, and natural killer cells (Figure 2D). B cells were not significantly associated with AR.

Fourteen key drivers were identified from the significantly differential gene modules of AR. Next, to build the gene expression correlation network for the meta-AR signature, the meta-correlation coefficients (meta-r) of the expression data of the 982 DEGs in AR samples in 7 data sets were calculated as described in Supplemental Methods, and only those correlations with |meta-r| > 0.6 and FDR-adjusted P < 0.05 were included for network analysis. The Markov Cluster Algorithm (MCL) for clustering based on correlation coefficients was performed on the meta-correlation matrix of DEGs; the top 10 modules were derived and annotated with the most enriched GO functions in Figure 3A. We observed that the up- and downregulated meta-genes segregated into different modules.

To identify the correlation modules specifically associated with AR samples but not the non-AR samples, we compared the overall difference of gene connectivity of each module between AR and non-AR...
samples and identified differential modules at a $P$ value cutoff of less than 0.05 by 1000 random permutations test (Figure 3B and Supplemental Figure 3A). Interestingly, all differential modules (modules 1, 3, 4, 7, 8, 10) were those composed of upregulated DEGs, with modules 1, 3, and 4 consisting of the largest number of genes, and the immune response was identified as the most enriched biological process in each of these modules. Because the assumption of gene coexpression network analysis is that genes clustered by similarity in expression levels are likely to participate in similar functions, differences in dominant biological processes represented by each module were expected (Figure 3A). Consistent with this, cell activation was strongly enriched in module 1, inflammation and chemotaxis in module 3, and antigen presentation in module 4, although none of these processes was exclusive to any single module. Modules 2, 5, 6, and 9,
comprising downregulated meta-genes, showed no differential connectivity for AR compared with non-AR samples (Supplemental Figure 3A).

Incorporating eQTL data from our Genomics of Chronic Allograft Dysfunction (GoCAR) study, the directional Bayesian network of gene coregulation was built for each differential module to infer the causal relationship between genes. Using a key driver gene algorithm previously described (15) based on the number of out-degrees and downstream genes (Supplemental Figure 3B), 14 KDGs were derived from 5 of the 6 significantly differential modules of AR (Table 1). Of the 14 KDGs, 12 belonged to the largest 3 modules, 6 of which belonged to module 1, including caspase-1 (CASP1), which yielded the highest number of both downstream counts and out-degrees of all the KDGs (Supplemental Table 3). CASP1 was also identified as the global driver of module 1 because it was not downstream to any other genes within this module (Supplemental Figure 3B). The KDGs and their closely connected module members are depicted in Figure 4.

**Overexpression of KDGs in AR was supported in additional renal and heart transplant data sets but not in liver and lung data sets.** Validation of the overexpression of the 14 KDGs was sought in additional kidney transplant biopsy data sets in AR versus non-AR samples and also explored in heart, liver, and lung transplant data sets (Supplemental Table 1) (32–42).

Across 5 additional external and independent renal data sets, a high rate of significantly differential expression of the KDGs was observed. This was moderate in the heart, while few of the KDGs were overexpressed in liver and lung data sets (Figure 5, A and B). The discriminatory ability of the KDG gene set to differentiate AR from non-AR samples in these renal data sets was also evaluated using the geometric mean of the expression of KDGs, and a good performance was achieved, with the area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve (AUC) of AR detection ranging between 0.71 and 0.92 with a median value of 0.8 (Figure 5C). It also performed similarly in the heart allograft data sets (AUC = 0.78–0.91) (Figure 5D) but less accurately in the liver and lung data sets (liver AUC = 0.41–0.51, lung AUC = 0.5–0.69) (Supplemental Figure 4).

**Key driver expression correlates with renal allograft loss.** Because AR is a risk factor for reduced renal allograft survival, the correlation of KDG overexpression with graft loss was further assessed in 2 data sets, the first being the GoCAR data set GSE57387 (26) comprising protocol biopsies obtained 3 months posttransplant (n = 159), which was included in our meta-analyses, and GSE21374 (n = 282) (32), an independent validation data set comprising indication biopsies performed between 4 months and 37 years posttransplant. Within each data set, patient samples were divided equally based on the survival probability from Cox regression model of association of the expression of 14 KDGs with graft survival, and graft survival was compared by Kaplan-Meier analysis. KDG expression was significantly associated with allograft attrition in both data sets (P = 1.82e-03 in GSE57387 and P = 2.11e-03 in GSE21374) (Figure 6, A and C). The ROC curve analysis of graft loss prediction using KDG expression across the samples in each data set further demonstrated this gene set was strongly predictive of graft loss in the first and second years posttransplant in GSE57387 (AUC 0.913 and 0.913, respectively) and in the first and second years postbiopsy in GSE21374 (AUC 0.971 and 0.889, respectively) (Figure 6, B and D).

**Minocycline is identified by drug-repositioning strategies as a potential candidate to suppress key driver expression.** Drugs with U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approval in other disease settings were sought to identify therapeutics that could suppress key driver expression and therefore be repurposed for prophylaxis of AR, as an adjunct to established immunosuppression. A 42-gene set from the largest modules (modules 1, 3, and 4), composed of 12 KDGs and 30 secondary genes with large out-degrees, was inputted into CMAP (Supplemental Table 4) (23). CMAP is a large-scale perturbation database of transcriptional profiles, derived from the treatment of cultured human cells with a library of 1309 drugs or small bioactive molecules, most of which are FDA approved. For each compound a therapeutic rank-score was generated (see Methods). At a P value of less than 0.05, 67 compounds were discovered with a negative correlation score, suggesting an inverse drug-disease relationship (Supplemental Table 5A). Interrogation of DrugBank (22), a database that provides drug data with drug target information, was also performed to identify FDA-approved drugs that inhibit the individual KDGs (Supplemental Table 5B). Among the results, minocycline was identified as an inhibitor of CASP1, the global driver of the largest differential gene module of AR (Supplemental Table 3) and was the only therapeutic agent discovered in both the DrugBank and CMAP interrogation with the KDGs (Supplemental Figure 5). It is a semisynthetic tetracycline antibiotic, although its primary clinical application at present is for acne treatment. Beyond its antimicrobial effects, minocycline has pleiotropic antiinflammatory and
Figure 3. Coexpression modules based on meta-genes and differential modules between AR versus non-AR patients. (A) Top 10 modules (M1–M10) and their primary GO biological processes. Six of the 10 modules were able to differentiate between AR from non-AR patients, as highlighted with an asterisk (permutation test $P < 0.05$). The largest modules comprising the greatest number of DEGs were 1, 3, and 4. Each node represents a gene, with the color denoting the degree of upregulation (red) or downregulation (green). (B) Bar plot in lower panel shows connectivity distance between AR patients and non-AR patients. Differential modules are marked with asterisks.
immunomodulatory properties demonstrated across various experimental inflammatory disease models, including multiple sclerosis (43), inflammatory bowel disease (44), and diabetic nephropathy (45). In solid organ transplantation, its protective effects have been described in allograft rodent models of allograft ischemia/reperfusion injury (46) but have not yet been examined in AR.

Therefore, minocycline was selected as the repurposed drug candidate for AR and tested in an established murine BALB/c to C57BL6 heterotopic cardiac allograft model of AR representing a full MHC mismatch, to examine its impact on KDG expression and more specifically the inflammatory profile of AR and allograft survival (Figure 7A).

Minocycline reduces expression of Caspase-1 and limits the inflammatory profile of AR. Beyond its identification in DrugBank as a CASP1 inhibitor, discovery of minocycline in CMAP against the key driver–based gene set suggested that expression of other KDGs may also be reduced by minocycline. The mRNA transcript of the 14 KDGs was therefore examined in a murine cardiac allograft model comparing allogeneic allografts from recipient mice treated with twice-daily minocycline alone (n = 9) or saline (n = 9) administered via the IP route, starting 36 hours before transplantation through to POD4 when allografts were still viable (that is, allograft heartbeat still manually palpable) but rejection was underway, at which time animals were euthanized and allograft tissue was harvested. Treatment was started 36 hours before transplant to establish drug presence preoperatively with consideration to its short half-life in rodents (2–3 hours). This contrasts to that in humans, where it is 12–18 hours, which is similar to that of established immunosuppressive agents in clinical practice (e.g., tacrolimus and mycophenolate). Syngeneic C57BL6 allografts were used as controls (n = 4). In addition to CASP1 (P = 0.028), the key drivers HCK (P = 0.041), CD3D (P = 0.049), and EVI2A (P = 0.038) were significantly decreased (Figure 7B) in the minocycline treatment group compared with saline treatment, and there was a trend toward decreased expression of a number of other key drivers (Supplemental Figure 6A).

To assess its impact on the inflammatory profile of AR and proinflammatory cytokine and chemokine gene expression, histology and immune cell infiltration were also assessed in these allografts. Minocycline treatment resulted in significantly lower levels of expression of chemokines CCL5 (P = 0.006) and CXCL9 (P = 0.005) compared with saline treatment alone (Supplemental Figure 6B), as well as a reduction in IL-2 (P = 0.009) and IFN-γ (P = 0.003), 2 cytokines associated with T cell priming (Figure 7C). IL-1β, which requires cleavage by CASP1 to its mature proinflammatory form (P = 0.081) (Supplemental Figure 6B), and the proinflammatory cytokine TNF-α (P = 0.077) trended toward but did not reach the level of statistical significance (Figure 7C).

### Table 1. The 14 KDGs from the differential modules of AR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene symbol</th>
<th>RefSeq accession</th>
<th>Full name</th>
<th>Meta-effect size&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Meta-P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CASP1</td>
<td>NM_033295</td>
<td>Caspase-1</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>5.41E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD3D</td>
<td>NM_001040651</td>
<td>Delta subunit of the CD3 receptor</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.78E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRFL3</td>
<td>NM_015986</td>
<td>Cytokine-like receptor factor 3</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>2.11E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVI2A</td>
<td>NM_001003927</td>
<td>Ecotropic viral integration site 2a</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>7.68E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPR65</td>
<td>NM_003608</td>
<td>G-coupled protein receptor 65</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>9.56E-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCK</td>
<td>NM_001172129</td>
<td>Hematopoietic cell kinase</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>2.16E-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCP1</td>
<td>NM_002298</td>
<td>Lymphocyte cytosolic protein 1</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>3.12E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNDA</td>
<td>NM_002432</td>
<td>Myeloid cell nuclear differentiation antigen</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>4.74E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYDJF</td>
<td>NM_012335</td>
<td>Unconventional myosin 1f</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>2.12E-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLEK</td>
<td>NM_002664</td>
<td>Plekstrin</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>3.16E-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSMB10</td>
<td>NM_002801</td>
<td>Proteasome beta subunit type 10</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.47E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSME1</td>
<td>NM_006263</td>
<td>Proteasome activator complex subunit 1</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>3.83E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RASSF2</td>
<td>NM_170774</td>
<td>Ras association domain-containing protein 2</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>2.97E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAV1</td>
<td>NM_001258207</td>
<td>Vav guanine nucleotide exchange factor 1</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>2.52E-07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Meta-P values are adjusted by FDR correction method. <sup>b</sup>Hedges’ g, which estimates the mean difference between the AR and non-AR group.
Because mRNAs are subject to posttranslational regulatory mechanisms, selective evaluation of IFN-\(\gamma\) and TNF-\(\alpha\) protein expression in mouse sera from the same experimental animals was conducted to determine whether these changes were observed downstream, using cytometric bead array. Consistent with their respective mRNA expression profiles within the graft, serum protein levels of IFN-\(\gamma\) were significantly reduced in minocycline-treated animals compared with the saline-treated group \((P = 0.005)\), and TNF-\(\alpha\) serum protein levels were consistent with the mRNA expression data, where there was no significant difference between groups \((P = 0.139)\) (Figure 7D).

Since this in vivo model is mechanistically driven by cell-mediated rejection, we specifically examined the allografts for T cells and macrophage infiltration because they comprise the majority of the
immune cell burden observed in TCMR (47). Consistent with the chemokine and cytokine transcript expression results, the immunohistochemistry staining (Figure 7E) and quantification demonstrated reduced cell infiltrates in the minocycline-treated allografts, with significantly fewer CD3+ T cells ($P = 0.001$) and galectin-3–expressing macrophages ($P = 0.0003$) present compared with those that received saline. Furthermore, the infiltration of both cell types in the minocycline treatment group was not significantly different from that in the syngeneic group (T cells, $P = 0.298$; macrophages, $P = 0.848$) (Figure 7F).

To summarize, minocycline treatment diminished inflammation in the cardiac allografts of mice, with a significant reduction in T cell and macrophage infiltration and proinflammatory cytokine and chemokine transcript expression.
Immunosuppression with additional minocycline prolongs allograft survival. Next, the effect of minocycline monotherapy on allograft survival was examined (Supplemental Figure 7A). Kaplan-Meier survival analysis showed minocycline treatment ($n=5$) compared with saline ($n=5$) did not prolong allograft survival: minocycline group median survival time (MST) 8 days (range 8–9) versus saline group MST 8 days (range 7–9) ($P=0.614$) (Supplemental Figure 7B).

Because the KDGs were derived from AR alternative activation pathways in patients receiving standard immunosuppression, we hypothesized that key driver inhibition is indicated as an additive strategy to established immunosuppression to limit rejection. Given our experience with cytotoxic T lymphocyte–associated protein 4–immunoglobulin (CTLA4-Ig) and its current use in clinical kidney transplantation, we used a single IP dose of CTLA4-Ig on POD2 to provide baseline immunosuppression in addition to the minocycline. Its humanized version, belatacept, is FDA approved for AR prophylaxis in renal transplantation (48) as an alternative to CNIs for suppressing T cell activation.

We assessed the effects of CTLA4-Ig with minocycline or with saline treatment. First, we performed histological examination of allografts of animals that received minocycline or saline through to POD14, in combination with single-dose CTLA4-Ig (Figure 8A). All allografts were still viable at
the time of harvesting on POD14 (n = 4 each group). Troponin I staining to assess viable myocardium showed significantly more staining in the minocycline-treated group (P = 0.020) (Figure 8B). Next, animals received minocycline or saline through to POD27 (Figure 8C); allograft survival was assessed...
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at POD28, but animals were not euthanized if their grafts were still viable. Significant graft survival benefit from the additional minocycline was observed ($P = 0.007$) (Figure 8D).

Mice that received CTLA4-Ig plus minocycline/saline through to POD27 were allowed to go out to day 100, when the graft survival advantage was lost ($P = 0.107$), suggesting that minocycline’s efficacy was as an additional immunosuppressive agent but was not inducing tolerance or any protective effect that outlasted its use (Supplemental Figure 8).

In summary, with ongoing treatment, minocycline, as an adjunct to CTLA4-Ig immunosuppression, extended cardiac allograft survival.

Figure 8. Minocycline as adjunct to immunosuppression confers allograft survival benefit during treatment period. (A) Minocycline and saline were compared as adjunct agents to immunosuppression, administered as a single low dose of CTLA4-Ig (250 μg) IP on POD2. First, animals were given minocycline or saline ($n = 4$ in each group) through to POD14 and culled; all allografts were still viable at the time. (B) Representative immunohistochemistry of Troponin I (brown staining) of POD14 allograft myocardium treated with CTLA4-Ig with adjunct saline, versus CTLA4-Ig with adjunct minocycline, respectively. Quantification using the Positive Pixel Count Algorithm (Version 9, Leica Biosystems) demonstrated significantly greater preservation of Troponin I staining of cardiomyocytes in the minocycline group, indicating less myocardial necrosis compared with the saline group. Scale bar: 300 μm. (C) Next, the effect of adjunct minocycline or adjunct saline treatment to single-dose CTLA4-Ig on allograft survival was compared, with animals receiving minocycline ($n = 8$) or saline ($n = 11$) while grafts remained viable through to POD27, after which treatment was ceased. Graft survival analysis was performed at POD28. Animals were observed thereafter without further intervention and euthanized when allografts completely rejected or when the end of the experimental period (POD100) was reached. (D) Evaluation of allograft survival at POD28 (censored for nonrejection events) immediately following the cessation of adjunct minocycline/saline treatment demonstrated superior graft survival in the minocycline group (0 out of 7 grafts lost) compared with the saline group (7 out of 11 grafts lost) ($P = 0.007$). Student’s 2-tailed $t$ test was used for the comparison of IHC staining of Troponin I in the POD14 cardiac allografts between the 2 treatment groups (*$P < 0.05$). Kaplan-Meier survival analysis using the log-rank test was applied to compare allograft survival at POD28. CTLA4-Ig, cytotoxic T lymphocyte–associated protein 4–immunoglobulin.
Discussion

This study shows the derivation of KDGs among dysregulated AR pathways in renal transplantation, using human allograft microarray data from publicly available data sets and our AR pathways in renal transplantation, using human allograft microarray data from publicly available data sets and our GoCAR study cohort (26). These molecules served as targets for therapeutic discovery, demonstrated by the identification of minocycline by drug-repositioning strategies and in vivo demonstration of its biological efficacy.

An important aspect of this meta-analysis of patient samples is that these rejection episodes occur in the presence of CNI-based maintenance immunosuppression, suggesting that the molecular pathways identified here are those that are not affected by or escape the current immune-modulating effect of standard therapy. In the setting of renal transplantation, few meta-analysis studies of transcriptomic data have been undertaken, and these identified DEGs in AR in single-organ and cross-organ data sets (34), allograft fibrosis (25), and tolerance (49). Extending upon these studies, in this study we have constructed gene regulatory networks among the DEGs and further incorporated genotype data to predict the key drivers within the gene modules.

Specific immune response processes represented by the upregulated meta-genes included cell activation and antigen presentation, and these are highly expressed in innate cell types, particularly macrophages, dendritic cells, and monocytes. This is consistent with recognition over the last 2 decades that innate immune responses play a key role in the development and propagation of AR (50), which can occur even in the absence of T cells (51). It remains, however, that current standard immunosuppression regimens are directed at suppressing T cell activation and proliferation, with no agents targeting the monocyte/macrophage lineage. Macrophages have been demonstrated to accumulate in the renal allograft in the clinical setting in association with both TCMR and ABMR and correlate with rejection severity and graft survival (52, 53). Recently their role has been included as immunopathological evidence of ABMR in cardiac transplantation using macrophage presence in capillaries (54). In our meta-analyses, each of the included studies reported the majority of patients were receiving CNI-based therapy, and while not specifically presented here, we found that IL-2 transcripts were low and nondifferentially expressed across AR and non-AR samples, supporting that this pathway of T cell activation was adequately suppressed. We also observed that enrichment of B cell transcripts was absent despite the inclusion of ABMR samples, consistent with previous studies showing no differential expression of B cell–associated transcripts between ABMR and non-ABMR biopsies (55, 56). Our findings lend further support to the need for therapeutic strategies to target the pathways responsible for monocyte/macrophage lineage activation for AR prevention (57, 58).

Of the 14 KDGs derived, a few have well-described functions in the immune response (e.g., CASP1, HCK, VAV1), while others have not been as well characterized (e.g., EVI2A) and represent opportunities for future study. Published literature for the more characterized key drivers provides support of their identification as key mediators in dysregulated immunopathological pathways of AR. The top-ranked KDG, CASP1, encodes a protease that cleaves pro–IL-1β and IL-18 into their active forms as potent proinflammatory cytokines (59). CASP1 is a component of the inflammasome complexes, which are key innate response elements to pathogen-associated molecular patterns or damage-associated molecular patterns; the latter are triggers for allograft rejection (60). In the setting of AR, selective CASP1 inhibition using an experimental compound to modulate the inflammasome complex was recently described in a rodent liver transplant model (61). Disruption of the activity of VAV1, a signal transducer with a central role in the hematopoietic system, has been shown to prolong murine cardiac allograft survival (62). It is a known substrate of the family of Src-related tyrosine kinases (SFKs), which includes HCK, a KDG belonging to the same module. Consistent with this, our computational analyses placed VAV1 as a downstream molecule to HCK. Members of the SFKs are known regulators of immune response signaling pathways, and in rejecting murine cardiac allografts, HCK has been shown to exhibit the greatest increase in expression and activity of the SFKs (63). A number of FDA-approved Src inhibitors, which are therapeutics in a number of cancer types, were identified in our DrugBank search as inhibitors of VAV1 or HCK. This included dasatinib. Beyond demonstration that it prolongs murine graft survival, our group has shown that it suppresses HCK expression and attenuates renal fibrosis development in vivo (64), and this may occur by it limiting inflammation. PSMB10 and PSME1 are members of the same module that encode for a catalytic subunit and a regulator component of the immunoproteasome, a specialized form of the multi-subunit proteasome with enhanced capability for antigen processing. Bortezomib, a nonselective proteasome inhibitor used in myeloma, has been evaluated in clinical trials of ABMR (65) but...
was limited by unacceptable toxicity; more selective proteasome inhibitors are needed. Another of the KDGs, PLEK, encodes a protein substrate of protein kinase C (PKC). Sotrastaurin, a novel small-molecule inhibitor of PKC, was previously developed for prophylaxis of AR (66) but did not progress beyond phase II clinical trials. Nevertheless, the data on the KDGs and their associated pathways in AR support the discovery of the KDGs as relevant therapeutic targets to prevent rejection.

While KDG overexpression was demonstrated in additional clinical kidney and heart transplant biopsy data sets, this was not observed in liver and lung transplant data sets of AR, suggesting that the KDGs may not be important regulators in these organ types. Immunologically, the liver and lung have a heightened innate immune defense system because of their constant exposure to antigens from the gastrointestinal tract and the external environment, respectively. Even in the steady-state environment, the liver has an abundance of tissue-resident macrophages (67), and in the lungs, macrophages comprise approximately 95% of airway leukocytes (68). This is in contrast to kidneys and heart tissue, which could explain the differences observed. While there are undoubtedly DEGs in AR that are common to all organ types (34), including downstream effector molecules such as inflammatory cytokines that shape the AR phenotype, initiating and/or central regulatory molecules in dominant biological processes may be organ specific.

Our study demonstrated the feasibility of an in silico approach to identify drugs for repurposing in AR, which has been described by only 1 other study in this setting (29). While CMAP was used in our study to predict drugs that could inhibit the key driver–based gene set, we also hypothesized that there are valid reasons for why established immunosuppressive agents in clinical use in renal transplantation were not demonstrated to have significant negative correlations with our input AR gene set. Because patients within the cohorts of the meta-analyses were primarily receiving CNI-based therapy already, these agents were not expected to rank highly against our input gene set. Indeed, the CNI tacrolimus generated a connectivity score of –0.06 with a P value of 0.28 (data not shown), strengthening our confidence in the predictive capacity of CMAP in this study.

Minocycline was chosen for experimental validation because it was the only agent discovered in both repositioning strategies. Supporting its biological plausibility as a potential therapeutic in AR, its described antiinflammatory and immunomodulatory properties has led to its repurposing into clinical trials of non-microbial conditions, including a recent multicenter prospective randomized controlled trial that compared minocycline with placebo on risk of conversion from a clinically isolated syndrome to multiple sclerosis, an immune-mediated inflammatory CNS disease (69). Minocycline also has an acceptable side effect profile and has a convenient twice-daily oral dosing regimen in humans, which are relevant considerations in clinical transplantation. Beyond its inhibitory effects on the inflammasome/CASP1 pathway (45, 70, 71), minocycline may also suppress monocyte and macrophage activation and functions by other mechanisms to attenuate inflammatory responses (72, 73). Modulation of macrophage polarization from the M1 (proinflammatory) phenotype that drives injury, toward the M2 (antiinflammatory) phenotype by minocycline treatment has also been reported in preclinical studies (74). Although the impact on T cells is thought to be downstream of the monocyte-directed effects of minocycline, limited studies have demonstrated there may also be direct effects on T cell priming (75, 76). Our data would be consistent with this because there was reduced IFN-γ gene expression within the grafts of mice treated with minocycline, which correlated with reduced serum protein levels of IFN-γ in these same mice.

Given the absence of therapeutics directed at the monocyte/macrophage lineage for AR prophylaxis in renal transplantation, minocycline could provide additional and nonredundant immunosuppression. In our studies, minocycline with CTLA4-Ig prolonged allograft survival during the treatment period, but this effect did not extend after withdrawal of minocycline. This suggests that minocycline is useful in limiting inflammation but does not promote tolerance and would require ongoing administration. Because the half-life of both oral and parenteral minocycline in a rodent approximates 2–3 hours only (77), in contrast to humans where the half-life is 12 to 18 hours (78), the twice-daily dosing regimen in our in vivo model may not have resulted in its maximal efficacy. However, given its much longer half-life in humans, the optimal dosing of minocycline in its established clinical applications is twice daily, the same as that of the transplant immunosuppressive agents tacrolimus and mycophenolate, which have similar half-lives. Nevertheless, the significant reduction in macrophage infiltration at POD4 with minocycline treatment is encouraging, supporting further evaluation in a clinical trial as an adjunctive therapy to standard T cell–oriented immunosuppression for AR prevention. This repurposing is also favored by its current generic status, its low cost, and its acceptable side effect profile.
Given its additive role in blocking alternative activation immune pathways, it may allow lower immunosuppression with standard agents.

There are some limitations to our study. Methodologically, due to a paucity of SNP data in public databases, the directional Bayesian network within the meta-network module and the key driver predictions were built on the GoCAR genotype data alone and will require confirmation in future studies. Second, our meta-analysis incorporated both clinical cases of AR (identified on indication biopsies) and subclinical cases (AR identified on protocol biopsies), and the significance of subclinical rejection with subsequent graft loss has been the subject of some debate. However, our group has shown in a multicenter prospective GoCAR cohort that allografts with subclinical rejection at 3 months posttransplant have reduced allograft survival (26), and studies that evaluated the gene expression profile of biopsies with clinical AR and subclinical AR suggest the 2 entities represent a continuum of alloimmune activation and are not distinct (79, 80). Our findings here support these observations, with significant correlation of KDG expression with subsequent graft loss in both our GoCAR data set comprising protocol biopsies samples and the external validation data set comprising indication biopsies. Third, we selected only 1 agent for biological validation, and it is possible that other therapeutics discovered in CMAP could be more effective in the AR setting. Finally, beyond the known inhibitory effects of minocycline on CASP1 expression and activation, the mechanisms by which it directly and/or indirectly alters expression of other KDG warrants attention in future experimental studies.

The dominance of innate immune cell transcripts among the overexpressed genes in AR confirm the deficiencies of standard regimens that are mainly directed at inhibiting T cell responses. Therapeutic manipulation of KDGs among these dysregulated innate pathways has the potential for meaningful clinical impact. Prioritization of these as targets for therapeutic intervention facilitated the computational discovery of drug candidates for repurposing, and experimental validation of a select candidate was demonstrated. Taken together, our results show that integrative bioinformatic methods can provide opportunities for meaningful molecular target and drug discovery in the niche field of solid organ transplantation. This can guide the selection of nonredundant drugs for clinical trials in AR prevention, with the ultimate goal of extending graft survival.

Methods
A detailed description of the bioinformatic methods is provided in Supplemental Methods.

Data set description. The 10 public microarray data sets of kidney biopsies posttransplant were collected from the public domain. A further 2 data sets belonged to our research group as part of the Genomics of Chronic Allograft Dysfunction (GoCAR) study, 1 of which is published, and both are available via GEO. Data sets were randomly divided into discovery (7 data sets, Supplemental Table 1) and validation (5 data sets, Supplemental Table 1) cohorts (26–36). Samples were dichotomized to AR (this included TCMR and borderline TCMR, ABMR, and mixed cases) or non-AR, as identified by their original studies. The majority of patients in each of these data sets were under CNI-based immunosuppression, as described in their relevant publications. The discovery sets were used to identify the differentially expressed meta-signatures in AR versus non-AR patients and the KDGs associated with AR from a Bayesian network constructed using the expression correlation network of meta-gene signatures and the genotype data of the GoCAR cohort. The independent kidney allograft data sets were used to validate the association of KDGs with AR. In addition, the expression of KDGs was evaluated in nonkidney transplant gene expression data sets (3 heart, 2 lung, and 2 liver data sets) (Supplemental Table 1) (37–42).

Bioinformatic analysis for meta-signature, key driver identification, and drug repurposing. A detailed description of bioinformatic analysis of identification of meta-gene signature, key drivers of AR, and subsequent discovery of repurposed drugs targeting key drivers is provided in Supplemental Methods and depicted in Supplemental Figure 1. Briefly, a meta-analysis first identified DEGs across multiple microarray data sets by summarizing the effect size of between AR and non-AR groups considering both the expression value difference of 2 groups and sample size. To strengthen the results, we used another approach by combining P values from each data set with limma test into meta-P values. By combining effect size and meta-P approaches, meta-gene signatures were identified based on the criteria described in Supplemental Methods and then subjected to GO and immune cell type enrichment analysis.

Next, a meta-expression correlation network was built with expression correlation coefficients (meta-r) among the meta-genes across the data sets and then divided into submodules using the MCL algorithm. The modules associated with AR were further identified by comparing the connectivity of module genes in AR samples with non-AR samples. Differentially connected modules were then applied to construct the
directed regulatory network using a Bayesian network model (81, 82), with eQTL SNP data derived from our GOCAR data as a prior. The KDGs in each module were algorithmically derived based on the number of out-degrees and number of downstream genes, and the algorithm has been previously described (15). Cox regression model was applied to correlate expression of KDGs with graft loss, and prediction capability of graft loss with KDGs was estimated in 2 data sets (GSE21374 and our GoCAR 3-month data set).

Last, the key driver–based signature of AR was used to query CMAP to identify potential therapeutic drugs that can induce an opposing effect on the expression of AR signatures by following the approach described previously (25, 83). These potential drugs were further queried against DrugBank database (22) to prioritize the drugs that directly target 1 or more KDGs as the candidate drug for experimental validation.

Animals. BALB/c and C57BL/6 male WT mice (~8 weeks of age) were purchased from the Australian Animal Research Centre. Animals were 9–12 weeks of age at the time the experimental procedures were undertaken. Mice were kept in the Westmead Hospital Animal Care Facility in pathogen-free conditions, on a 12-hour light/12-hour dark cycle.

In vivo rodent transplant model of AR. A murine abdominal heterotopic cardiac transplant model of AR was used as described previously (84). The survival of heart allografts with this strain combination is between 7 and 9 days without intervention (85).

BALB/c WT male hearts were transplanted into the abdomen of C57BL/6 mice (day 0) as the allogeneic transplants; C57BL/6 hearts were transplanted into C57BL/6 mice as the syngeneic transplants. Cessation of allograft beat within the first 72 hours of surgery was defined as technical failure, and these animals were excluded from the experiments.

Transplanted recipients that were in the minocycline treatment groups across all the experimental arms received minocycline (M9511, MilliporeSigma) twice daily at 50 mg/kg per dose, administered through the IP route; saline treatment (sham) groups received Dulbecco’s phosphate-buffered saline (Lonza Biologics) twice daily, which was also corrected to body weight. As the aim of this study was to demonstrate any potential effect of minocycline, the cumulative daily dose chosen was at the upper limit of that tolerated in published rodent studies (86, 87). Animals in the saline and minocycline groups received this treatment starting 36 hours before transplantation, similar to experimental rodent models of organ ischemia/reperfusion injury (88). For the experiments with immunosuppression, a single 250-μg IP dose of CTLA4-Ig (Walter and Eliza Hall Institute of Medical Research Antibody Facility) was given. Its use as a single reduced dose at day 2 has been described in rodent models previously in the study of allograft immune processes and prolonged graft survival without inducing tolerance (89, 90).

Grafts were evaluated daily by transabdominal palpation of heartbeat by 2 observers, 1 of whom was blinded to the experimental group of each animal. Complete rejection was defined as cessation of palpable heartbeat of the allograft (91), confirmed upon visual inspection under sedation before euthanasia by exsanguination.

Experimental arms. Treatment with minocycline alone and saline alone was first compared. Animals received either minocycline alone (n = 9) 50 mg/kg IP twice daily or saline alone (n = 9) IP twice daily through to POD4; syngeneic animals (n = 4) were used as controls. All animals were euthanized at POD4; all grafts were still viable. Next, impact on allograft survival was compared between minocycline treatment alone compared with saline treatment but administered until allografts completely rejected.

The impact of minocycline versus saline, when used as adjunct treatment to immunosuppression, was evaluated. This was assessed at POD14 when allografts were still viable. All animals received a single IP dose of 250 μg CTLA4-Ig on POD2 as immunosuppression and either minocycline (n = 4) or saline (n = 4) as described through to POD14. Animals were euthanized at POD14 to allow comparison of allograft histology. Finally, impact on allograft survival was examined. All animals received a single IP dose of CTLA4-Ig on POD2, and either minocycline (n = 8) or saline (n = 11) up to and including POD27, after which minocycline/saline treatment was ceased and the animals observed through to POD100, the end of the experimental period.

RNA extraction from allografts and quantitative real-time PCR. mRNA from allograft tissue was extracted using RNeasy Mini Kit (QUIAGEN). Two micrograms of extracted RNA from the murine cardiac allografts was used for conversion to cDNA, using the SuperScript III First-Strand Synthesis Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) with oligo(dT) primers, as per the manufacturer’s instructions. Quantitative real-time PCR was performed using TaqMan gene expression assays (IDs in Supplemental Methods and Supplemental Table 6) (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and Platinum Quantitative PCR SuperMix-UDG (Thermo Fisher Scientific) on the Bio-Rad Touch CFX 384 system. Samples were run in duplicate, and GAPDH was used as the housekeeping gene.
Cytometric bead array for protein quantification in sera. LEGENDplex Mouse Inflammation Panel (13-plex) (BioLegend, California, USA) was used to quantify the 2 cytokines of interest in mouse serum: IFN-γ and TNF-α. Proteins were detected on BD FACSCanto II (BD Biosciences) following the manufacturer’s instructions (BioLegend). Data were analyzed using BioLegend’s LEGENDplex Data Analysis Software.

Histology and immunohistochemistry. H&E staining of allograft tissue cross sections from the 4-day and 14-day models on formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded sections was performed. Macrophages were detected using primary rat anti-mouse galectin-3 antibody (clone M3/38) at 1:8000 dilution (Cedarlane), then secondary antibody rabbit anti-rat IgG (BA-4001) at a 1:200 dilution (Vector Laboratories). T cells were detected using primary rabbit anti-mouse CD3 antibody (ab5690) at 1:100 dilution (Abcam). Troponin I staining to assess for allograft myocyte necrosis in the day 14 model was detected using primary rabbit anti-mouse Troponin I antibody (ab47003) at 1:100 dilution (Abcam). All IHC staining was performed using Leica BOND RX Automated Research Stainer (Leica Biosystems, Germany) and the BOND Polymer Refine Detection Kit (Leica Biosystems, United Kingdom). CD3- and galectin-3–stained images were scanned and analyzed using Aperio ImageScope (version 12.4.0; Leica Biosystems), as described in Supplemental Methods.

Statistics. Prism software version 7.0 (GraphPad Software) was used for PCR data analysis and serum protein analysis, staining quantification, survival analysis, and graphical presentation of results.

For real-time PCR data of the POD4 experiments, the ΔΔCT method was applied to calculate the level of comparative expression of each gene transcript for each animal in the allogeneic saline and minocycline experimental groups relative to the syngeneic control group, normalized to GAPDH as the housekeeping gene. Data were log transformed, then analyzed for outliers using Grubb’s test; identified outliers were excluded from analysis. Normality of distribution was assessed using Shapiro-Wilk test. Student’s 2-tailed t test was used to compare the relative expression between the saline and minocycline treatment groups for normally distributed data; Mann-Whitney U test was used for non-normally distributed data. Results were expressed as mean ± SEM. For IHC staining of CD3 and galectin-3, 1-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparisons test were performed to analyze the differences between the 3 experimental groups in the POD4 model; Student’s t test was used to compare Tropomin I staining between the 2 groups in the POD14 model. All results are presented as the mean ± SEM. Statistical significance was defined at a P value of less than 0.05.

For protein quantification in the sera, data were analyzed in the same manner as the PCR data except log transformation was not undertaken.

For allograft survival data, the log-rank test was used for evaluation at POD28 and POD100 with a significance level at P < 0.05.

Study approval. The GoCAR study was approved by the institutional review boards of the participating institutions as described previously (26). Written informed consent was obtained from all enrolled patients. All experimental procedures using animals were performed with the approval of the Animal Ethics Committee of Western Sydney Local Health District (Westmead Institute for Medical Research) and adhered to the guidelines set by the National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia.
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