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Background. HIV-infected patients with poor virologic control and multi-drug resistant virus have limited therapeutic options. The current study was undertaken to evaluate the safety, immunologic effects, and antiviral activity of peripheral lymphocytes transferred from an elite controller, whose immune system is able to control viral replication without antiretroviral medications, to an HLA-B*2705-matched progressor.

Methods. Approximately 22 billion cells were collected from an elite controller by lymphapheresis and infused within 6 hours into a recipient with a pre-infusion CD4+ T cell count of 10 cells/µL (1%) and HIV plasma viral load of 114,993 copies/mL.

Results. Donor cells were cleared from the recipient's peripheral blood by day 8. A transient decrease in viral load to 58,421 (day 3) was followed by a rebound to 702,972 (day 6) before returning to baseline values by day 8. The decreased viral load was temporally associated with peak levels of donor T cells, including CD8+ T cells that had high levels of expression of Ki67, perforin, and granzyme B. Notably, recipient CD8+ T cells also expressed increased expression of these markers, especially in HIV-specific tetramer positive cells.
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Abstract

Background. HIV-infected patients with poor virologic control and multi-drug resistant virus have limited therapeutic options. The current study was undertaken to evaluate the safety, immunologic effects, and antiviral activity of peripheral lymphocytes transferred from an elite controller, whose immune system is able to control viral replication without antiretroviral medications, to an HLA-B*2705-matched progressor.

Methods. Approximately 22 billion cells were collected from an elite controller by lymphaphersis and infused within 6 hours into a recipient with a pre-infusion CD4+ T cell count of 10 cells/µL (1%) and HIV plasma viral load of 114,993 copies/mL.

Results. Donor cells were cleared from the recipient's peripheral blood by day 8. A transient decrease in viral load to 58,421 (day 3) was followed by a rebound to 702,972 (day 6) before returning to baseline values by day 8. The decreased viral load was temporally associated with peak levels of donor T cells, including CD8+ T cells that had high levels of expression of Ki67, perforin, and granzyme B. Notably, recipient CD8+ T cells also expressed increased expression of these markers, especially in HIV-specific tetramer positive cells.

Conclusions. These results suggest that the adoptive transfer of lymphocytes from an HIV-infected elite controller to an HIV-infected patient with progressive disease may be able to perturb the immune system of the recipient in both positive and negative ways.

Trial registration. ClinicalTrials.gov NCT00559416
**Funding.** Intramural Research Programs of the US National Institutes of Health Clinical Center and the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases; the National Cancer Institute.
Introduction

The development of highly effective combination antiretroviral therapies (cART) has resulted in dramatic improvement in AIDS-free survival among HIV-infected persons (1-3). However, lifelong treatment is required and may be associated with acute and chronic drug toxicities and, in a subset of patients, the emergence of resistant strains of HIV (4-6). Resistance has been documented with all drug classes, and for a small number of patients there are currently no available cART regimens to suppress multi-drug resistant virus levels in plasma below detection limits of current assays. Since poorly-controlled viral replication leads to progression of HIV-associated immunodeficiency, alternative therapeutic approaches for such patients need to be investigated.

Cell-mediated immunity is one of the most important mechanisms to control viral infections such as HIV. Both CD4+ and CD8+ T cells play critical roles. HIV infection impairs the function of both CD4+ and CD8+ T cells, compromising the ability of the host to combat infections and resulting in ongoing viremia, progressive immune dysfunction, and ultimately development of opportunistic diseases (7-9). However, a small proportion of HIV-infected individuals known as elite controllers (ECs) are able to suppress HIV replication without therapy (9, 10). In these patients, there is sustained restriction of viral replication, presumably in part because of a more effective immune response directed towards the virus. Certain human leukocyte antigen (HLA) genotypes, including B*27 and B*57 are over-represented in the EC population. This suggests an important role for cytotoxic CD8+ T lymphocytes (CTLs) in the control of HIV replication in ECs (11,
The demonstration of enhanced HIV-specific CD8+ T cell responses in ECs compared to chronic progressors has lent further support to the hypothesis that CTLs play a dominant role in mediating control (13, 14). These enhanced responses include killing of autologous HIV-infected CD4+ T cells by CD8+ T cells, which is one of the strongest correlates of elite control identified to date, and is closely associated with expression levels of the effector molecules perforin and granzyme B (7, 15, 16). In contrast, HIV-specific CD8+ T cells of chronic progressors proliferate poorly and have very low cytotoxic capacity.

Thus one potential therapeutic approach is to transfer virus-specific T cells from ECs with natural, immune-mediated control of HIV infection to patients with uncontrolled infection. Studies of transferred virus-specific allogeneic T cells, primarily CD8+ T cells, to patients with reactivation of cytomegalovirus (CMV), Epstein-Barr virus (EBV), and other viruses following stem cell transplantation, have shown that such transferred cells can safely induce a significant reduction in viral loads (17, 18). Few similar studies utilizing virus-specific cells have been undertaken in HIV-infected patients. Transfer of syngeneic peripheral blood mononuclear cells from an HIV-uninfected twin to their HIV-infected twin have been associated with transient increases in CD4+ T cell counts and adoptive transfer of cellular immunity to the neoantigen keyhole limpet hemocyanin (19). Transfer of HIV-specific CTL clones or chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T cells with specificity for HIV have been uniformly unsuccessful in decreasing viral loads or increasing CD4 counts (20-24). In a simian immunodeficiency virus (SIV) Rhesus macaque model, transfer of allogeneic CD8+ T cell clones specific for SIV gag or tat that
were derived from a chronically infected animal (matched to one MHC allele in the recipient animals) had no impact on viral load (25). Lack of benefit may have resulted from utilization of cells from donors that did not spontaneously control viral replication.

The current study was undertaken as a proof-of-concept to examine the safety, immunologic effects, duration of cell survival and potential antiviral activity of peripheral lymphocytes adoptively transferred from an EC to a progressor matched on the protective allele HLA-B*2705. This is one of several HLA molecules associated with high-level control of HIV-1. CD8+ T cells specific for HIV peptides restricted by this HLA are thought to mediate potent cytotoxic capacity associated with immunologic control.
Results

The recipient was a 57 year old white male with HIV infection diagnosed 28 years previously. He had a history of cryptococcal meningitis, *Pneumocystis* pneumonia, and candida esophagitis. He had been on multiple cART regimens since 1992, and, on his current regimen of etravirine, darunavir/ritonavir, and emtricitabine at study enrollment, his CD4+ T cell count was 10 cells/µL (1%) and his HIV plasma viral load was 156,934 copies/mL. He remained on the same cART regimen throughout the study. The following HIV resistance-associated mutations were identified by genotyping: reverse transcriptase: K65R, K70R, V75I, F77L, K103N, V108I, Y115F, F116Y, V118I, E138Q, Q151M, Y181C, M184V, H208Y, P225H; protease: L10F, V11I, V32I, L33F, M36I, M46I, I47V, F53L, I54L, A71I, G73A, V82S, L89V, L90M; integrase: L74M, T97A, Y143C. This indicated intermediate to high level resistance to all available antiretroviral drugs (ARVs) other than dolutegravir, to which there was potential low-level resistance.

The donor was a 49 year old white male with HIV infection diagnosed in 2000. His CD4 count had always been measured above 500 cells/µL and his plasma viral load was consistently <40 copies/mL. He had never received ARVs and had no history of any HIV-related opportunistic diseases. Genotyping of CD4+ T cell-associated HIV RNA identified no resistance mutations. Donor and recipient were matched only at HLA-B*2705 and HLA-Cw*02 (Table 1).

Analysis of pre-transfer PBMCs showed that, while the magnitude and breadth of the HIV-specific CD8+ T cell response in the donor exceeded those in the recipient (Figure 1A, B), it was predominantly focused on the B27-restricted Gag KK10 epitope in
both patients (Figure 1C). Of the total B27/HIV Gag KK10 tetramer+ CD8+ T cells in both patients, a greater fraction in the donor was capable of increased expression of the antiviral cytokine IFN-γ and the degranulation marker CD107a (Figure 1D) (14, 16, 26). In addition, CD8+ T cell recognition of targets pulsed with a KK10 variant peptide containing the R264Q and L268M sequence mutations that are present within the recipient’s autologous virus (based on the 9 kb sequences) was maintained in both the recipient and donor (Figure 1E). Finally, despite evidence of alloreactivity based on increased background CD8+ T-cell responses to heterologous uninfected CD4+ T-cell targets (7), donor CD8+ T cells exhibited high levels of degranulation and cytotoxic activity in response to autologous and heterologous CD4+ T cells superinfected with the recipient’s virus (Figure 1F-G). In contrast, low net responses were observed in recipient CD8+ T cells to autologous and heterologous superinfected CD4+ T cells, along with the high background that was indicative of alloreactivity (Figure 1G). Overall, these results suggested that highly functional HIV-specific CD8+ T cells from the donor should be able to recognize and to mount a robust immune response to the recipient’s autologous virus upon adoptive transfer.

On day 0, in July, 2014, the donor underwent a 20 liter lymphapheresis; 2 x10^8 lymphocytes/kg (~22 x10^8 total cells, 67% lymphocytes) were harvested and infused into the recipient 5 hours after completion of the apheresis. The recipient developed an episode of fever during the first day (T max of 38.5°C), with chills and body aches during the next few days that resolved with acetaminophen, and transient nausea that resolved with ondansetron. All symptoms resolved by day 6 after the cell infusion.
Transient hypomagnesemia, hypocalcemia, hypophosphatemia, and thrombocytopenia were also seen, and resolved by day 10. No clinical or laboratory toxicities greater than grade 2 (DAIDS toxicity table, version 1.0) were seen. The recipient was followed for approximately 4 months after the infusion, during which time he was clinically stable with no new medical problems.

Two hours after the infusion, ~3% of the cells in peripheral blood were from the donor; by 12 hours this had dropped to ~1%. Donor cells disappeared rapidly (Figure 2A) so that by day 9 post-infusion, the levels had dropped below the level of detection (<1:50,000-100,000). HLA antibody testing, which was negative at baseline, showed low levels of antibodies to donor HLA molecules HLA-A:24 and HLA-DQ:7,8,9 at day 22.

At days 2 and 3 after the infusion, there was a decrease in viral load from a baseline of 114,993 to 68,960 and 58,421 copies/mL (Figure 2B). This was followed by a rapid increase to 702,972 copies/mL at day 6, with a return to 174,073 copies/mL by day 8. Based on next generation sequencing, the virus responsible for the post-infusion increase in plasma viral load was recipient and not donor virus.

The CD4 count showed a small increase during this period, but remained below 20 cells/µL throughout (Figure 3). In contrast, following an initial decrease in total CD8 cell numbers after the infusion, there was a substantial increase to greater than 1,000 cells/µL beginning on day 6. This coincided with the increased viral load. Subsequently, the CD8 cell number gradually decreased to baseline (~450-600 cells/µL) by week 2 (Figure 3). Approximately 70% of these CD8 cells were activated memory cells as defined by co-expression of CD38, HLA-DR and CD45RO.
To examine potential mediators of the clinical symptoms associated with the cell infusion we examined plasma cytokine/chemokine levels. Increases temporally associated with symptoms were seen most prominently in interferon-γ, CXCL10 (IP-10), IL-2, and IL-10 (Figure 4); increases were also seen in CCL2, CCL4, TNF-α, GM-CSF, IL-5, IL-6, IL-15, and IL-12/IL-23p40. All cytokines returned to approximate baseline levels by day 5 post infusion.

Utilizing more detailed flow cytometric determination of T cell markers of phenotype and function, we found that the recipient’s ~2-fold reduction from baseline in plasma HIV RNA levels on days 2 to 3 was temporally associated with peak detection of HLA-A2+ donor T cells on days 1 (CD3+CD8− 9.07%, CD3+8+ 1.19%) and 3 (CD3+CD8− 12.1%, CD3+8+ 0.86%; Figure 5A-B). These kinetics were similar to those measured in the chimerism assay and also consistent with the changes over time in the low frequencies of CD3− lymphocytes, likely representing B cells (CD3−CD8−, ≤0.41%) and NK cells (CD3−CD8+, ≤0.78%; Figure 5B). A majority of baseline donor total and HIV tetramer+ CD8+ T cells exhibited a CCR7−CD27+ effector memory phenotype that shifted to mostly CCR7−CD27− effector cells post-transfer (Figure 6A) (27, 28). In addition, increased expression of Ki67 (8.11%), and high-level expression of perforin (60.5%) and granzyme B (74.9%) were noted in donor total CD8+ T cells by day 3 compared with very low baseline levels (0.88%, 7.44%, 27.1%, respectively; Figure 6B-E). Donor tetramer+ CD8+ T cells were discernible in low numbers only on days 1 and 3 (Figure 5C). Not unexpectedly, recipient CD8+ T cells were also primarily CCR7− at baseline, as observed in donor cells; however, differences in the percentages that expressed CD27
were noted between recipient total and HIV tetramer+ CD8+ T cells. As seen in the
donor cells, both recipient total and HIV tetramer+ CD8+ T cells became more “effector-
like”, with reduced CD27 expression on days 1 and 3 following adoptive transfer, before
returning to baseline levels (Figure 6A). Somewhat unexpectedly, recipient CD8+ T cells
also exhibited markedly increased Ki67 (6.62%), perforin (64.9%) and granzyme B
(84%) following cell infusion that declined to baseline levels (1.65%, 28.8%, 60.9%,
respectively) by day 13. These early increases were even greater in recipient-derived
tetramer+ CD8+ T cells (42.6%, 78.6%, 94.5%, respectively; Figure 6B-E). As supported
by the cytokine data, this increased activation, cycling and upregulation of cytotoxic
proteins in recipient HIV Gag KK10-specific CD8+ T cells likely occurred in response to
the heightened immune activation induced by the transfer of heterologous PBMCs.

The phenotype of donor and recipient CD3+CD8- lymphocytes, which are
primarily CD4+ T cells, was also examined (although this population might have included
low frequencies (1-3% of total T cells) of immunoregulatory double negative (CD4-/CD8-
) T cells, consisting of γ/δ, double negative, and NK T-cell subsets (29)). The phenotype
of donor CD4+ T cells remained predominantly CCR7+CD27+ (central memory) following
infusion, although CCR7-CD27- (effector) cells transiently increased at day 1. In
contrast, baseline recipient CD4+ T cells, which were primarily CCR7-CD27+ (effector
memory), shifted to predominantly CCR7+CD27+ by day 3, coincident with increased
cycling of these cells as determined by Ki67 expression, before eventually reverting
back to their original phenotype by day 13 (Figure 7).
Discussion

The current study, the first in which lymphocytes were transferred from an HIV+ EC to an HIV+ progressor, demonstrates that such a cell transfer is clinically safe and can lead to a transient decrease in plasma levels of HIV, a transient but modest increase in CD4 cell numbers and a subsequent burst in plasma viremia. It is likely that none of these changes are of clinical significance. We transferred cells from an HIV positive EC because the donor’s immune system had already demonstrated the ability to restrict HIV replication. The donor and recipient were matched at the HLA-B27 allele which had been demonstrated to be the element responsible for restricting the immunodominant HIV-specific CD8+ T-cell response in this individual (Figure 1).

Transferred cells were lost very rapidly due to an alloresponse. This possibility was anticipated given the lack of any type of therapy to prevent rejection, although long-term microchimerism has been demonstrated in immunocompetent patients receiving blood transfusions (30). Of note, significant, transient, immunologic perturbations were noted in both donor and recipient CD4+ and CD8+ T cells.

The decrease in viral load was temporally associated with detectable donor cells, including HIV tetramer+ CD8+ T cells that demonstrated increased expression of perforin and granzyme B, suggesting that they played a role in restricting viral replication. These findings support the important role of CD8+ T cells in controlling HIV infection that has been clearly demonstrated in animal studies (31-33).

We utilized PBMCs rather than purified CD8+ T cells because of the possible role of CD4+ T cells in controlling viral replication in ECs through direct anti-viral activity or
enhancing CD8 function (34-37). Donor CD4+ T cells were detectable during the period of viral suppression, and exhibited a central memory phenotype, although an effector phenotype was seen at day 1. The temporal association between increased Ki67 and reduced CCR7 expression suggested this phenotypic shift might be explained by relative expansion of CCR7- CD4+ T cells; however, the predominant mechanism is difficult to determine since multiple processes might be operative, including trafficking into tissue, activation-induced CCR7 downregulation, contraction/death of CCR7+ cells or expansion of CCR7- cells (28). While these changes could represent a response to HIV, a major component of the activation of both CD4+ and CD8+ donor T cells is likely an early graft vs. host response. Similarly, the activation of recipient cells probably reflects in large part the alloreactive response that resulted in the rapid clearance of donor cells. This robust alloreactive response as well as the de novo antibody response to the donor HLA antigens is noteworthy given the profound immunosuppression in the recipient. Intriguingly, this alloreactivity may also have contributed to the transient decrease in plasma HIV levels by activating anti-HIV specific cells of the recipient (38).

The recipient exhibited mild flu-like symptoms for a few days post-infusion. This was associated with increases in select cytokines, especially interferon-γ and related pathways. It was interesting that interferon-γ, IL-2, CCL4 (MIP-1β) and TNF-α were among the cytokines and chemokines whose levels increased transiently post-transfer at the time of reduction in plasma viral load and expansion of donor and recipient cytotoxic protein-expressing CD8+ T cells. HIV-specific CD8+ T cells able to simultaneously express these antiviral factors have been observed at higher
frequencies in the blood and mucosal tissues of ECs compared with progressors and to
diminish over time in patients with poorly controlled HIV replication (14, 26, 39). IL-15
levels also increased transiently in the recipient, which might have had mixed effects on
the capacity of T cells to mediate control over HIV (40-43).

Utilizing cells from an HIV-infected donor could potentially result in superinfection
of the recipient with a different HIV strain. However, based on sequencing of cell-
associated HIV RNA, the donor virus had no drug resistance mutations, consistent with
his history of never receiving ARVs, and the recipient was on a regimen predicted to be
active against the donor virus. Although there was an increase in HIV plasma levels
after the initial decline, sequencing demonstrated that the virus was exclusively recipient
and not donor derived. This transient increase potentially resulted from the immune
activation that occurred in conjunction with the alloreactive clearance of donor cells.

While only a single patient received a cell transfer under this protocol, and thus
the results must be interpreted with caution, this study nonetheless suggests that
improving CD8+ T cell function may improve host control of HIV. This study was
undertaken as a proof-of-concept; the approach utilized would be difficult to implement
on a large scale due to the need to identify an HLA compatible EC. Further, based on
the rapid clearance of donor cells, additional interventions to prolong their survival (e.g.
immunosuppressive drugs) would be needed, which would potentially increase the risks
and may reduce potential benefits. While prior attempts to improve immune control of
HIV have been unsuccessful, including cell transfers or bone marrow transplants from
uninfected identical twins (19), transfers of clones targeting HIV-specific proteins (44),
or broad activation of T cells with IL-2 alone or combined with an with anti-CD3 antibody (45-47), these data suggest that immunotherapies targeting improvement of CD8 function, such as immune check-point inhibitors (48, 49), may contribute to control of viremia.
Materials and Methods

Study Design

This open-label study was an exploratory trial to evaluate the safety of cell transfer as potential salvage therapy in patients with limited therapeutic options, and to determine the duration of survival of donor lymphocytes. Secondary goals included examining changes in plasma HIV levels and CD4+ T cell numbers. It was initially designed to enroll 3 recipients; however, the study was closed after enrollment of one recipient-donor pair due to difficulties in recruitment.

Enrollment Criteria

Detailed eligibility criteria are provided in the supplemental information. Briefly, patients 18 years or older with confirmed HIV infection were eligible as recipients if they had an HIV plasma viral load >10,000 copies/mL on available optimized cART, failure or intolerance of at least 2 previous combination antiretroviral regimens and a CD4 count of <350 cells/µL. Donors were ECs matched to at least one HLA-B allele of the potential recipient at a two-digit resolution or higher.

Study procedures

All visits were at the NIH Clinical Center. At enrollment, donor and recipient underwent a history and physical examination, safety laboratory evaluation, flow cytometry to enumerate CD4+ and CD8+ T cell numbers and subsets, and genotyping of
plasma virus (recipient) and cell-associated RNA (donor) to evaluate for HIV resistance mutations.

The recipient underwent, as a safety measure, G-CSF-induced mobilization of hematopoietic stem cells, followed by apheresis to collect and store autologous stem cells, which would be available for future use in the event that transfusion-associated graft versus host disease developed (50, 51). After > 2 weeks, the donor underwent lymphapheresis to collect ~2.0x10^8 lymphocytes/kg. These unmodified cells were then infused into the recipient 5 hours after collection. During the first 2 weeks following lymphocyte transfer, bloods were drawn frequently for evaluation of safety and for analysis of chimerism, lymphocyte subsets, and plasma viral load, with subsequent visits weekly, then monthly. Anti-HLA antibodies were measured 3 weeks after the cell transfer.

**Laboratory Assays**

**HIV quantitation and sequence analysis**

HIV plasma viral load was measured by the RealTime HIV-1 Assay (Abbott Laboratories; lower limit of quantification 40 copies/mL. Donor HIV was sequenced using the TruGene HIV-1 Genotyping Kit (Siemens Molecular Diagnostics), using RNA extracted from CD4^+ T-cells. The resulting donor sequence included the protease (codons 4 to 99) and RT (codons 38 to 247) coding regions. For recipient HIV, RT-PCR followed by next generation sequencing was performed on 3 and 12 samples to sequence a 9 kb near full-length region or the 2.6 kb polymerase gene, respectively.
Multiple sequence alignment was performed using Clustal Omega (52). Detailed methods are provided in the supplemental information.

**Flow cytometry and functional assays**

CD4+ and CD8+ T cell counts and subsets were enumerated using flow cytometry with BD Multitest CD3/CD8/CD45/CD4 kit (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA). Blood was collected in a heparinized syringe or by leukapheresis and then lymphocytes were separated by density centrifugation employing Lymphocyte Separation Medium (LSM, MP Biomedicals). Cryopreserved PBMCs were thawed and rested overnight at 37°C and 4% CO2 prior to specialized flow analyses. Recipient HIV was cultured by activating recipient CD4+ T cells, purified by glycerol gradient ultracentrifugation (25,000 RPM; SW32Ti rotor, Beckman Coulter, Indianapolis, IN) and titrated to determine the optimal dilution yielding 50% infection of normal CD4+ T cells based on intracellular detection of p24 by flow cytometry using RD1-conjugated KC57 antibody (Beckman Coulter, Inc. Brea, CA). Activated donor and recipient CD4+ T cells super-infected with this virus preparation were used in functional assays. Briefly, CD4+ T-cells were positively selected from cryopreserved PBMC by magnetic automated cell sorting (AutoMACS, Miltenyi Biotec, Germany) and polyclonally stimulated (15). A fraction of CD4+ lymphoblasts were magneto-infected on day 3 with magnetized recipient virus (16). Percent infection was quantified as the frequencies of HIV-1 Gag p24+ CD4+/CD3+ targets by flow cytometry. In cytotoxicity assays, CD8+ T cell effectors that had been stimulated for 6 days with autologous HIV-infected CD4+ T cell targets
were negatively selected by magnetic automated cell sorting and co-incubated with autologous or heterologous CD4+ T cell targets (uninfected or infected with the recipient’s virus) for 1 hour at 37°C to measure killing by granzyme B target cell activity, as described previously (7, 16).

To detect IFN-γ production and/or CD107a expression of CD8+ T cells by flow cytometry, pre-transfer rested donor and recipient PBMCs were stimulated for 6 hours with the following: medium alone; phorbol myristate acetate (6.5 nM; Calbiochem, Darmstadt, Germany) plus ionomycin (0.2 µM; Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO); fresh LIVE/DEAD Fixable Violet Stain (Invitrogen Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR)-labeled uninfected or HIV-infected CD4+ T cell targets at a 1:1 E:T ratio; LIVE/DEAD Fixable Violet Stain-labeled PBMC targets that had been pulsed for 1 hour with the KRWIILGLNK (KK10) consensus sequence peptide or variant KK10 peptide containing the R264Q and L268M mutations (KQWIIMGLNK, Peptide 2.0, Inc. Chantilly, VA); or pools of 15-amino acid long peptides overlapping by 11 amino acids and spanning HIV-1 Env, Nef, Gag and Pol (≥80% purity; each peptide at a final concentration of 2 µg/mL; AIDS Reagent Program, Division of AIDS, NIAID, NIH, Germantown, MD and Peptide 2.0) in 10% human AB medium containing anti-CD107a-PE Cy7 (BD Pharmingen), Monensin (BD GolgiStop; final concentration 0.9 µg/mL, BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA) and Brefeldin A (final concentration 10 µg/mL; Sigma Aldrich), as described previously (16). For HLA B2705-HIV Gag KK10 tetramer-PE (NIH Tetramer Core Facility, Atlanta, GA) staining, stimulated cells were subsequently washed and rested in fresh 10% human AB medium at 10^6 cells/mL in 15-mL Falcon Polypropylene Sterile Conical
Tubes (Corning, Inc.) at 37°C for 1 hour prior to tetramer and surface marker staining. Otherwise, stimulated cells were fixed and permeabilized (Cytofix/Cytoperm™, BD Biosciences) and stained with the APC-conjugated anti-IFN-γ antibody (BD Biosciences) before flow cytometry.

Post-transfer, recipient-derived PBMCs underwent surface staining with anti-CD3-AmCyan (BD Pharmingen, San Diego, CA), anti-CD8-PerCP (eBioscience, Santa Clara, CA), the HLA B2705-HIV Gag KK10 tetramer-PE, anti-CCR7-PECy7 (BD Pharmingen) and anti-CD27-APCCy7 (BioLegend, San Diego, CA). Cells were subsequently fixed, permeabilized and stained intracellularly with anti-perforin-BV421 (BD Pharmingen), anti-granzyme B-AlexaFluor700 (BD Pharmingen) and anti-Ki67-FITC (eBioscience). Donor and recipient T cells were distinguished flow cytometrically by staining with an APC-labeled, anti-human HLA A2 antibody (BioLegend). This antibody was selected among four commercially available candidates for brightest fluorescence intensity on PBMCs of HLA-A*2+ donors and lowest non-specific staining on PBMCs from HLA-A*2- donors. Flow cytometric analysis was performed by standard protocols on a BD FACSARia Cell Sorter (BD) as previously described (11). Color compensations were performed using single-stained samples for each of the fluorochromes used. Data were analyzed using FlowJo software (v10.5.3, TreeStar, San Carlos, CA). Sample flow cytometry gating strategies are shown in figure S1. Post-transfer PBMCs were not available in sufficient numbers to perform a more detailed investigation of T-cell intracellular cytokine production or cytotoxic capacity by flow cytometry.
Specific clones and catalogue numbers for the monoclonal antibodies utilized in the study are listed in Supplemental Table 1.

**Chimerism analysis**

A chimerism assay based on a published method (53) was used to quantitate the level of donor cells in the recipient’s PBMCs. Donor and recipient DNAs were tested with 19 different primer pairs to identify a locus that was negative for the recipient but positive for donor DNA. A primer pair from this locus (marker S 06) was used to estimate the level of donor DNA in the recipient DNA by real-time PCR. Primers and probe sequences are as follows: forward primer, 5’-AGGGACTAGCTCATCGGGGTAT-3’; reverse primer, 5’-CCTCAGCCCTAATAAAGACAT-3’; probe, 5’-CCCATCCATCTTCCCTACCAGACCAGG-3’. 300 to 600 ng of input DNA, equal to ~50,000-100,000 cells, were utilized per assay. A mixture of different ratios of donor and recipient DNA was utilized as a standard. The lower limit of detection was ~1 in 50,000 to 100,000 cells depending on the amount of input DNA.

**Cytokine determination**

Plasma levels of cytokines and chemokines were measured using the V-PLEX Human Cytokine 30-Plex Kit (Meso Scale Diagnostics, Rockville, MD), according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Statistics**
This study reports the results for a single patient following a single infusion of PBMCs. Results are descriptive, showing single values at different time-points, with no calculation of statistical significance given the limited dataset.

**Study approval**

The protocol was approved by the NIAID Institutional Review Board, and both participants provided written informed consent. The protocol was conducted under an IND approved by the US Food and Drug Administration. The trial was registered at ClinicalTrials.gov, with identifier NCT00559416.
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**Figure 1.** Donor and recipient pre-transfer PBMCs shared the immunodominant B27-restricted HIV Gag KK10-specific CD8\(^+\) T-cell response that was highly functional in the donor and maintained recognition of recipient viral variant sequences. A. Representative flow plots gated on donor (top row) and recipient (bottom row) CD8\(^+\) T cells after 6-hour incubation with medium alone (unstimulated, left column) or a pool of Gag 15-mer peptides (right column) in the presence of Golgi inhibitors and the anti-CD107a PE-Cy7-labeled monoclonal antibody prior to surface and intracellular staining and flow cytometric analysis (see Methods). Red numbers indicate net frequencies of IFN-\(\gamma^+\) and/or CD107a\(^+\) CD8\(^+\) T cells after background subtraction. B. Summary of donor and recipient IFN-\(\gamma^+\) and/or CD107a\(^+\) CD8\(^+\) T-cell responses determined as in A to overlapping peptide pools spanning HIV-1 Env (blue), Nef (red), Gag (black) and Pol (green) gene products. Background responses to medium have been subtracted. C.
The majority of donor (top plot) and recipient (bottom plot) CD8\(^+\) T cells responding to Gag by producing IFN-\(\gamma\) and/or expressing CD107a stain positively with the B27/HIV Gag KK10 tetramer. D. A greater fraction of donor (top plot) versus recipient (bottom plot) B27/HIV Gag KK10 tetramer\(^+\) CD8\(^+\) T cells are functional based on the capacity to produce IFN-\(\gamma\) and/or express CD107a. E. Donor (top row) and recipient (bottom row) IFN-\(\gamma\)^+ CD8\(^+\) T cells are shown in response to autologous PBMCs pulsed with consensus sequence KK10 (left column) or a peptide containing the R264Q and L268M sequence variations harbored within the recipient's autologous virus (right column). F. The percentages of total donor IFN-\(\gamma\)^+ and/or CD107a^+ CD8\(^+\) T cells in response to recipient uninfected (left column) or HIV-infected (right column) targets (top row). Percentages of donor tetramer\(^+\) and tetramer\(^-\) CD8\(^+\) T cells expressing CD107a after stimulation with the same targets are shown in the bottom row. Black numbers on plots represent percentages of gated cells. G. Summary of donor or recipient CD8\(^+\) T cell cytotoxic responses to autologous (solid) or heterologous (checkered) uninfected (gray) or HIV-infected (black) CD4\(^+\) T cell targets is shown. Cytotoxicity is measured by flow cytometry based on granzyme B activity in targets following a 1-hour incubation with CD8\(^+\) T cell effectors. The HIV isolate used to infect all targets was recovered from recipient CD4\(^+\) T cells.
Figure 2. Levels of donor cells and plasma HIV over time following infusion of ~15 billion donor lymphocytes (~22 billion total cells). A. Ratio of donor to recipient cells, as
determined by Q-PCR, over time. There was a rapid loss of donor cells, so that by day 6 donor cells were at/below the level of detection. The Y axis shows the ratio of donor to recipient cells and utilizes a log scale. B. and C., changes in HIV plasma viral levels over time. The Y axis in both panels shows plasma HIV levels using a log scale. Panel C is an expansion of the time-line shown in B to demonstrate the changes seen in the immediate post-infusion period. There is a transient decline in viral load immediately following the infusion that is temporally associated with detectable transferred cells, following which there is a viral rebound before a return to baseline levels. The time of cell infusion is indicated by the arrow.
Figure 3. Changes in CD4\(^+\) and CD8\(^+\) T cell numbers over time following the cell infusion. There was a transient decrease in both CD4\(^+\) and CD8\(^+\) T cell numbers immediately after the infusion, followed by an increase in both populations, though the absolute increase in CD4\(^+\) T cell numbers was modest (~10 cells/\(\mu\)L). CD4 and CD8 cell numbers are shown by the black circles and lines. HIV plasma viral load during the same time period is indicated by the blue squares and lines. The time of cell infusion is indicated by the arrow.
Figure 4. Changes in plasma levels of select cytokines over time following the cell infusion. Transient increases in interferon-γ, IL-2, IL-10, TNF-α, and CXCL10 were seen immediately following the infusion, before a return to baseline levels. The time of cell infusion is indicated by the arrow.
**Figure 5.** Donor and recipient cells could be distinguished in recipient blood by flow cytometric detection with anti-HLA-A2 monoclonal antibody staining. A. Representative flow plots depict how donor (HLA-A2⁻) and recipient (HLA-A2⁺) T cells were enumerated by flow cytometry. CD3⁺ lymphocytes were gated on CD8⁺ (top row) or CD8⁻ cells.
(approximately representative of CD4+ cells; bottom row) in recipient samples taken prior to (Day -1, left column) or after (Days 1-9, right columns) adoptive transfer. B. Summary of donor-derived CD3+CD8+ (solid black line+), CD3+CD8- (dotted black line), CD3-CD8+ (solid gray line), CD3-CD8- (dotted gray line) lymphocytes post adoptive transfer through Day 90 are shown. C. Donor (HLA-A2+) immunodominant CD8+ T cells specific for the HLA-B27-restricted HIV-1 Gag epitope KK10 were detected until Day 3 post-transfer. The pre-transfer cells from the donor are shown in the first panel, while the donor cells in the recipient from days 1 and 3 post-transfer are shown in the next 2 panels. Gating was on CD3+/HLA-A2+ cells; only the CD8+ cells are shown. The numbers within the flow plots in A. and C. indicate the percent of cells within the boxed areas.
**Figure 6.** Donor and recipient total and HIV-specific CD8⁺ T cells became more effector-like and exhibited increased cycling and cytotoxic protein expression post-transfer that were temporally associated with reductions in plasma HIV RNA levels. A. Changes in the percentages of CCR7⁻CD27⁺ total (solid lines) or HLA-B27/Gag tetramer⁺ (dotted lines) CD8⁺ T cells from the recipient (blue triangles) and donor (red triangles) are shown prior to and days post adoptive transfer. B. Ki67 expression of total (solid lines) or HLA-B27/Gag tetramer⁺ (dotted lines) CD8⁺ T cells from the recipient (blue diamonds) and donor (red diamonds) are shown at the same time points around adoptive transfer. C. Representative flow plots of perforin (left plots) and granzyme B (right plots) expression of gated donor (top row) and recipient (bottom row) total CD8⁺ T cells prior to transfer and Day 3 post-transfer. D, E. Summary data of perforin (D, circles) and granzyme B (E, squares) expression in total (solid lines) or HLA-B27/Gag
tetramer+ (dotted lines) CD8+ T cells from the donor (red symbols) and recipient (blue symbols) are shown over time. In all panels, pre-transfer donor values were derived from cells obtained from the donor; all other values were derived from cells obtained from the recipient pre- or post-transfer.
**Figure 7.** Phenotype of donor and recipient CD4\(^+\) T cells changed post-transfer, then reverted back to baseline. A. Gated on CD4\(^+\) (CD8\(^-\)) T cells, donor (HLA-A2\(^-\)) cells (top row), which were primarily CCR7\(^+\)CD27\(^+\) prior to transfer (left column), were predominantly CCR7\(^-\)CD27\(^-\) on Day 1 before reverting back to a CCR7\(^+\)CD27\(^+\) phenotype by Day 3 (right columns). In contrast, Recipient (HLA-A2\(^+\)) cells (bottom row), which were primarily CCR7\(^-\)CD27\(^+\) prior to transfer (left column), were predominantly CCR7\(^+\)CD27\(^+\) on Day 1 prior to reversion back to a CCR7\(^-\)CD27\(^+\) phenotype (right columns). B. Trends of recipient (blue symbols) and donor (red symbols) CCR7\(^+\)CD27\(^+\) CD4\(^+\) T cells over time. C. Ki67 expression of gated recipient (blue symbols) and donor (red symbols) CD4\(^+\) (CD8\(^-\)) T cells over time. In all panels, pre-transfer Donor results were derived from cells obtained from the donor; all other results were derived from cells obtained from the recipient pre- or post-transfer.
Table 1. HLA type of donor and recipient.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HLA Class</th>
<th>Donor</th>
<th>Recipient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>24, 31</td>
<td>02, 68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>27, 27</td>
<td>07, 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>02, 07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRB</td>
<td>3<em>02, 4</em>01</td>
<td>5*01:01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DQB1</td>
<td>03, 03</td>
<td>05:04, 06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRB1</td>
<td>04,11</td>
<td>01, 15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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