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Introduction

The lack of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) has been a significant contributor to the inadequate response to immunotherapies (1–3). Even in tumors enriched with TILs, the dominant existence of dysfunctional PD-1+Tim3+CD8+ T cells is an established indicator of the poor prognosis of patients with cancer (4–6).

T cell–stimulating cytokines play essential roles in T cell activation and proliferation (7–11). Insufficient T cell growth factors inside the tumor microenvironment (TME) might contribute to the lack of TILs. Two decades ago, IL-2 was approved by the US FDA to treat metastatic renal cancer, but clinical application has been hindered by its short half-life and severe side effects for most patients. Peg-IL2, with an increased half-life, has been investigated in clinical trials for tumor treatment, but severe toxicity makes it a daunting therapy for patients with cancer (12–14). IL-21 is another cytokine that has been shown to have antitumor effects (15–17). As a 4 α-helix bundle cytokine produced by CD4+ T cells and NK T cells, IL-21 exerts pleiotropic functions by facilitating the maturation and enhancing the cytotoxicity of CD8+ T cells and NK cells, promoting the differentiation of memory CD8+ T cells and suppressing the induction and function of Tregs (18–25). However, the detailed mechanism for how IL-21 affects dysfunctional CD8+ T cell subsets in tumors is not clear. Antigen-specific dysfunctional CD8+ T cells inside the TME are characterized by reduced responses to tumor antigen restimulation. These T cells are often paralleled by low proliferative capacity and the impaired production of IFN-γ, TNF-α, and IL-2. Dysfunctional T cells increasingly express inhibitory molecules, including PD-1, LAG-3, TIM-3, and CTLA-4, which may contribute to the loss of T cell effector functions (26–29). Blocking inhibitory receptor pathways has become a promising strategy for reactivating dysfunctional CD8+ T cells in the tumor to facilitate an adaptive immune response.

Nevertheless, immune checkpoint blockade (ICB) alone is restricted by the limited expansion of some TILs and the high frequency of subsequent resistance (30–35). It is also unclear which population of TILs is preferentially expanded. Moreover, merely releasing braking by blocking checkpoints might not be sufficient to induce strong immunity for tumor regression, especially for tumors with few TILs.
Previous studies have demonstrated that IL-21 deficiency could induce CD8+ T cell dysfunction in chronic viral infection, suggesting that IL-21 might play a role in maintaining T cell function after constant antigen stimulation (36). However, it is unknown whether IL-21 deficiency could impair functional cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs) inside tumors or if exogenous IL-21 could reactivate the dysfunctional CTLs. Previous work of ours showed that intratumoral injection of IL-21 could shift tumor macrophages from an M2 to an M1 phenotype and that this resulted in tumor control (37). Unfortunately, the half-life of IL-21 is also very short (37, 38), and the intratumoral administration of recombinant IL-21 is rather difficult to administer for most patients.

In this study, we delineated the mechanism through which the engineered tumor-targeting antibody IL-21 improves T cell–mediated antitumor function inside the TME to achieve effective tumor control, with reduced off-target toxicity and prolonged half-life.

**Results**

*Tumor targeting is crucial for the antitumor efficacy of IL-21.* To study the clinical relevance of intratumoral IL-21 in antitumor prognosis, we analyzed The Cancer Genome Atlas database. We observed that high expression of IL-21 in the TME correlated with enhanced patient survival in skin cutaneous melanoma (Supplemental Figure 1A; supplemental material available online with this article; https://doi.org/10.1172/jci.insight.132000DS1) and head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (Supplemental Figure 1B). We first investigated whether the IL-21–mediated antitumor responses were initiated in the tumor. Using an engineered protein of IL-21 fused to the Fc portion of IgG1 antibody (IL-21-Fc), we compared treatment effects of IL-21 for MC38 tumor in C57BL/6 mice, via two different administration pathways, either intratumor local injection or i.p. systemic injection (Figure 1A). Systemic therapy with IL-21-Fc failed to control tumors, while intratumoral injection slowed the growth of MC38 tumors (Figure 1A), indicating that IL-21 may play a significant role in antitumor activities inside the TME. This result raises the possibility that the targeted delivery of IL-21 to the tumor may enhance antitumor efficacy. To evaluate the importance of tumor targeting for IL-21, we engineered several tumor cell lines expressing chimeric EGFR (cEGFR), in which 6 amino acids of mouse EGFR were replaced by the residues found in human EGFR to allow the binding of anti–human EGFR antibody (39, 40). FDA-approved Erbitux (Erb) was chosen to target cEGFR. We generated an Erb-IL21–Fc (Erb-IL21) fusion protein for IL-21 to be precisely delivered into cEGFR tumors by binding directly to cEGFR+ tumor cells (Figure 1B). We also designed a negative control fusion protein LA22-IL21–Fc (LA22-IL21) with another anti-EGFR antibody (LA22) that binds to WT EGFR but not cEGFR on tumors (Figure 1B). The purified IL-21-Fc and Erb-IL21 were analyzed by SDS-PAGE, which verified the high purity of these IL-21 fusion proteins (Supplemental Figure 2A). The binding of Erb-IL21 was tested by incubating with cEGFR+ tumors cells in vitro, and the efficient binding of IL-21 to cEGFR+ cells was confirmed by anti-mouse IL21-APC staining. (Supplemental Figure 2B). Subsequently, to further confirm whether the fusion protein targets cEGFR+ tumors specifically in vivo, C57BL/6 mice were inoculated with cEGFR+ (MC38-cEGFR) cells in the right flank and cEGFR– (MC38) cells in the left flank. The mice were then i.v. treated with Cy5.5-labeled Erb-IL21 or LA22-IL21 at the same dose. Untreated mice were used as controls. Indeed, fluorescent photography data showed that Erb-IL21 accumulated substantially more fluorescence in cEGFR+ tumors (right) than in cEGFR– tumors (left). In contrast, LA22-IL21 was detected at a much lower level of fluorescent intensity in cEGFR+ tumors (Figure 1C and D). This result confirms the essential role of Erb in the tumor targeting of Erb-IL21 in vivo. To investigate whether Erb-IL21 could enhance antitumor effects through the targeted delivery of IL-21 to cEGFR+ tumors, we i.p. treated mice bearing MC38-cEGFR tumors with the same molecular IL-21 dose of Erb-IL21, LA22-IL21, or IL-21-Fc. We observed that Erb-IL21 effectively controlled tumor growth, while LA22-IL21 and IL-21-Fc did not (Figure 1E). These data suggest that the therapeutic effects of tumor-targeted Erb-IL21 were much more potent than those of nontargeted LA22-IL21 or IL-21-Fc. To exclude the possibility that the antitumor effect is caused by immune responses against human EGFR in the tumor, we further monitored Erb-IL21 therapy in EGFR-Tg mice, which constitutively express human EGFR. These mice were obtained by cross-breeding EGFR-Tg mice with WT C57BL/6 mice for 10 generations. The immune cells in EGFR-Tg mice tolerate both mouse and human EGFR and do not mount an immune response against the cEGFR (41). Consistent with previous results, Erb-IL21 also inhibited tumor growth in EGFR-Tg mice (Figure 1F). Altogether, these results suggest that the tumor regression induced by Erb-IL21 resulted from tumor-targeting IL-21 but was not due to cEGFR antigenicity.
Erb-IL21 presents the same antitumor potency but much lower toxicity than Erb-IL2. To evaluate the toxicity of Erb-IL21, we treated the tumor-bearing mice with the same molar quantity of Erb-IL21 and fusion protein controls. We measured the inflammatory cytokines in the serum at different time points. No apparent toxicity was observed for Erb-IL21 (Supplemental Figure 3, A and B). We also tested the half-lives of Erb-IL21 and LA22-IL21. Similar to human IgG, the half-lives of both fusion proteins were about 24 hours (Supplemental Figure 2C), while the half-life of native IL-21 was less than half an hour (38). To evaluate whether the antitumor effect of Erb-IL21 is dose dependent, we treated tumor-bearing mice with various doses of Erb-IL21 (25 μg, 75 μg, and 225 μg). Mice without treatment were chosen as controls. We observed that...
Erb-IL21 could control tumors at a dose of 25 μg and achieved tumor eradication at 75 μg and 225 μg (Supplemental Figure 4A). Moreover, we determined that even at a dose of 225 μg, no apparent toxicity was observed for Erb-IL21 (Supplemental Figure 4B–D).

Beyond the activation of CD8+ T cells, IL-21 can suppress Tregs (42–44). In contrast, IL-2, a cytokine widely evaluated in preclinical and clinical studies, promotes both CD8+ T cells and Tregs (45–47). We speculated that these 2 cytokines are comparable in antitumor effects and toxicity when delivered systemically. MC38-cEGFR tumor-bearing mice were i.p. injected with the same dose of either Erb-IL21 or Erb-IL2 on day 11 after tumor inoculation. We observed that Erb-IL2 could limit tumor growth at a dose of 25 μg, similar to the effect of Erb-IL21 (Figure 2A). As shown in previous studies, IL-2 results in severe side effects (48–50). We further compared the toxicity of Erb-IL21 with that of Erb-IL2 by measuring changes in body weight and the levels of serum cytokines after treatment. We observed that 25 μg Erb-IL2, but not Erb-IL21, resulted in significant weight loss compared with that of the untreated mice 6 days after initial treatment (Figure 2B).

Additionally, 25 μg Erb-IL2 led to high concentrations of MCP-1, TNF-α, and IFN-γ in the blood, while Erb-IL21 did not result in any detectable presence of inflammatory cytokines (Supplemental Figure 5, A–C). When the mice were treated with 75 μg Erb-IL2 versus Erb-IL21, Erb-IL2 resulted in a sharp weight loss and even mouse death 4 days after the initial treatment, while Erb-IL21 did not influence body weight (Figure 2C). Moreover, the survival percentage after Erb-IL2 treatment rapidly decreased due to toxicity, while Erb-IL21 treatment maintained a long term and high percentage of survival (4 of 5 mice) (Figure 2D). Collectively, our data revealed that Erb-IL21 is an efficient and safe cytokine to be used in tumor treatment.

**Tumor regression induced by IL-21 depends on CD8+ T cells.** Next, we performed a series of experiments to determine the antitumor activity of the Erb-IL21 fusion protein in different tumor models, including MC38-cEGFR, B16-cEGFR, and Ag104Ld-cEGFR. C57BL/6 mice bearing cEGFR+ tumors were i.p. treated with 75 μg Erb-IL21 3 times within a week. An impressive antitumor effect of Erb-IL21 was observed in all tumor models (Supplemental Figure 6, A–C), suggesting that Erb-IL21 may be widely applied for the treatment of different kinds of tumors.

IL-21 can reactivate and expand NK cells (7, 51, 52) and shift macrophages from an M2 to an M1 phenotype (37). To explore whether innate immune cells play essential roles in antitumor treatment by Erb-IL21, we inoculated C57BL/6 mice with MC38-cEGFR tumor cells. Ten days later, the mice were i.p. treated with 75 μg Erb-IL21, and NK cells and macrophages were depleted using anti-NK1.1 and anti-CSF1R antibody treatment, respectively. We observed that the depletion of these cells did not affect the antitumor capacity of Erb-IL21, indicating that the therapeutic effect mediated by Erb-IL21 may require adaptive immunity (Figure 3A). To evaluate the essential role of adaptive immunity during Erb-IL21 treatment, we inoculated Rag-1–deficient mice with MC38-cEGFR and i.p. treated them with 75 μg Erb-IL21. Indeed, Erb-IL21 was unable to inhibit tumor growth in Rag-1–deficient mice (Supplemental Figure 6D). To determine if T cells are required for IL-21–mediated tumor control, we depleted CD4+ T or CD8+ T cells during Erb-IL21 treatment. We observed that the antitumor effect of Erb-IL21 was abolished after depleting CD8+ T cells, while the deficiency of CD4+ T cells did not affect tumor regression (Figure 3B). We further analyzed the amount of CD8+ T cells in tumors by flow cytometry on day 5 after Erb-IL21 treatment. Compared with the Erbitux group, the percentage of CD8+ T cells in tumor-infiltrating T cells increased in mice receiving i.p. treatment of Erb-IL21 but not LA22-IL21. The number of CD8+ T cells increased in mice treated with Erb-IL21 and LA22-IL21 (Figure 3, C and D). IL-21 can potently augment the frequency and enhance the activity of antigen-specific CTL responses (53). IFN-γ is the crucial cytokine released by functional T cells and is an evaluating marker of antigen-specific T cell responses to tumors. To investigate whether tumor-targeting IL-21 enhances the function of CD8+ T cells more effectively than when IL-21 was not targeted to the tumors, we compared CTLs induced by the i.p. injection of Erb-IL21 and LA22-IL21. The frequency of IFN-γ+CD8+ T cells in the TME was significantly increased after Erb-IL21 but not LA22-IL21 treatment (Figure 3E). This finding indicates that tumor-targeting IL-21 can increase functional T cells in immunogenic tumors.

**Preexisting intratumoral CTLs are essential and sufficient for Erb-IL21 therapy.** Systemic Erb-IL21–induced tumor control can depend on either preexisting TILs in the tumor or newly arriving TILs, such as primed cells from drain lymph nodes. To distinguish tumor-resident T cells from peripheral T cells migrated into tumors, MC38-cEGFR tumor-bearing mice were treated with FTY720 during Erb-IL21 i.p. treatment to
diminish peripheral T cell migration. FTY720 is a small-molecule analog of sphingosine 1-phosphate (S1P) that induces the internalization and degradation of the S1P receptor to prevent lymphocyte egress from the lymph nodes (54). Despite a dramatic reduction in circulating PBMCs after FTY720 treatment, there was no change in the antitumor efficacy of Erb-IL-21. This result suggests that T cells that had infiltrated the tumor before FTY720 treatment were sufficient to generate the antitumor response (Figure 4A). Furthermore, when anti-CD8 was given i.p. to mice for depletion of CD8+ T cells during FTY720 and Erb-IL21 treatment, the therapeutic effects of Erb-IL21 were abrogated (Figure 4A). These data imply that preexisting tumor-infiltrating CD8+ T cells are sufficient in Erb-IL21 treatment.

IL-21 is also reported to increase the frequency of memory T cells (20, 55). We used 3 different approaches to assess the development of immunological memory after treatment with Erb-IL21. To determine whether Erb-IL21 treatment has a prolonged protective effect on impeding the growth of dormant residual cancer, we rechallenged WT and EGFR-Tg mice that underwent complete tumor regression after Erb-IL21 treatment with a lethal dose of MC38-cEGFR cells. All of these mice were completely resistant to tumor challenge (Figure 4, B and C). In our next approach, we tested the tumor-specific CD8+ T cell response in the lymph nodes of MC38-cEGFR tumor-bearing mice treated with Erb-IL21 and control proteins. Five days after the last treatment, IFN-γ–secreting cells were evaluated by ELISPOT after in vitro stimulation with the same tumor strain of MC38-cEGFR or irrelevant B16 cells. We observed that stimulation with MC38-cEGFR but not B16 cells resulted in significantly increased IFN-γ–producing CD8+ T cells from mice treated with targeting Erb-IL21, compared with those not targeted with LA22-IL21 (Figure 4D). These data suggest that Erb-IL21–treated mice had acquired protective memory immunity.
Finally, we examined whether the CTLs induced by Erb-IL21 could control a distal tumor. We used a double-tumor model in which the in situ tumor was cEGFR+ and the distal tumor was cEGFR–. We intratumorally treated the cEGFR+ tumor with 20 μg Erb-IL21 and measured the volume of the distal EGFR– tumor. Erb-IL21 not only controlled the growth of the in situ tumor (Figure 4E) but also arrested the progression of the distal tumor (Figure 4F). These results indicate that Erb-IL21 treatment establishes a memory T cell antitumor response and that Erb-IL21–induced CTLs can limit the growth of remote tumors.

To investigate whether IL-21 can regulate tumor antigen–specific CD8+ T cells, we assessed the changes of tumor-specific T cells inside the TME after local delivery of IL-21. We treated mice harboring established OVA-expressing MC38 tumors (MC38-OVA) with intratumorally delivered Erb-IL21. Tumor CD8+ T cells were detected via flow cytometry. Compared with the control group, both the ratio and number of CD8+ T cells increased after Erb-IL21 treatment on day 5 (Supplemental Figure 7, A and B). IFN-γ–producing CD8+ T cells, as the functional CTLs, were also significantly increased (Supplemental Figure 7, C and D). This result indicates that IL-21 treatment enhanced the function of CD8+ T cells. To determine the tumor antigen–specific T cell response, we analyzed OVA-responsive T cells by a tetramer-staining assay. We observed that, compared with the control group, both the frequency and the total number of OVA-specific CD8+ T cells were also increased in tumors 5 days after initial IL-21 treatment (Supplemental Figure 7, E and F). Together, these data demonstrate that tumor-targeted delivery...
of IL-21 leads to a further increase in the antigen-specific T cell response and cytokine production. We also observed that the frequency of Ki-67+ OVA-specific CD8+ T cells was enhanced after IL-21 treatment, indicating potential T cell proliferation (Supplemental Figure 7G). Besides, the ratio of CD8+ T cells to Tregs in tumors was increased after Erb-IL21 treatment (Supplemental Figure 7H). These data suggest that IL-21 might promote CTL function by augmenting tumor antigen–specific CD8+ T cells.

IL-21 resulted in a lower level of PD-1 on tumor antigen–specific CD8+ T cells. IL-21 was reported to reverse the innate NK cell dysfunction in tumors (56). We wondered whether IL-21 could also affect the adaptive dysfunctional CD8+ T cells and tested antigen-specific T cell responses after IL-21 treatment using MC38-OVA. As one of the most overexpressed inhibitory receptors in dysfunctional CD8+ T cells, PD-1 in intratumoral CD8+ T cells is associated with functional impairment in tumor and chronic infection immune responses (57–59). Thus, based on PD-1 expression, we divided antigen-specific CD8+ T cells into 3 subgroups: cells with the highest PD-1 expression (PD-1hi), cells with intermediate expression (PD-1int), and cells with the lowest PD-1 expression (PD-1lo).
(PD-1int), and PD-1hi cells. To monitor the influence of Erb-IL21 on antigen-specific CD8+ T cell status in the TME, we tested the mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of PD-1 in MC38-OVA tumors. We observed that the MFI of PD-1 in OVA-specific CD8+ T cells was reduced after Erb-IL21 treatment (Figure 5A). We proposed 4 possible pathways for the MFI reduction of PD-1 in CD8+ T cells after IL-21 treatment: (a) migration of new PD-1+ T cells; (b) IL-21–induced apoptosis of PD-1+CD8+ T cells; (c) the direct suppression of PD-1 on PD-1hi CD8+ T cells that become PD-1int CD8+ T and PD-1– CD8+ T cells; and (d) the proliferation of PD-1int and PD-1– CD8+ T cells.

To confirm whether the lower number of PD-1hi CD8+ T cells is attributed to new infiltration, we used FTY720 to block newly activated T cells from leaving the draining lymph nodes during IL-21 treatment. T cells in PBMCs will become undetectable at 24 hours after 1 dose of FTY720 treatment. Our results showed that the FTY720 blockade did not affect the IL-21–induced MFI reduction of PD-1 in tumor-specific CD8+ T cells (Figure 5B). To investigate whether the PD-1 MFI reduction in CD8+ T cells is caused by the apoptosis of the PD-1+ T cell population, CD8+ T cells were sorted from C57BL/6 mouse spleens and activated by anti-CD3 and anti-CD28, with the addition of Erb-IL21, Erb, or neither. Very few apoptotic annexin V’PD-1+CD8+ T cells were observed, and there was no significant change in the number of apoptotic cells after treatment with Erb-IL21 or Erb (Supplemental Figure 8, A and B). We also analyzed apoptosis of PD-1hi and PD-1int antigen-specific CD8+ T cells in MC38-OVA tumors. Compared with the control group, there was no significant difference observed (Supplemental Figure 8C). These results suggest that the PD-1 reduction may not depend on IL-21–induced PD-1+CD8+ T cell apoptosis. It has been reported that PD-1 expression on PD-1+CD8+ T cells remains stable and PD-1+CD8+ T cells will not transform to PD-1–CD8+ T cells (60–62). To determine whether PD-1 expression on PD-1+CD8+ T cells also remains stable in our model, we tested the change of PD-1 expression on PD-1+CD8+ T cells after IL-21 treatment in vitro. Indeed, Erb-IL21 did not affect PD-1 expression and MFI on PD-1+CD8+ T cells (Supplemental Figure 9, A and B). Together, these data suggest that the PD-1 reduction does not depend on the downregulation of PD-1 on PD-1+ CD8+ T cells.

**IL-21 selectively expands PD1intTim-3 CD8+ functional T cells in tumors.** To investigate the cause of PD-1 MFI reduction in CD8+ T cells, we further tested the effect of IL-21 on the proliferation of PD-1int versus PD-1hi populations among CD8+ T cells. We first analyzed the proliferation of PD-1hi and PD-1int populations using CSFE labeling in vitro. CD8+ T cells were activated by anti-CD3 and anti-CD28 in the presence of control or Erb-IL21 for 72 hours. Strikingly, IL-21 treatment led to increased proliferation of the PD-1int population compared with the PD-1hi population among CD8+ T cells in vitro (Figure 5C). To investigate the effect of IL-21 on the proliferation of the PD-1int versus PD-1hi population of tumor antigen–specific T cells, we intratumorally treated WT C57BL/6 mice bearing MC38-OVA tumors with IL-21 on day 12 and day 15 after tumor inoculation. PD-1hi and PD-1int OVA-specific CD8+ T cells were isolated from tumor tissues 5 days after initial IL-21 treatment and stained with Ki-67 to identify proliferation by flow cytometry. Similarly, as in the in vitro setting, IL-21 preferentially induced the proliferation of PD-1int rather than PD-1hi OVA-specific CD8+ T cells in vivo (Figure 5D). PD-1’Tim-3’ T cells are highly dysfunctional compared with PD-1’Tim-3’ and PD-1’Tim-3’ antigen-specific CD8+ T cells in tumors (60, 63). We further tested the frequency and number of CD8+ T cell subsets after IL-21 treatment, including PD-1’Tim-3’, PD-1’Tim-3’ (PD-1’Tim-3’ and PD-1’Tim-3’), and PD-1’Tim-3’ cells. Specifically, we observed that the frequency of PD-1’Tim-3’ OVA-specific CD8+ T cells in tumors was reduced, and the frequency of PD-1’ Tim3’ OVA-specific CD8+ T cells was increased (Figure 5E). We also observed similar changes in CD8+ T cells (Figure 5, F and G). Moreover, the frequency of PD-1’Tim-3’ in Ki-67’CD8+ T cells was significantly increased after IL-21 treatment, while that of PD-1’Tim-3’ in Ki-67’CD8+ T cells was reduced in tumors (Figure 5H). Together, these data suggest that IL-21 expands functional CTLs and restricts the development of exhausted T cells in the TME through selectively proliferating PD-1’Tim-3’ CD8+ T cells. Our study demonstrates that the targeted delivery of IL-21 expands a subset of antigen-specific CD8+ T cells and converts immune inhibition to tumor-killing activation in the TME. IL-21 selectively increases proliferation of PD-1intTim-3 CD8+ T cells while decreasing PD-1’Tim-3’CD8+ T cells. To investigate whether PD-1’Tim-3’ CD8+ T cells contribute to the antitumor effect of IL-21, we sorted OVA-specific PD-1’Tim-3 CD8+ T cells and PD-1’Tim-3’ CD8+ T cells from MC38-OVA tumor after IL-21 treatment and did functional analysis. We examined the expression of IL-2, TNF-α, and IFN-γ in these cells by flow cytometry after coculture with OTI peptides and dendritic cells. PD-1’Tim-3’ CD8+ T cells produced high levels of cytokines, while PD-1’Tim-3’ CD8+ T cells almost had no cytokine
Figure 5. IL-21 proliferates PD-1intTim-3– more than PD-1+Tim-3+CD8+ T cells in tumors. (A, B, and E–G) C57BL/6 mice (n = 5–6) were inoculated with 6 × 10^5 to 8 × 10^5 MC38-OVA cells and were intratumorally treated with 20 μg hlgG or Erb-IL21 on days 12 and 15. Five days after the first treatment, tumor tissues were analyzed by flow cytometry. (A) MFI of PD-1 on antigen-specific intratumoral CD8+ T cells. MFI is defined as the geometric median fluorescence intensity. (B) Tumor-bearing mice were i.p. treated with 25 μg FTY720 1 day before treatment and every other day 3 times. MFI of PD-1.
on antigen-specific (OVA-specific) CD8+ T cells was analyzed. (C) The proliferation of PD-1+ T cells. CFSE-labeled CD8+ T cells from C57BL/6 WT mouse spleen were cocultured with 0.2 µg anti-CD3 and 0.2 µg anti-CD28, with an additional 100 ng Erbitux or Erb-IL21 for 72 hours. Cells treated with hlgG were used as control. The percentage of proliferating PD-1+ and PD-1-CD8+ T cells was analyzed by flow cytometry (n = 3 independent wells). (D) Frequency of PD-1hiKi-67+/PD-1intKi-67- or PD-1intKi-67+/PD-1hiKi-67- in OVA-specific CD8+ T cells. (E) Frequency of PD1intTim-3- and PD-1+Tim-3+ in OVA-specific CD8+ T cells. (F and G) Percentage of PD-1intTim-3- or PD-1+Tim-3+ in CD8+ T cells. (H) Percentage of PD1intTim-3+Ki-67+/PD-1+Tim-3+Ki-67- in CD8+ T cells. The mean ± SEM values are shown. Unpaired t tests were used to analyze the other data. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, ****P < 0.0001. One of two representative experiments is shown.

Discussion

IL-21 has been shown to exert potent antitumor activity against Mantle cell lymphoma via direct stimulation of NK cell–dependent lysis (73). IL-21 was also reported to be more effective than IL2 and IL-15 in controlling thymoma in the syngeneic mouse model through enhancing and sustaining CD8+ T cell responses (74). However, in clinical trials, IL-21 antitumor efficacy is limited by its short half-life. It has been shown that anti-CD20-IL21 and GIFT-21 can prolong the half-life of IL-21 and enhance the antitumor effect in non-Hodgkin lymphoma or melanoma tumor models (1, 2). In this study, we constructed a tumor-targeting fusion protein of IL-21 with FDA-approved Erb and prolonged the half-life of IL-21. Our results show that the targeted delivery of IL-21 enhanced the antitumor effect and reduced toxicity to undetectable levels, compared with the nontargeting control IL-21 fusion protein. The targeted delivery of IL-21 slowed tumor growth not only in colon cancer, but also in melanoma and fibroma tumor models, providing a potentially new approach for the treatment of a wide variety of cancers.

In our study, we used cEGFR-expressing tumors mainly to achieve an antibody-directed targeting of tumor; here, we provide a proof of concept indicating that tumor-targeted IL-21 enhances antitumor efficacy in mouse models. The Erb-IL21 fusion protein can target to human EGFR–derived cEGFR. To exclude the potential immunogenicity of human EGFR in mice, we also tested the Erb-IL21 antitumor effect in human EGFR–Tg mice (41) and observed that Erb-IL21 also inhibited tumor growth in EGFR-Tg mice.
Figure 6. PD1⁺ Tim-3⁻ is more functional than PD-1⁺ Tim-3⁺ CD8⁺ T cells in tumors. (A–C) OVA-specific CD8⁺ T cells were sorted from MC38-OVA tumor and cocultured with bone marrow dendritic cells (BMDC) and SIINFEKL (OVA) peptides for 24 hours. Six hours before sacrifice, mice were i.v. treated with 250 μg BFA. Frequency of IL-2⁺, TNF-α⁺, and IFN-γ⁺-producing cells was analyzed by flow cytometry ($n = 3$ independent wells). (D) TNF-α and IFN-γ in the medium were measured using a CBA kit. The mean ± SEM values are shown. Unpaired $t$ tests were used to analyze the other data. *$P < 0.05$, **$P < 0.01$, ***$P < 0.001$, ****$P < 0.0001$. 
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Thus, tumor-targeting IL-21 will be of more benefit to patients with high levels of tumor-associated antigens on the surface of tumor cells, such as CLDN18.2, EGFRVIII, or Her/2.

As the first cytokine approved by the FDA, IL-2 has been widely used in combination treatment in the clinic to promote the function of CD8+ T cells. However, IL-2 also stimulates Tregs, which exert an immunosuppressive function in the TME, and IL-2 is too toxic for almost all patients (75–77). In contrast, IL-21 not only recalls CD8+ T cell–mediated antitumor responses by enhancing the effector and memory functions of CD8+ T cells, but it also suppresses the function of Tregs (20–24). Compared with IL-2, our tumor-targeting Erb-IL21 presents potent antitumor efficacies, along with significantly less toxicity.

Dysfunctional CTLs have a loss of effector function and proliferative potential, along with expression of inhibitory markers, such as PD-1, Tim-3, and CTLA-4 (78, 79). The reinvigoration of the immune response by ICB to interfere with PD-1 or other inhibitory pathways provided a complementary approach for antitumor therapies (80, 81). PD-1+Tim-3+ CTLs correlate with more severe dysfunction than PD-1+Tim-3− and PD-1−Tim-3− CTLs (63, 82). Recent studies have shown that maintaining CD8+ T cells in a self-renewed state could enhance persistence and antitumor function (83, 84). However, ICB has mainly removed the brake and might have a limited effect on the rapid expansion of functional TILs. Providing T cell growth factors might more directly expand TILs. We found that IL-21 resulted in a significant reduction of the PD-1 level on CD8+ T cells in the tumor. This reduction was independent of new CD8+ T cell infiltration, or PD-1+CD8+ T cell apoptosis, or downregulation of PD-1 expression on PD-1+CD8+ T cells but depended on selective proliferation of PD-1+Tim-3− CD8+ T cells. Our study demonstrates that tumor-targeting IL-21 can increase antigen-specific CD8+ T function and proliferation, especially in the PD-1+Tim-3− population. Furthermore, tumor-targeting IL-21 can assist CD8+ T cells in sustaining their self-renewal abilities and reducing the frequency of PD-1+TIM-3+ antigen-specific CD8+ T cells in tumors.

Figure 7. Synergy effect of Erb-IL21 with checkpoint blockade in advanced tumors. C57BL/6 mice (n = 7–9) were inoculated with 2.5 × 10^5 MC38-cEGFR cells and were i.p. treated with 75 μg hlgG, 200 μg anti–CTLA-4, 200 μg anti–PD-L1, 75 μg Erb-IL21, or combinations as indicated on days 14, 16, and 20. (A) Tumor growth was measured twice weekly. (B) Survival curve. The mean ± SEM values are shown. Two-way ANOVA tests were used to analyze the tumor growth data. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01. One of two representative experiments is shown.
In summary, our results define a crucial role for IL-21 in fighting tumors by promoting the proliferation of PD-1<sup>+</sup>Tim-3 CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells and reducing the frequency of dysfunctional PD-1<sup>+</sup>Tim-3<sup>+</sup> tumor antigen–specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in TEMs. Our data demonstrate that Erb-IL21 therapy, in combination with checkpoint blockade, reinvigorates antitumor immune responses and is a neoadjuvant treatment option.

**Methods**

**Mice.** Six- to eight-week-old female C57BL/6 WT mice and B6C3F1 mice were purchased from Vital River Laboratories. Tet-on EGFR-Tg mice were provided by Harold Varmus at the National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, Maryland, USA. These mice were crossed with B6 mice for up to 10 generations. All mice were maintained under specific pathogen–free conditions, and animal protocols were consistent with the NIH guidelines.

**Cell lines and reagents.** B16-cEGFR, MC38-cEGFR, and Ag104Ld-cEGFR were selected from a single clone after being transduced by lentivirus. MC38 and MC38-OVA were cultured in 5% CO<sub>2</sub> and maintained in vitro in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (Corning), supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine, 2 mmol/L glutamine, 0.1 mmol/L Minimum Essential Medium nonessential amino acids, 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 mg/ml streptomycin. The following antibodies were used in this study: anti-mouse CD4 (BioXcell, BE0003-1), anti-mouse CD8 (BioXcell, BE0117), anti-mouse NK1.1 (BioXcell, BE0036), anti-mouse PD-L1 (BioXcell, BE0213), anti-mouse CSF1R (BioXcell, BE0213), anti-mouse CD45 (Invitrogen, 45-0112), LIVE/DEAD (Invitrogen, L34959), anti-mouse Ki-67 (BioLegend, 652413), and iTAg Tetramer/PE-H-2 Kb OVA (SIINFEKL, MBL, TB-5001-1). FTY720 (hydrochloride) was purchased from Millipore Sigma. (BioLegend, 640912), 7-AAD Viability Staining Solution (BioLegend, 420404), and iTAg Tetramer/PE-H-2 Kb OVA (SIINFEKL, MBL, TB-5001-1). FTY720 (hydrochloride) was purchased from Millipore Sigma.

**Tumor challenge and treatment.** Approximately 2.5 × 10<sup>5</sup> to 5 × 10<sup>5</sup> of MC38, MC38-cEGFR, B16-cEGFR, and Ag104Ld-cEGFR cells were injected subcutaneously in the right flank of 6- to 8-week-old mice. For the double-tumor model, 0.5 × 10<sup>5</sup> MC38 and 1.5 × 10<sup>5</sup> MC38-cEGFR cells were injected subcutaneously in the left and right flanks of 6- to 8-week-old C57BL/6 mice, respectively. Tumor volumes were measured twice a week, and volume was calculated (length × width × height/2). After the tumor was established, the mice were treated with intratumoral injections of 20 μg ERB-IL21 or control antibody every other day 3 or 4 times in some experiments. For systemic treatment, tumor-bearing mice were i.p. injected with 75 μg Erb-IL21 or control antibody 3 times within a week. To block lymphocyte trafficking, we i.p. injected the mice with 25 μg FTY720 1 day before Erb-IL21 treatment and then every other day 4 times to maintain the blockade. For depletion of different types of cells, a 200 μg dose of anti-CD8 (clone TIB210) or anti-CD4 (GK1.5) was injected i.p. 1 day before antibody treatment; every 3 days after that anti-NK1.1 was injected i.p. at a dose of 400 μg 1 day before Erb-IL21 treatment and every 4 days after that. Anti-CFS1R was injected i.p. at a dose of 300 μg 1 day before Erb-IL21 treatment and every 3 days after that. For combination treatment, tumor-bearing mice were i.p. treated with a single therapy of 75 μg hIgG, 200 μg δ γ R2/III blocking antibody (2.4G2) was produced in-house. The following reagents were used in this study: annexin V (BioLegend, 640912), 7-AAD Viability Staining Solution (BioLegend, 420404), and iTAg Tetramer/PE-H-2 Kb OVA (SIINFEKL, MBL, TB-5001-1). FTY720 (hydrochloride) was purchased from Millipore Sigma.

**Flow cytometry analysis.** Tumor tissues were removed from mice and digested in DMEM supplemented with 2% fetal serum and 0.1% collagenase for 30 minutes at 37°C, followed by being washed and passed through a 40-mm cell strainer. After centrifugation, a single-cell suspension in FACS buffer supplemented with 2% bovine serum albumin and 0.05% NaN<sub>3</sub> was blocked with anti-CD16/32 (anti-FcγIII/II receptor, clone 2.4G2) for 15 minutes and incubated with the indicated antibodies for 30 minutes at 4°C. For flow cytometry analysis, the cells were acquired from a FACS Caliber (BD Biosciences), and the data were analyzed with FlowJo software. For IFN-γ staining, mice were i.v. injected with 250 μg BFA 6 hours before sacrifice to enhance intracellular cytokine staining signals by blocking transport processes during cell activation.

**Ex vivo binding assay.** A single-cell suspension of MC38-cEGFR (1 × 10<sup>6</sup> cells) was prepared by incubation with Erb-IL21 or LA22-IL21 for 30 minutes at 4°C. The cells were washed 5 times and then stained with anti-mouse IL21-APC for half an hour. After washing 3 times, the samples were analyzed on a FACS Fortessa flow cytometer (BD). The data were analyzed using FlowJo software (TreeStar).

**Fluorescence imaging.** C57BL/6 mice were inoculated s.c. with MC38 tumor cells into the left flank and MC38-cEGFR tumor cells into the right flank. Then, these double-tumor-bearing mice were treated with
Cy5.5-labeled Erb-IL21 or LA22-IL21. Fluorescently labeled Erb-IL21 or LA22-IL21 (75 μg) was injected i.v. into C57BL/6 mice bearing subcutaneous MC38 (left flank) and MC38-cEGFR (right flank) tumors. Fluorescence was measured with IVIS Spectrum at the indicated time points.

Statistics. *Data are shown as the mean ± SEM. Statistical analyses were performed using a 2-tailed unpaired Student’s t test. Tumor growth after different treatments was analyzed by 2-way ANOVA. The survival curves were generated by the Kaplan-Meier method and compared with the Gehan-Wilcoxon test. A 2-tailed Mann-Whitney test was used to assess significant differences between experimental groups. A P value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism software 5.0.

Study approval. Protocols for animal experiments were consistent with NIH guidelines. All studies were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of the Institute of Biophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences.
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