Iron is an essential element for multiple fundamental biological processes required for life; yet iron overload can be cytotoxic. Consequently, iron concentrations at the cellular and tissue level must be exquisitely governed by mechanisms that complement and fine-tune systemic control. It is well appreciated that macrophages are vital for systemic iron homeostasis, supplying or sequestering iron as needed for erythropoiesis or bacteriostasis, respectively. Indeed, recycling of iron through erythrophagocytosis by splenic macrophages is a major contributor to systemic iron homeostasis. However, accumulating evidence suggests that tissue-resident macrophages regulate local iron availability and modulate the tissue microenvironment, contributing to cellular and tissue function. Here, we summarize the significance of tissue-specific regulation of iron availability and highlight how resident macrophages are critical for this process. This tissue-dependent regulation has broad implications for understanding both resident macrophage function and tissue iron homeostasis in health and disease.
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Iron is an essential element for multiple fundamental biological processes required for life; yet iron overload can be cytotoxic. Consequently, iron concentrations at the cellular and tissue level must be exquisitely governed by mechanisms that complement and fine-tune systemic control. It is well appreciated that macrophages are vital for systemic iron homeostasis, supplying or sequestering iron as needed for erythropoiesis or bacteriostasis, respectively. Indeed, recycling of iron through erythrophagocytosis by splenic macrophages is a major contributor to systemic iron homeostasis. However, accumulating evidence suggests that tissue-resident macrophages regulate local iron availability and modulate the tissue microenvironment, contributing to cellular and tissue function. Here, we summarize the significance of tissue-specific regulation of iron availability and highlight how resident macrophages are critical for this process. This tissue-dependent regulation has broad implications for understanding both resident macrophage function and tissue iron homeostasis in health and disease.

Introduction

Iron is an essential element for various fundamental biological processes necessary for life. In mammals, iron is incorporated into proteins that are central for cellular respiration, DNA synthesis, proliferation, xenobiotic metabolism, host defense, and cell signaling. The importance of iron in physiology is evidenced by the pathophysiological consequences triggered by iron deficiency, including impairments in cognitive development, birth defects, cardiovascular diseases, and a host of other health complications (1, 2). Iron overload is also detrimental to health, leading to adverse manifestations in multiple tissues, including the heart, liver, adipose, brain, muscle, and pancreas, and is implicated in the pathogenesis of several metabolic (e.g., type 2 diabetes, nonalcoholic steatohepatitis [NASH]) and neurodegenerative diseases (e.g., Alzheimer’s disease and Parkinson’s disease) (3, 4). Excess intracellular labile iron interacts with ROS that are produced in aerobic conditions, leading to cell and tissue damage via Fenton chemistry (5). Thus, iron concentrations at the cellular and tissue level must be exquisitely governed by mechanisms that complement and fine-tune systemic control — including uptake, transport, storage, and export — in order to maintain local and systemic iron homeostasis.

Macrophages (Mφs), the principal cells responsible for handling iron in mammals, are present in all tissues and are pertinent to tissue homeostatic function (6–10). Mφs are highly plastic in response to the tissue niche, acquiring rapid polarization on a spectrum from an M1-like proinflammatory to M2-like tissue repair phenotype (reviewed in refs. 11, 12). Although classically appreciated for their surveillance role, tissue-resident, self-renewing Mφs have crucial homeostatic functions — including tissue remodeling, pathogen recognition, cell repair, and phagocytic clearance of apoptotic and senescent cells — in virtually all tissues (13–15). In addition to performing basic housekeeping functions, tissue Mφs also help maintain and/or restore homeostatic balance in response to various perturbations. For example, during ischemia or tissue hypoxia, Mφs act as angiogenic accessory cells that support endothelial cell proliferation and vessel sprouting to enhance perfusion (16). Notably, the accessory role of Mφs in supporting metabolically active parenchymal cells is linked with iron handling. Indeed, a postulate gaining traction is that tissue Mφs are so-called ferrostats that sense and respond to local tissue iron needs, thereby regulating the tissue microenvironment. One example of this tenet is a specialized population of adipose tissue (AT) Mφs (ATMφs) — previously identified by our group — that are iron-rich (MFe2+) and have the intrinsic capacity to take up excess iron, and thereby protect adipocytes from iron overload (17, 18). These iron-cycling Mφs are not lim-
are more sensitive to the suppressive effects of hepcidin on Fpn-mediated iron export compared with other
instances. A single exogenous bolus of hepcidin markedly lowers serum iron concentrations, and it is thought that MΦs regulate iron homeostasis and tissue function). On a cellular level, iron is required for basic processes that contribute to cell growth, maintenance, repair, and even cell death. Thus, local iron availability must be tightly controlled to ensure cellular homeostasis. Several recent and excellent reviews have been published describing in-depth mechanisms for intestinal iron absorption, systemic transport, and cellular import/export (6, 21–23). In this section, we provide a brief overview of multiple key players that contribute to tissue iron cycling by regulating cellular iron import, catabolism/storage, and export (Figure 1).

MΦs take up iron or iron-containing molecules via receptors such as transferrin (Tf) receptor protein 1 (TfR1; also known as CD71), LDL-related receptor 1 (LRP1; also known as CD91), and the hemoglobin-haptoglobin receptor (CD163), which bind transferrin-bound iron, heme-hemopexin (Hx-heme), and hemoglobin-haptoglobin (Hb-Hp), respectively; as well as via phagocytosis of erythrocytes and other cells. Plasma ferric iron (Fe^{3+}) is scavenged by apo-Tf, and the resultant holo-Tf is endocytosed after binding TfR1 via clathrin-mediated endocytosis. Within the endosomal compartment, Fe^{3+} is reduced to ferrous iron (Fe^{2+}) by the six-transmembrane epithelial antigen of prostate (STEAP3) enzyme before entering the cytosolic labile iron pool (LIP) via the assistance of divalent metal transporter 1 (DMT1) (24). Extracellular non-Tf-bound Fe^{2+} iron (NTBI) is imported via plasma membrane–localized DMT1 and/or the zinc transporter ZRT/IRT-like protein 14 (ZIP14) and can be directly incorporated into the cytosolic LIP. The intracellular LIP is destined for storage, export, or trafficking (Figure 1). Although some of the LIP is used by Fe^{2+}-dependent proteins in the cytosol, a large majority of iron is trafficked to the mitochondria and incorporated into heme and Fe-S clusters that assist with electron transport and enzyme catalysis (25). Heme oxygenase 1 (HO-1) breaks down heme-iron into Fe^{2+} and two antiinflammatory products, biliverdin and carbon monoxide. Fe^{2+} iron from the LIP that is not metabolized or exported is then stored within the cytosol in a nontoxic heteropolymer comprising ferritin heavy (FtH1) and ferritin light (FtL) chains that cage up to 4500 atoms of iron (26). FtH1 has inherent ferroxidase activity, which is required for iron mineralization into the ferritin nanocage (27). Thus, global FtH1 ablation is embryonically lethal (28), whereas loss-of-function mutations in the FtL-encoding gene or frameshift mutations that alter the FtL C-terminus have been described in humans (29, 30). When the LIP is low, intracellular iron can be mobilized via ferritin degradation by nuclear receptor coactivator 4 (NCOA4) in a process termed “ferritinophagy” (i.e., lysosomal degradation of ferritin) (31). NCOA4 silencing in mice results in hypo-ferritinophagy and anemia due to an inability to mobilize iron from intracellular ferritin stores (32). Thus, the role of ferritinophagy is critical for intracellular iron mobilization, and perturbation of this process is linked with several pathologies reviewed elsewhere (31, 33–35).

Cellular iron is exported as Fe^{2+} via the transmembrane protein ferroportin (Fpn), which contains two lobes that form a central cavity and transition between inward and outward conformations, accounting for export activity (23). Following Fpn-mediated export, the ferroxidase enzyme ceruloplasmin (Cp), localized in the extracellular space, oxidizes Fe^{2+} to Fe^{3+}, which is required for Tf binding and Tf-mediated transport throughout the body (36). Fpn is highly expressed in duodenal enterocytes, hepatocytes, and macrophages (23). It is fundamental for iron export, such that global Fpn deletion abolishes iron export and is embryonically lethal (37, 38). Deletion of Fpn in all tissues, except extraembryonic visceral endoderm and placenta, produces viable pups; however, these animals display growth retardation and anemia shortly after birth (38). Fpn transcription is activated by nuclear factor erythroid 2–like factor 2 (NRF2) and inhibited by Bsh and Cnc homology 1 (BACH1) (23). In addition, specific pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) bind to TLRs and subsequently suppress Fpn transcription — a mechanism important for host defense (39). Hepcidin, a protein produced and secreted principally by the liver, inhibits iron efflux by embedding itself within the center of Fpn and promoting Fpn receptor internalization, ubiquitination, and degradation (6). For example, a single exogenous bolus of hepcidin markedly lowers serum iron concentrations, and it is thought that MΦs are more sensitive to the suppressive effects of hepcidin on Fpn-mediated iron export compared with other
Indeed, Fpn protein expression is suppressed to a greater extent after 24 hours of hepcidin treatment in THP-1 Mβs compared with intestinal epithelial Caco-2 cells. Furthermore, hepcidin treatment lowers Fpn immunoreactivity to a greater extent in Mβ-rich splenic red pulp than in white pulp spleen sections. Thus, hepcidin plays an important role as a modulator of global iron cycling by exerting a high degree of regulatory control over duodenal iron absorption and Fpn-mediated macrophage iron export.

While according to current dogma, iron leaves the cell principally via Fpn transport, it is worth noting that some data suggest that cellular ferritin efflux may be a mechanism for Fe³⁺ export. Moreover, a recent study proposed an alternative iron export pathway, via GAPDH-mediated retro-endocytosis of iron-loaded Tf, and an important but underappreciated iron-release mechanism employed by tumor-associated Mβs (TAMs) to kill cancer cells has been described. In this context, M1-like TAMs produce NO via iNOS that evokes iron efflux from cancer cells. Mechanistically, NO, in addition to glutathione, binds labile iron in cancer cells, leading to formation of dinitrosyl diglutathionyl iron complexes (DNDGICs; also termed DNICs). DNICs are long-lived forms of NO-Fe that can undergo subsequent release from affected cancer cells by multidrug resistance–associated protein 1 (MRP1), leading to cancer cell iron depletion and diminished proliferation. Conversely, DNICs can be sequestered by glutathione-S-transferase P1-1 expressed in cancer cells and/or Mβs. Taken together, there appear to

Figure 1. Overview of Mβ iron metabolism. The uptake of Tf-bound Fe³⁺ is mediated by TFR1. CD163 and LRP1 (also known as CD91) mediate the uptake of Hb-Hp and Hx-heme, respectively. Intracellular heme-Fe (extracted from Hb-Hp and Hx-heme) is catabolized by HO-1 for incorporation into the cellular ferritin pool or trafficked into the mitochondria. Non-Tf-bound iron (NTBI) is imported via DMT1. Iron export by Fpn is facilitated by Cp, which oxidizes Fe⁺⁺ to Fe³⁺ to allow sequestration by apo-Tf. Tf, transferrin; TFR1, transferrin receptor 1; LRP/CD91, LDL-related receptor 1/CD91; DMT1, divalent metal transporter 1; Hb-Hp, hemoglobin-haptoglobin; Hx-heme, hemopexin-heme; HO-1, heme oxygenase 1; Ft-H, ferritin heavy chain 1; Ft-L, ferritin light chain; Fpn, ferroportin; Cp, ceruloplasmin.
be several redundant iron efflux mechanisms that may be disease specific; yet experimentally, cell-specific modulation of Fpn function is a useful tool to study targeted iron overload or perturbed iron trafficking.

Because it is essential for life and at the same time has poor bioavailability, iron is recycled — largely through the erythrocyte hemoglobin cycle (50). Indeed, de novo synthesis of hemoglobin consumes up to 25 mg iron per day, with intestinal iron absorption only accounting for 1–2 mg daily (6). Hence, iron recycling via phagocytosis of senescent red blood cells by splenic red pulp Mφs (RPMφs) and liver Kupffer cells (KCs) largely maintains systemic iron concentrations (51). This systemic iron recycling prevents damage from excess iron, heme, and hemoglobin deposition in organs caused by injured or dying red blood cells. Of note, heme-responsive gene 1 (HRG1) appears essential for heme transport from the phagolysosome to the cytoplasm during erythropagocytosis and is considered necessary for heme recycling by Mφs (52, 53). RPMφs endocytose senescent erythrocytes and recycle the iron through HO-1 breakdown and Fpn export, delivering it in a Tf-bound form to bone marrow for erythropoiesis (54). In fact, the specialized function of RPMφs is unique among splenic Mφs, such that an additional transcription factor, SpiC, is required for the development of these cells (55). Since dietary iron absorption is insufficient to sustain erythropoiesis, RPMφ recycling of heme iron by HO-1 and its release by Fpn is critical (37, 56, 57).

**Mφ polarization and iron handling**

Mφ polarization has been historically simplified to a binary categorization — existing in either an M1 proinflammatory or M2 antiinflammatory state. Although this is useful for generic classification, Mφs exhibit a spectrum of activation states in vivo (11). Nonetheless, it is recognized that even if classified according to the simplified M1/M2 nomenclature, Mφ polarization modulates iron handling (58–60). Indeed, the high degree of iron cycling from M2 Mφs may be related to their role in tissue repair and angiogenesis. These IL-4–activated Mφs are characterized by high expression of CD163, low expression of ferritin, and high expression of Fpn. In contrast, M1 polarization generally favors an iron storage phenotype, with increased expression of ferritin and decreased expression of Fpn, coinciding with bactericidal activity and Mφ cytokine production and immunostimulation (58, 61). Thus, there appears to be a close link between iron and Mφ polarization, such that the presence of iron and polarization modulate one another.

**Tissue Mφs regulate iron homeostasis and tissue function**

An intrinsic property of tissue-resident Mφs is their ability to maintain self-proliferation throughout adulthood, independent of monocyte recruitment (62, 63). Accumulating data suggest that tissue Mφs are ferrostats that sense and then regulate iron availability in the local microenvironment, contributing to cellular/tissue function. Importantly, this fine-tuning would allow for local control of iron homeostasis in response to the tissue’s needs, which may be independent of systemic iron handling. Indeed, iron is now thought to be spatially regulated in various tissues on a microenvironmental scale and in a time-dependent manner — such as during wound repair (60–63), wherein resident M2-like Mφs are largely responsible for the fine-tuned iron uptake and release necessary for proper restoration. Thus, the ferrostat notion has broad implications for understanding resident Mφ function and tissue iron homeostasis in both health and disease (64–67). In this section, we summarize tissue-specific regulation of iron by resident Mφs in physiological and/or pathological states.

**Liver.** The liver is a central hub in systemic iron metabolism, as it is the principal producer of hepcidin and transferrin (8). Many of the iron-handling functions of the liver are modulated and directed by resident KCs. Estimates suggest that these nonmigratory KCs constitute the largest tissue-resident Mφ population in the body (68). KCs are yolk sac derived (69, 70) or arise from fetal hematopoietic stem cells, and reside within liver sinusoids (71). Given their hepatic residence, KCs are exposed to a rich supply of nutrients, invading pathogens and bacteria, and exogenous drugs and toxins. Various substances, including LPS, complement factors, fungi, and bacteria, activate KCs (72).

Importantly, KCs highly express iron metabolism–associated genes and are transcriptionally regulated by SpiC and NRF2 (73). The location within sinusoids positions KCs as the primary cells that recycle iron released from nearby senescent erythrocytes, which may assist in dampening hepatocyte iron overload (74). Aberrant KC activation leads to increased proinflammatory cytokine release and subsequent hepatocyte hepcidin production, resulting in an overall decrease in iron export from the liver. This decreased iron export into systemic circulation contributes to a state of acute anemia (75). Another study supports that KCs exert an inhibitory effect on hepatocyte hepcidin expression in the absence of inflammation (76), implying that KCs can bidirectionally regulate hepatic iron content in an inflammation-dependent manner.
**Spleen.** RPMφs in the spleen play a vital role in recycling iron from senescent erythrocytes. As noted, RPMφs are regulated by the transcription factor SpiC (55) and depend on HO-1 activity for intracellular heme breakdown and free iron release (77). Heme itself may induce SpiC expression via degradation of the transcriptional repressor BACH1 (78). SpiC-knockout mice lack RPMφs and accumulate ferritin in the splenic red pulp, leading to splenomegaly (55), indicating that the RPMφs are necessary for iron recycling. Interestingly, a subpopulation of F4/80hiMac-1lo RPMφs has been implicated in regulating an excessive immune response by dampening CD4+ T cell activity (79), supporting the concept that these Mφs are tissue- regulatory cells. However, the immunomodulatory role of RPMφs requires further investigation.

**Bone and bone marrow.** Multipotent hematopoietic stem cells within bone marrow exert master regulation over hematopoiesis and consequently govern erythropoiesis, with iron being a fundamental element in these processes. A specialized population of resident erythroid island Mφs supports erythropoiesis (80, 81), and their differentiation is dependent on SpiC (78). A running hypothesis is that erythroid island Mφs serve as iron-rich nurse cells that support erythropoiesis. Indeed, recent data indicate that erythroid island Mφs highly express iron-cycling machinery including TIR1, HO-1, and Fpn (82). While transcriptomic analyses have provided insights into the potential mechanistic pathways by which erythroid island Mφs support erythropoiesis, additional studies are needed to determine the explicit function of this unique Mφ population in both healthy and diseased conditions.

Resident Mφs are indispensable for bone remodeling, as evidenced by the well-studied population of osteoclasts that drive bone resorption. Iron is implicated in the regulation of osteoclast function, such that iron release via Fpn is necessary for normal osteoclastogenesis and global skeletal homeostasis in mice (83). For instance, mice that lack Fpn activity in osteoclasts have accelerated osteoclastogenesis and skeletal resorption. A population of non-osteoclast resident bone Mφs (OsteoMacs) was characterized and shown to play a role in bone healing (84). Given this role in bone healing, it will be of great interest to determine whether OsteoMacs are iron-handling regulatory cells.

**Pancreas.** Both resident and recruited Mφs have been implicated in pancreatic function and β cell proliferation (85–88); however, we limit our discussion to the former in this Review. Populations of tissue-resident Mφs have been identified in both the endocrine (islets) and exocrine (interacinar stroma) pancreas (88). Exocrine Mφs are proresolving in nature and appear resistant to inflammatory activation from diet-induced obesity (88). In contrast, islet Mφs — recently subcategorized into two populations, “intra-islet” and “peri-islet” (89) — may be inherently inflammatory in models of type 1 diabetes, where islet Mφs were shown to reside close to vascular beds, where they sample the islet milieu (90, 91). Furthermore, these islet Mφs reportedly take up β cell granules and present insulin peptides to autoimmune CD4+ T cells (90, 91). This may precede diabetic β cell pathology, as the depletion of this population of Mφs in the NOD model improves diabetes outcomes (92). It is important to note that islet Mφs have been studied mainly in the context of type 1 diabetes, and therefore, their role in other metabolic or pathological perturbations is less clear.

Lipotoxicity potentiates β cell loss and is one mechanism that contributes to the pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes. Interestingly, in vitro, iron-depleted β cells are more susceptible to palmitate-induced death than iron-sufficient cells (93). Palmitate decreases TIR1 expression in INS-1 β cells, and gain- and loss-of-function studies reveal that overexpression of TIR1 in INS-1 β cells protects against lipotoxicity-induced cell death, whereas TIR1 knockdown augments β cell destruction (93). Thus, it is reasonable to posit that, in vivo, Mφs supply the required iron for β cell defense in this context. An intriguing observation is that in genetic models of iron overload, excess iron appears to be preferentially stored in the exocrine, rather than endocrine, pancreas (94–97); however, this does not completely phenocopy human islets, which become iron loaded when challenged (98). Species-specific differences in the NTBI importer ZIRP1 may in part explain these disparate findings (99). Nonetheless, additional studies are needed to understand the mechanisms behind intraregional differences in pancreatic iron handling and how the resident Mφ populations contribute to these differences.

**Central nervous system.** Impaired iron homeostasis in the CNS is coupled with neuroinflammation, oxidative stress, neurodegenerative disease pathology, and cognitive decline (100–103). Accordingly, iron must be tightly regulated on a cell-to-cell basis to ensure normal homeostatic function. Microglia, the resident Mφs of the CNS, play an integral role in regulating brain iron levels (102, 104–109). Microglia express iron transport and storage proteins, including DMT1, TIR, ferritin, Fpn, and hepcidin, and acquire both holo- and NTBI (104, 107). In particular, microglia can modulate cellular iron transport in response to their polarization state and extracellular milieu, exhibiting an enhanced preference for NTBI uptake and storage...
in proinflammatory M1-like conditions (104, 107). For example, exogenous exposure to TNF-α and TGF-β increases microglial DMT1 expression and downregulates Fpn, leading to an accumulation of intracellular iron during an inflammatory response (110). On the other hand, antiinflammatory signaling is correlated with an increase in microglial import of Tf-bound iron that may be recycled during the reparative phase of an inflammatory response. Moreover, it has been suggested that shifting from a proinflammatory to an antiinflammatory state releases ferritin from M2-like microglial cells to assist in neuronal remyelination and repair after injury (104, 111).

While microglia adapt to regulate their own iron transport, these cells also play a central role in mediating iron levels among other cells in the brain. Healy et al. showed that increased ferritin expression and secretion occur preferentially in microglial cells in response to increased brain iron levels (112), and it is proposed that microglia accumulate and store iron more efficiently than other cells in the brain (113). Indeed, greater microglial iron tolerance may protect highly susceptible neurons from iron-induced toxicity and associated degeneration (113, 114). In addition to neurons, oligodendrocytes and astrocytes also respond to changes in microglial iron-handling phenotype, further highlighting the importance of these cells in regulating overall brain iron homeostasis (115, 116). For example, repressing microglial ferritin and hepcidin promotes oligodendrocyte-mediated healing after an ischemic insult (117), and microglial release of iron packaged in FtH under conditions of low parenchymal availability assists in functional oligodendrocyte cell survival (106, 107).

Of note, however, microglia are not impervious to iron overload–induced damage. Excessive iron can trigger microglial release of proinflammatory cytokines (such as TNF-α, IL-6, and IL-1β) and/or accentuate production of ROS that disturbs the function of adjacent cell types (101, 104, 114, 116). Microglia are iron loaded in active lesions of multiple sclerosis (118), and activated microglia are associated with increased iron uptake and retention in models of neurodegenerative disorders, such as Alzheimer’s and Parkinson’s disease (107, 109, 114, 118–120). It is clear that microglia play a critical role in the maintenance of brain iron homeostasis; however, more work is needed to elucidate the mechanisms and conditions in which microglial iron cycling contributes to and/or is protective against neural disorder.

Adipose tissue. Regulated control of local iron homeostasis is important in AT for two major reasons: (i) iron availability is necessary for normal adipogenesis (121); and (ii) excess fatty acids in adipocytes, particularly in the context of obesity, react with free iron and induce lipid peroxidation chain reactions, leading to aberrant oxidative stress (122). Along these lines, previous evidence shows that iron overload in adipocytes attenuates systemic insulin sensitivity via what appears to be an adiponectin-dependent mechanism (121, 123). Similarly, Gabrielsen et al. reported that adipocyte-targeted deletion of Fpn using the aP2-Cre mouse model triggers insulin resistance (121). In contrast, another study showed that adipocyte-specific Fpn deletion using the Adipoq-Cre recombinase mouse line does not cause a whole-body insulin-resistant phenotype, and feeding Adipoq-Cre Fpn-knockout mice a Western-type diet (supplemented with high-fructose corn syrup in drinking water, 42 g/L) did not increase adipocyte iron content compared with animals on a control diet (124). Notably, however, the aP2 promoter is also expressed in Mφs (125) and neurons (126), suggesting that the discrepant phenotype observed by Gabrielsen et al. (121) may be related to impaired neural and/or Mφ iron cycling, although this postulate has not been formally tested.

Our group previously detected a population of iron-rich Mφs in AT — termed “MFe<sup>eh</sup>” — in lean mice (18), with the remaining ATMs called “MFe<sup>al</sup>.” These cells were isolated from the stromal vascular fraction of AT using magnetic columns, yielding ferromagnetic and non-ferromagnetic populations, respectively. Flow cytometric analysis of F4/80 and CD11b confirmed that the vast majority of ferromagnetic-positive cells were indeed Mφs (18). ATMs seemingly compensate for iron overload during a high-iron diet or intraperitoneal iron administration (17, 127), increasing their iron content while adipocyte iron concentrations remain stable in the face of iron overload (17). Importantly, MFe<sup>eh</sup> ATMs accumulate excess iron in AT while maintaining an M2-like polarization that is disturbed with diet-induced obesity (17). Interestingly, SpiC — the RPM<sup>M</sup>-associated transcription factor — is elevated in MFe<sup>eh</sup> but not MFe<sup>al</sup> ATMs from mice fed high-iron diets (17). It is tempting to speculate that MFe<sup>eh</sup> ATMs may have an origin distinct from that of their MFe<sup>al</sup> counterparts, yet this notion necessitates further testing. Various omics technologies (e.g., metabolomics, lipidomics), single-cell RNA sequencing, and/or lineage tracing studies using in vivo barcode generation (128) to characterize MFe<sup>eh</sup> versus MFe<sup>al</sup> ATMs would greatly advance our understanding of these distinct Mφ populations.

Skeletal and cardiac muscle. Iron is particularly important for cells with high mitochondrial activity, such as cardiomyocytes and skeletal muscle myocytes, which require iron not only for electron transport but for myoglobin production. Indeed, iron deficiency leads to myopathies in both cardiac and skeletal mus-
while M2-like Mφs retain the ability to recycle iron and partake in wound healing. Thus, one might surmise that M1-like Mφs have diminished iron turnover and decreased iron efflux, in M1-like Mφs that corresponded with impaired healing of chronic venous leg ulcers in mice and humans revealed that impaired phenotypic switching from an M1 to an M2 polarization state led to iron overload of LysMCre-driven Fpn ablation (unpublished observations). These observations are notable given that iron cycling attenuates proliferation of skin epithelial cells and consequently impairs hair follicle growth, leading to transient alopecia in mice — an observation consistent with our mouse model of follicle growth, leading to transient alopecia in mice — an observation consistent with our mouse model of LysMCre-driven Fpn ablation (unpublished observations). These observations are notable given that the impaired hair growth and tissue repair were not attributable to systemic iron deficiency or anemia, supporting a direct local role for Mφs. Along with that used by TAMs (47–49) — that would then be rapidly taken up by iron-sequestering Mφs to diminish iron-induced oxidative stress in parenchymal cells. Given that Mφs adopt an M1-like inflammatory phenotype during early stages of tissue injury, it is plausible that iNOS-catalyzed NO production by Mφs evokes parenchymal iron release via a DNIC-MRP1 efflux mechanism — akin to that used by TAMS (47–49) — that would then be rapidly taken up by iron-sequestering Mφs. Along with this increase in iron influx, Mφs exhibit decreased Fpn transcription and protein expression in response to PAMPs and DAMPs, reducing iron efflux (23, 39, 132, 133). Mφs may also produce hepcidin locally, particularly in response to bacterial signals, to reduce Fpn activity and iron efflux (40, 134, 135). Recent evidence shows that resident Mφs cloak cells following mild (microlesion) but not substantial (macroleision or multiple microlesions) injury, preventing rapid neutrophil-mediated destruction of the injured or dying cells (136). This physical Mφ barrier limits neutrophil contact with cellular debris and tempers neutrophil-induced inflammatory activation. It is tempting to speculate that iron cycling plays a role in this process, such that the cloaking Mφs take up excess iron from the injured parenchymal cell or from the extracellular space, sparing the cell from aberrant levels of oxidative stress.

II. Repair. Following acute stress, cell restoration includes many critical processes such as DNA synthesis, intracellular remodeling, and cellular proliferation. Accordingly, iron-handling Mφs supply the necessary iron required for these regenerative processes. The precise signaling mechanisms that trigger Mφ iron donation to parenchymal cells during the repair phase are not known and require further investigation. However, it is plausible that dampened proinflammatory signaling from injured parenchymal cells during initiation of the repair phase relieves Mφ inhibition of Fpn transcription, allowing reparative iron release from the Mφ. Furthermore, antiinflammatory cytokines and pro-resolvins produced by Mφs may contribute to the transition from iron retention to iron donation, consistent with an M1- to M2-like Mφ shift.

Proposed model for iron-cycling Mφs in the regulation of tissue homeostasis

In the previous section, we presented multiple examples of homeostatic regulation by iron-handling Mφs. Based on the aforementioned examples, we suggest a simplified three-phase model (Figure 2) by which iron-cycling Mφs maintain tissue homeostasis.

I. Injury. It is well appreciated that iron is important for immune cell proliferation and maturation, but iron is also essential for pathogens that compete for its capture. Indeed, in response to an injury or immune insult, parenchymal cells propagate stress signals, including PAMPs and damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs), that instruct Mφs to sequester iron during the injurious event. Iron is rapidly taken up by iron-handling Mφs to diminish iron-induced oxidative stress in parenchymal cells. Given that Mφs adopt an M1-like inflammatory phenotype during early stages of tissue injury, it is plausible that iNOS-catalyzed NO production by Mφs evokes parenchymal iron release via a DNIC-MRP1 efflux mechanism — akin to that used by TAMS (47–49) — that would then be rapidly taken up by iron-sequestering Mφs. Along with this increase in iron influx, Mφs exhibit decreased Fpn transcription and protein expression in response to PAMPs and DAMPs, reducing iron efflux (23, 39, 132, 133). Mφs may also produce hepcidin locally, particularly in response to bacterial signals, to reduce Fpn activity and iron efflux (40, 134, 135). Recent evidence shows that resident Mφs cloak cells following mild (microlesion) but not substantial (macroleision or multiple microlesions) injury, preventing rapid neutrophil-mediated destruction of the injured or dying cells (136). This physical Mφ barrier limits neutrophil contact with cellular debris and tempers neutrophil-induced inflammatory activation. It is tempting to speculate that iron cycling plays a role in this process, such that the cloaking Mφs take up excess iron from the injured parenchymal cell or from the extracellular space, sparing the cell from aberrant levels of oxidative stress.

II. Repair. Following acute stress, cell restoration includes many critical processes such as DNA synthesis, intracellular remodeling, and cellular proliferation. Accordingly, iron-handling Mφs supply the necessary iron required for these regenerative processes. The precise signaling mechanisms that trigger Mφ iron donation to parenchymal cells during the repair phase are not known and require further investigation. However, it is plausible that dampened proinflammatory signaling from injured parenchymal cells during initiation of the repair phase relieves Mφ inhibition of Fpn transcription, allowing reparative iron release from the Mφ. Furthermore, antiinflammatory cytokines and pro-resolvins produced by Mφs may contribute to the transition from iron retention to iron donation, consistent with an M1- to M2-like Mφ shift.
Figure 2. Proposed model by which resident iron-handling Mφs regulate tissue homeostasis during an insult. (1) Parenchymal cell injury initiates intracellular transduction signals that propagate transcriptional and nontranscriptional stress signals. (2) Local tissue-resident Mφs are recruited to the injured site. Since injured cells are more susceptible to iron-induced oxidative damage, Mφs sequester extracellular iron to decrease iron uptake in the injured parenchymal cell. LIP has been reported to increase in response to cell injury, which may promote iron efflux and Mφ uptake. (3) A latency period follows, in which the Mφ retains sequestered iron, allowing for parenchymal cell restoration. This phase of Mφ iron retention may be aided by release of inflammatory cytokines and/or IFN responses that favor an iron-loaded Mφ (i.e., suppression of Fpn-mediated iron export). Parenchymal cell repair requires iron for processes such as DNA synthesis. This utilization of parenchymal intracellular iron depletes ferritin stores. (4) The Mφ mobilizes and relinquishes iron for parenchymal cell repair. (5) An undefined termination signal communicates cell resolution and the Mφ regresses from the site, completing the homeostatic circuit and maintaining local iron balance.
III. Resolution. The transition between parenchymal cell repair and cell resolution is a multifaceted process whereby MΦs play a crucial role in clearing debris and secreting pro-resolvin mediators and antiinflammatory cytokines (137). In addition, we believe that tightly regulated iron donation by MΦs is a critical piece of this repair-to-resolution process. Indeed, loss of MΦ iron donation delays or impairs wound healing in mice (64, 65, 83). Thus, we propose that MΦs continually supply iron to cells undergoing repair until cell regeneration/repair is completed or near completion. Thereafter, a balance in iron trafficking between MΦs and parenchymal cells is achieved, thereby completing the circuit and restoring a state of tissue homeostasis.

To summarize this basic homeostatic circuit, resident MΦs first protect cells from excessive iron-induced oxidative damage and later supply iron for restorative processes in a highly coordinated and time-dependent manner. This model is consistent with the notion proposed by Cairo et al., who suggested that, “because iron retention by inflammatory MΦs contributes to pathogen control during the acute phase of inflammation, the ability of alternatively-activated MΦs to donate iron could provide a relevant contribution to tissue repair in the resolution phase” (138). These are important observations, particularly in regard to the transition states between injurious events (139). That is, during the inflammatory phase of an acute injury, MΦ iron sequestration may advantageously protect the injured cell from iron-induced oxidative damage, whereas during resolution, the MΦs must relinquish labile iron required by the previously injured parenchymal cell for repair. It is plausible that the capacity of MΦs to transition smoothly between these states (i.e., iron retention versus iron donation) dictates whole-tissue homeostasis.

Considerations. Several considerations should be noted in regard to the abovementioned model. First, the model assumes a normal homeostatic starting point (i.e., healthy MΦ and parenchymal cell phenotype). For instance, iron-overloaded MΦs have dampened antimicrobial effector function (140), possibly due to the inability to sequester parenchymal iron in an already iron-loaded state. Thus, various pathologies will likely disturb the circuit at one or more steps. Indeed, patients with type 1 hemochromatosis exhibit iron-deficient MΦs despite whole-body iron overload, and they are more susceptible to infections (141). Furthermore, in atherosclerosis, Hb-handling MΦs have been reported to take on both pro- and antiatherogenic roles (reviewed in ref. 142). Thus, there seems to be a complex temporal relationship between iron trafficking and disease pathogenicity. Second, in addition to pathological conditions, natural aging likely influences MΦ iron handling. Indeed, in vivo and in vitro evidence reveals that aging disrupts MΦ phagocytic activity, polarization, and wound healing capacity (143), all of which are influenced by iron status. Third, our current understanding of MΦ iron handling is largely limited to in vitro studies that employ extreme iron loads and chelation approaches that may not directly mimic the more nuanced in vivo environment. Hence, to further reveal physiologically meaningful conclusions about how MΦ iron handling regulates tissue function, novel in vivo strategies that specifically target MΦ iron handling are needed. Notwithstanding these considerations, a better understanding of the mechanisms governing the transition states between injury, repair, and resolution could allow discovery of drug targets or development of alternative therapeutic interventions.

Outstanding questions. Despite the advances in our understanding of the functions and phenotypes of iron-handling MΦs, multiple questions remain unanswered. Do all iron-cycling MΦs arise from a similar lineage? For example, splenic RPMΦs are yolk sac derived and require the SpiC transcription factor for their development (55). Is this inherent to all tissue-resident iron-handling MΦs? What are the molecular mechanisms that govern iron cycling? That is, what signals MΦs to sequester iron rather than to release iron in the homeostatic circuit? On a macro scale, it is clear that the hepcidin/Fpn axis is an important regulator of iron cycling; yet, this may not explain tissue-specific MΦ-mediated iron cycling activity, where local activity may operate independently from systemic hormonal cues. Indeed, alveolar MΦs were recently implicated in lung iron trafficking and may exhibit some independence from the hepcidin/Fpn axis (144–146), though the cellular mechanisms require additional study. Is it strictly the MΦ polarization and/or activation state that predicts iron-cycling activity; or does iron cycling itself dictate the activation and/or polarization state? Are there distinct cell-surface markers or intracellular genes inherent to iron-cycling MΦs that could be therapeutic targets? Single-cell RNA sequencing and omics technologies in iron-handling MΦs would greatly advance our understanding of this unique population. Finally, what mechanism(s) govern whether iron-handling MΦs contribute to, or protect against, disease status?

Concluding remarks
Iron affects every cell in a fundamental fashion, linking both metabolism and host defense. Hence, mechanisms and intercellular circuits must be in place to tightly regulate and fine-tune iron flux. Accumulating
data indicate that in addition to patrolling for changes in systemic iron levels, resident Mφs are critical in handling iron at the local tissue level. In this Review, we have compiled evidence from various tissues and organs to suggest that tissue-resident Mφs are ferrostats that play a critical role in maintaining tissue microenvironments to regulate both local and systemic homeostasis. It is likely that many other organs and tissues not discussed here are modulated by resident iron-cycling Mφs. Thus, our Review represents just the tip of the iceberg of potential knowledge about how Mφs contribute to tissue iron regulation. Notwithstanding the tissue of interest, we propose that the capacity of iron-handling Mφs to transition smoothly between iron-retaining and iron-donating states largely dictates tissue homeostasis. In conclusion, numerous exciting revelations pertaining to tissue iron homeostasis and Mφ function have arisen in recent years; however, additional work is required to uncover the underlying intrinsic and extrinsic mechanisms by which the macrophage ferrostat coordinates cellular, tissue, and organ function.
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