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Respiratory diseases are among the leading causes of death and disability worldwide. However, the pathogenesis of both acute and chronic lung diseases remains incompletely understood. As a result, therapeutic options for important clinical problems, including acute respiratory distress syndrome and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, are limited. Research efforts have been held back in part by the difficulty of modeling lung injury in animals. Donor human lungs that have been rejected for transplantation offer a valuable alternative for understanding these diseases. In 2007, our group developed a simple preparation of an ex vivo–perfused single human lung. In this Review, we discuss the availability of donor human lungs for research, describe the ex vivo–perfused lung preparation, and highlight how this preparation can be used to study the mechanisms of lung injury, to isolate primary cells, and to test novel therapeutics.

Introduction

Animal models and experiments using primary lung tissue or cells from animals have formed the basis for much of our understanding of human pulmonary physiology and pathophysiology. However, current animal models of pulmonary disease are limited by differences in the basic pulmonary anatomy and physiology between animals and humans, an inability to faithfully model human pathology, and the genetic and environmental homogeneity of laboratory-bred animals (1). Clinical trials also present significant challenges because trials are time-consuming and expensive, interventions are limited, and human tissues are not routinely available (2). Donor human lungs have become a valuable resource to carry out studies of human pulmonary disease because of their broad genetic and environmental heterogeneity.

Ex vivo human lung studies offer a useful additional experimental preparation to animal models, and substantial contributions have already been made with nonperfused and perfused ex vivo preparations and with cells isolated from the human lung. Early studies with nonperfused human lungs, for example, demonstrated that treatment with a β-adrenergic agonist markedly increases alveolar fluid clearance (AFC) (3) and highlighted the high quality of many donor lungs that were rejected for transplantation (4). Systems for the ex vivo perfusion of a pair of lungs (EVLP) were developed in the 1950s, though the first major clinical trial of ex vivo perfusion before lung transplantation was not performed until 2011. In a landmark trial, high-risk donor lungs that remained stable with EVLP for 4 hours before transplantation had clinical outcomes similar to those of usual-risk, conventionally selected lungs (5). Since this initial success, EVLP has proved an important tool for assessment, preservation, and conditioning of donor lungs before transplantation (6).

In 2007, our group developed a simple and inexpensive noncommercial system for the ex vivo perfusion of single human lungs (7). For the last 11 years, this ex vivo–perfused human lung has been used to study the pathophysiology of acute lung injury and to test new therapeutics. The ex vivo lung preparation is a convenient resource to study the whole human lung in the absence of the liver, spleen, or other organs. In addition to the scientific value of the isolated perfused lung, donor lungs have also
proved a valuable source of primary human cells, including alveolar epithelial type II cells, fibroblasts, and macrophages. Pathways or targets identified through in vitro systems or early animal models can be investigated in human tissue before committing to the complexity, time, and cost of human trials. These studies form part of a new paradigm for translational science.

This Review will discuss the practical issues in obtaining human lungs for research, provide an overview of preparations of ex vivo–perfused human lungs, and highlight selected research contributions that have been made using this model. The focus will be on the use of the ex vivo human lung system to understand the pathophysiology of acute and chronic lung injury, the value of cellular studies using the human lung, and the testing of therapeutics in the ex vivo lung.

How to obtain human lungs for research

On average, approximately 2300 lung transplants are performed in the United States each year, but, due in part to the relatively restrictive criteria that govern organ selection in lung transplants, this represents only 24% of available donor lungs (8). Our studies have reported that most of the lungs that are not transplanted are in good condition, with no or mild pulmonary edema, intact basal AFC, and normal or only mildly abnormal histologic findings (4, 7). These human lungs are potentially available for research via agreement with 1 of the 58 regional organ procurement organizations (OPOs) in the United States.

The use of donor human lungs for research requires explicit permission from the donor’s family, which is solicited by the OPO as part of the standard organ donation process. Local institutional review board approval is not required because research on tissues from deceased organ donors is not considered human subject research, though appropriate institutional biosafety approvals should be sought for handling human tissue. Once a relationship with an OPO has been established, a network can be built within the receiving institution to allow each pair of donor lungs to be used by multiple research groups. Typically, a procurement and transportation fee is paid to the OPO. For example, at UCSF, donor lungs are delivered by the OPO to the UCSF Medical Center operating room. When releasing a pair of lungs for research, the OPO sends an email to a full list of the research laboratories involved at UCSF. An investigator from the UCSF group evaluates the lungs and the clinical history of the donor, and whole lungs, individual lobes, or samples of the parenchyma or airways are distributed to investigators from several teams. Thus, almost every portion of the lungs, which would otherwise have been discarded, can be used for research.

Available clinical data

Human lungs rejected for transplantation offer investigators the combination of an intact human organ and extensive clinical data. The deidentified donor data that are routinely available include the mode of donation (brain-dead donor, donor after cardiac death); cause of death; medical, surgical, and tobacco use history; and results of recent imaging, including chest radiographs, ventilator parameters, and arterial blood gases. These comprehensive data offer an important opportunity to address pressing clinical questions.

For example, a scoring system for the extent of pulmonary edema was developed based on the routine pre-procurement chest radiograph. The score strongly correlated with the total excised lung weight, indicating that pulmonary edema in a potential donor could be semiquantified using the chest radiograph (9). A refined scoring system, the Radiographic Assessment of Lung Edema, was developed in a second cohort of lung donors and was strongly associated with disease severity and mortality in a separate cohort of patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) (10). In subsequent work, human lungs were evaluated to study the mechanisms by which donor smoking leads to poor lung transplant outcomes (11). In this study, lungs were recovered from 298 donors and compared with lungs from never smokers. The lungs of current or past smokers had higher recovered lung weights, suggestive of more pulmonary edema. Donors with smoking histories of 20 or more pack-years had lower rates of AFC, a change also found in lung transplant recipients with primary graft dysfunction (12). In addition, current or ever smokers had higher levels of the proinflammatory cytokine IL-8 in the alveolar fluid and decreased levels of surfactant protein D, a change that is associated with lung epithelial injury. Together, these findings indicated that donor smoking is associated with alveolar epithelial dysfunction and that release of proinflammatory mediators might contribute to pulmonary edema in the lung before transplant and to primary graft dysfunction after transplant.
Isolation of cells from human lungs

Human donor lungs have proved an excellent source of primary human cells, including alveolar epithelial type II (ATII) cells, airway epithelial cells, fibroblasts, endothelial cells, and macrophages. Modern molecular biology techniques have been applied to characterize these populations with considerable success, and the use of primary cells from human donor lungs has provided insight into the pathophysiology of both acute lung diseases, such as pneumonia and ARDS, and chronic diseases, such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and cystic fibrosis.

Isolated ATII cells provided the basis for understanding the mechanisms of vectorial ion transport across the pulmonary epithelium. Human ATII cells are collected by enzymatic digestion of the lung tissue, and the filtered cells are seeded on collagen-coated Transwell plates (Figure 1). Cells typically reach confluence at 48 hours, and after 72 hours, the fluid in the top compartment is removed to allow cells to grow at the air-fluid interface (13, 14). Yields are typically greater than $2.0 \times 10^6$ cells per gram of lung tissue, with 90% ($\pm$3%) purity at the time of isolation. Electron microscopy demonstrates that ATII cells grown in monolayers retain characteristic ultrastructural features of ATII cells, including a cuboidal shape, lamellar bodies, and microvilli (15). This model has been used to show that the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) is functionally expressed in human ATII cells (15). CFTR, the luminal epithelial Na⁺ channel (ENaC), and basolateral Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase were also shown to be required for cAMP-regulated ion and fluid transport across human ATII cells. Recently, another group reported a potential protective role for rare mutations in the δ-ENaC subunit in cystic fibrosis that associated with a nonprogressive phenotype (16). The authors of this study isolated epithelial cells (CD45⁻CD31⁻epCAM⁺) from human donor lungs and demonstrated that the δ-ENaC-encoding gene ($SCNN1D$) is expressed primarily in alveolar epithelial cells that express all the subunits of ENaC that are critical for AFC.

This ATII monolayer model has also provided important insights into the mechanisms of impaired AFC, which is known to be associated with poor clinical outcomes, in acute lung injury (17). For example, ATII cell monolayers incubated with pulmonary edema fluid from patients with sepsis-associated ARDS had a reduction in net vectorial fluid transport and an increase in paracellular protein permeability compared with monolayers incubated with simultaneously collected plasma (18). Impaired vectorial fluid transport associated with reduced expression of all the major sodium and chloride transporters at the gene and protein level, and the decrease in these transporters was responsible, in part, for impaired AFC in ARDS. Further work with ATII monolayers also identified the mechanisms by which bone marrow–derived human mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs, also referred to as mesenchymal stromal cells) could therapeutically reverse these types of inflammatory injury. Coculture of MSCs with an ATII monolayer restored net fluid transport and reduced epithelial protein permeability following an inflammatory insult, in part via paracrine factors, including angiopoietin-1, lipoxin A4, and keratinocyte growth factor (19–21). Gene expression analysis of cultured human ATII cells indicated that human MSCs also partially reverse the upregulation of inflammatory genes and downregulation of genes associated with surfactant production and AFC that occur in response to proinflammatory cytokine stimulation (22).

Donor human lungs have also been used as a source of mesenchymal cells, including fibroblasts. For example, one research group isolated mesenchymal cells from the airways of donor human lungs and used single-cell RNA sequencing to demonstrate that these cells have an identity that segregates depending on where in the lung they are isolated. Hedgehog was preferentially expressed in mesenchymal cells isolated from the proximal airway compared with those isolated from the distal airway, and this gradient of hedgehog expression associated with distinct mesenchymal gene signatures (23). Disruption of this gradient via overexpression of a hedgehog effector throughout the airway in a mouse model resulted in a proximal airway–like phenotype, including loss of alveoli and airspace enlargement, in the distal airways. These studies offer insight into the pathogenesis of emphysema because GWAS have mapped susceptibility loci for emphysema to genes that alter hedgehog signaling.

Other investigators have isolated and cultured fibroblasts from donor human lungs to study cigarette smoke–induced inflammation and remodeling (24, 25). Application of cigarette smoke extract to primary human pulmonary fibroblasts induced expression of the transcription factor early growth response 1, thereby promoting synthesis and secretion of matrix metalloproteinase-2 and HSP70. Secreted HSP70 acted in a paracellular manner to stimulate the release of IL-8, a potent neutrophil chemoattractant, from other pulmonary fibroblasts. Together these studies demonstrated an important pathway by which cigarette smoke exposure may lead to emphysema.

Donor human lungs have also been used to study the distribution and function of pulmonary immune cells. Another research group recently analyzed the pulmonary mononuclear phagocyte sys-
tem of lung and the lung-draining lymph nodes from 72 human donors (26). The investigators used intrabronchial and intravenous antibody labels to differentiate tissue-resident mononuclear phagocytes from cells within the vasculature, digested the lung tissue, and used flow cytometry to characterize 5 populations of resident mononuclear cells. These data are of interest because the majority of our prior knowledge of the pulmonary mononuclear phagocyte system was based on animal studies or samples from tumor resection margins and not from healthy whole human organs. Finally, donor human lungs have proved a useful source of pulmonary vascular cells. Pulmonary arterial endothelial cells, endothelial cells of the microvasculature, and pulmonary arterial smooth muscle cells have all been isolated and cultured from human donor lungs (27).

In summary, donor human lungs have proved a valuable source of primary human pulmonary cells, which have contributed to our understanding of pulmonary physiology and immunology and of the pathophysiology of acute lung injury, emphysema, and cigarette smoke–associated lung diseases. Importantly, these cells may be isolated from previously healthy donors or from those affected by the pathologic process of interest. This is an important difference from other sources of primary human pulmonary cells, which are often isolated from biopsy or pulmonary resection specimens. An important future target will be to successfully culture alveolar type I (ATI) cells.

**Ex vivo–perfused human lung**

All the authors of this Review currently carry out experiments with the ex vivo–perfused human lung, each with minor variations and refinements. Here we describe the core principles of the preparation and discuss the major differences and decision points in the model setup.

**Lung selection.** Human lungs that have been rejected for transplantation are received via agreement with the local OPO. The majority of lungs are retrieved from brain-dead donors, and lungs from donors after cardiac death have not been rigorously evaluated in the ex vivo–perfused lung model. At procurement, the vascular compartment is flushed with low–potassium dextran solution at 4°C, lungs are inflated to peak pressure of 20 cmH2O with FiO2 of 0.5, and the trachea is clamped. Lungs are transported at 4ºC and are not perfused during transport. All the authors use lungs with a total ischemia time of under 48 hours. Lungs with gross rupture of the visceral pleura or major vascular injury cannot be reliably perfused, though minor bronchial injuries can be repaired. An approximately 1-cm length of pulmonary artery proximal to the first arterial branch point is required to ensure reliable arterial cannulation. Beyond this, the degree of organ selection should be based on the research question. Quality metrics have included using only lungs with a baseline AFC rate within a certain range (>10%/h, explained below) (28) or only lungs that reach a stable weight within 30–60 minutes after rewarming (29). For most experiments, the right and left lungs may be considered interchangeable. Depending on the hypothesis to be tested, a single lung may serve as its own control (30), 2 lobes of a single lung may serve as intervention and control (7), or the right and left lung may be perfused separately as intervention and control (29).
**Preparation.** The right and left lungs are separated and 1 lung selected. A cannula is placed in the pulmonary artery and secured with a purse-string suture. The main bronchus is cannulated with an approximately 15-cm length of standard 7.5 endotracheal tube, which is secured via a suture placed through one wall of the cartilaginous trachea. The lung preparation is weighed and suspended from a mass transducer (Harvard Apparatus) in a custom-made acrylic chamber. The chamber is partially submerged in a water bath, and the base of the chamber serves as a reservoir for the perfusate solution. The temperature of the perfusate at the pulmonary vein is maintained at 37°C.

**Perfusion.** The lung is perfused with 2 liters of DME-H21 high-glucose medium without phenol red containing 5% BSA and warmed to 37°C. The lung preparation (Figure 2) is perfused with a roller pump (Terumo Sarns) via a cannula secured in the pulmonary artery. The pulmonary veins are not cannulated; thus, the perfusate drains passively from the pulmonary veins into the reservoir at the base of the acrylic chamber, where it is recycled through the pump. The pulmonary arterial pressure is measured via a pulmonary arterial catheter (Cook) placed in the circuit at approximately the level of the left atrium. The pump flow rate (usually about 0.2 l/min) is adjusted to maintain a pulmonary arterial pressure of 10 to 12 mmHg (Biopac) to prevent hydrostatic pulmonary edema.

In early experiments, investigators discovered that the addition of fresh whole human blood to the perfusate was required to generate a robust inflammatory response to *E. coli* endotoxin (21). Subsequent experiments were conducted with (29, 31) or without (32) the addition of 100 ml of fresh human blood to the perfusate, depending on the relevance of the inflammatory response to the experimental design. Type-specific blood is not required because the exposure is brief and the majority of circulating leukocytes in the lungs will have been removed in the procurement process.

**Ventilation and oxygenation.** Studies with the ex vivo–perfused lung preparation can be done with CPAP of 8 or 10 cmH2O (7, 11, 21, 28, 33), and recruitment maneuvers to 25 cmH2O may be added at the start of the experiment (29). Two studies used positive-pressure ventilation (tidal volume 300 ml, respiratory rate 10/min, FiO2 0.21) (30, 32). To date, the majority of studies have been performed with 95% O2 and 5% CO2 (11, 21, 28, 31, 33), though 3 studies have been performed with room air (FiO2 of 0.21) (29, 30, 32).

The impact of selecting CPAP versus mechanical ventilation and of the addition of recruitment maneuvers has not been directly evaluated. However, several groups have reported adequate oxygenation levels independent of the fractional concentration of oxygen or the ventilation method used, perhaps because of minimal metabolic demand of the isolated perfused human lung. For example, one group reported PaO2 of 130–165 mmHg using a mechanical ventilator with positive end-expiratory pressure of 5 cmH2O and FiO2 of 0.21 (32). Another group reported PaO2/FiO2 ratios of 188 ± 20 using CPAP with FiO2 of 0.95 (33). Of note, hyperoxia can be detrimental in the presence of cell-free hemoglobin (29).

**Experimental endpoints**

Acute lung injury involves the loss of endothelial and epithelial barrier functions, with increased movement of protein into the airspaces and decreased AFC (34). These findings are common to acute lung injury of many etiologies, including bacterial pneumonia and reperfusion injury after lung transplant (35). The ex vivo–perfused human lung preparation allows direct measurement of a range of physiologic parameters (Table 1) as well as histologic, microbiologic, and immunologic endpoints (Table 2). Here we highlight several important experimental endpoints that have been used across a range of injury models.

**Lung weight gain and lung fluid balance.** The ex vivo–perfused lung gains weight during perfusion through fluid accumulation in the interstitium and airspaces. Weight gain is therefore a good proxy for pulmonary edema formation. Following equilibration of the lung on the circuit, net weight gain represents a balance of fluid movement across the endothelium into the interstitium and across the epithelium into the airspaces. In vivo, fluid is also removed from the interstitium via lymphatic drainage and transpleural leakage (36). However, for this preparation, we assume that fluid loss via both routes is minimal. In addition to Starling forces, 2 other factors affect the accumulation of pulmonary edema. First, the capacity of the interstitium is finite (37), so fluid in excess of this limit must return to the vascular compartment or flood into the airspaces (34). Second, in addition to the hydrostatic and protein osmotic forces, alveolar edema fluid clearance depends on sodium transport–dependent mechanisms generated by both ATI and ATII cells (AFC, see below) (38).

Therefore, lung weight gain is proportional to the permeability of the endothelium and epithelium and inversely proportional to AFC rate (7). Lung weight can be measured by mass balance at the beginning and end of the experiment or continuously with the lung suspended from a mass transducer (29).
Endothelial and epithelial permeability to protein. Acute lung injury is characterized by increased protein permeability across both the endothelium and epithelium. As a result, measures of protein permeability have been based on the movement of intravascular Evans blue–labeled albumin or exogenously added $^{131}$I-labeled albumin into the airspace fluid (7, 29) or into the extravascular compartment (30). For example, one group (29) measured the movement of Evans blue–labeled albumin added to the perfusate across the endothelium and epithelium into the distal airspaces (29). The authors found that permeability to the labeled albumin was increased after injury with cell-free hemoglobin. The contribution of lung endothelial permeability was then studied by measuring the change in electrical impedance across a monolayer of cultured endothelial cells treated with cell-free hemoglobin. Another group studied the movement of Evans blue–labeled albumin into the extravascular compartment of lungs injured with intrabronchial E. coli bacteria (30). After a period of equilibration, Evans blue dye was added directly to the perfusate. Then, 90 minutes later, a sample of the perfusate was obtained, and the vascular compartment of the lung was flushed with phosphate-buffered saline. Sections from control and injured lobes were incubated with formamide to release the dye, and then permeability was estimated as a ratio of Evans blue in the injured and uninjured lobes.

**AFC.** Fluid is removed from the distal airspaces by an active ion transport process. In the alveolar epithelium, basolateral Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase pumps and apical ENaCs create an osmotic gradient that drives water reabsorption from alveolar edema fluid (34). AFC is increased in a cAMP-dependent fashion by β₂-adrenergic agonists, including endogenous plasma catecholamines. Despite upregulation of AFC in pathologic conditions that may predispose to pulmonary edema, AFC is impaired in the majority of patients with ARDS (17, 38).

Initial studies were performed on passively rewarmed, nonperfused human lung lobes, in which AFC was 4% per hour (3). Using an intact ex vivo human lung preparation, Frank et al. (7) demonstrated that normothermic perfusion raised the rate of AFC from 4% to 20%. Treatment with a β-agonist increased the AFC to 40%, perhaps explaining the clinical observation that hydrostatic pulmonary edema in patients with congestive heart failure can be resolved rapidly when left atrial pressure is lowered with diuretics and afterload reduction.
In the ex vivo–perfused human lung preparation, AFC is inversely related to lung weight gain (7) and depends on the presence of an intact epithelium (33) and measurement as follows. After a period of equilibration, a catheter (PE 240 tubing, BD Biosciences) is inserted through a side port in the endobronchial tube and advanced into the desired lobe until gentle resistance is met. A known volume (typically 100–150 ml) of 37°C 5% BSA in normal saline is instilled via the catheter into the distal airspaces. Fluid samples are obtained at 5 and 35 minutes, and the total protein in each sample is measured by refractometry. AFC is calculated using the following formula: AFC (%/h) = 2(1 – Ci/Cf), where Ci is the protein concentration of the 5-minute sample and Cf is the protein concentration of the 35-minute sample. AFC may be measured in multiple lobes of the same lung or at multiple time points in the same lobe. For example, one group measured the AFC in a control lobe, then measured the AFC in a separate lobe following injury with *E. coli* (30). This method has been validated in several experimental preparations (38), and this approach has been adapted to measure the AFC rate in patients with hydrostatic (left heart failure) or increased permeability pulmonary edema (ARDS) by measuring the change in protein concentration of undiluted pulmonary edema fluid over time (17, 39, 40).

**Pulmonary vascular resistance.** Pulmonary arterial pressures can be monitored continuously via a pulmonary arterial catheter in the circuit and pulmonary vascular resistance calculated. For example, one group reported that the addition of MSC-derived microvesicles to a human lung model of *E. coli* pneumonia associated with a trend toward a decrease in pulmonary arterial pressure and pulmonary vascular resistance (30). Another group of investigators perfused isolated human lungs or lobes from patients who underwent elective resection for cancer (41, 42). The authors demonstrated that addition of endothelin-1, endothelin-3, and hydrogen sulfide to the perfusate led to significant decreases in pulmonary vascular resistance, as measured by a drop in pulmonary arterial pressure. These changes correlated with arterial vasodilation, measured as a drop in isometric tension in isolated rings of human pulmonary artery. Of note, hydrogen sulfide also caused a significant reduction in bronchial airway pressures in ventilated lobes. The same group used an isolatedperfused lobe to study whether rewarming after deep hypothermia in cardiothoracic surgery predisposes patients to acute postoperative pulmonary hypertension (43). This group reported that hypothermia blunted arterial responses to vasoconstriction but arterial responses normalized after warming, and there was no evidence of a hypothermic preconditioning effect.

**Microbiology.** The ex vivo–perfused human lung preparation is also valuable as a model of bacterial pneumonia and bacteremia. Several investigators have studied bacterial activity in the different compartments of the lung and bacterial movement between compartments (30, 31, 44). For example, allogenic MSCs given intrabronchially or in the perfusate decreased total bacterial load in the alveolar fluid 6 hours after intrabronchial *E. coli* instillation, in part through enhanced bacterial phagocytosis by monocytes (31). Moreover, the addition of MSCs prevented translocation of *E. coli* from the airspaces to the perfusate.

### Table 1. Physiologic endpoints in the ex vivo–perfused human lung

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experimental measure</th>
<th>Physiologic correlate</th>
<th>Expected range</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lung weight gain</td>
<td>Endothelial permeability</td>
<td>25%–100% in controls&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; 100%–300% in severe injury</td>
<td>7, 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Movement of Evans blue–labeled albumin into airspaces</td>
<td>Endothelial and epithelial permeability</td>
<td>&lt;10 µg/ml in control lungs 10–50 µg/ml after injury&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>29, 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extravascular wet/dry ratio</td>
<td>Pulmonary edema</td>
<td>Normal ratio &lt;4.2 Mild edema 4.2–5.0 Moderate edema 5.1–6.0 Severe edema &gt;6.0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary arterial pressure</td>
<td>Right atrial pressure</td>
<td>Normal &lt;10 mmHg&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>31, 41–43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alveolar fluid clearance</td>
<td>Alveolar fluid clearance</td>
<td>Normal 10%–50%</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Gas exchange</td>
<td>Normal 140–170 mmHg</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compliance and tracheal pressures</td>
<td>Lung compliance</td>
<td>Normal lung compliance: 15–20 ml/cmH&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O Normal tracheal pressure: 15–20 cmH&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O</td>
<td>30, 32, 42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Total weight gain of lung with start weight prior to perfusion and end weight after 6 hours of perfusion in unselected lungs. Shaver et al. (29) excluded lungs with significant weight gain over 2 hours and reported weight change of 5%–25% over 2 hours of perfusion in lungs injured with cell-free hemoglobin.  
<sup>b</sup>Evans blue albumin in bronchoalveolar lavage after 2 hours of perfusion with or without injury (29). Park et al. (30) reported a ratio of approximately 5:1 of Evans blue accumulation in a lobe injured with *E. coli*, compared with a control lobe.  
<sup>c</sup>Flow should be adjusted to keep pulmonary arterial pressure less than 10 mmHg to avoid hydrostatic edema. When used as an experimental outcome, pressures of 0–5 mmHg have been routinely measured (30).
Treatment with MSC-derived microvesicles alone similarly decreased alveolar bacterial load and enhanced monocyte phagocytosis (30). Another group of investigators studied the differential effects of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* injected into the perfusate or instilled into the distal airspaces and found that bacteria introduced via either route produced endothelial injury, but only airspace infection was sufficient to produce epithelial injury (44). These studies have provided insight into the mechanisms of pulmonary defenses against pneumonia and bacteremia, for both Gram-positive and Gram-negative organisms, and have demonstrated several mechanisms by which MSCs exert antibacterial effects in the lung.

**Histopathology.** Injury of the ex vivo–perfused human lung preparation with intrabronchial endotoxin or *E. coli* leads to septal thickening and increased cellularity (21, 30, 31). Instillation of MSCs or MSC-conditioned media reduced edema and cellularity following intrabronchial endotoxin injury, and MSC-conditioned media reduced the absolute neutrophil count in alveolar fluid following endotoxin injury (21). In subsequent work, this research group studied the phagocytic function of alveolar macrophages following injury of the lung with intrabronchial *E. coli* and treatment with intravenous or intrabronchial MSCs or the MSC paracrine product keratinocyte growth factor (31). Treatment with intrabronchial MSCs after *E. coli* pneumonia significantly increased the percentage of bacteria-containing alveolar macrophages (percentage of phagocytosis) and the average number of bacteria per macrophage (phagocytic index).

In a separate study, the histologic features of 29 pairs of lungs that had been rejected for transplantation were assessed (4); 62% had normal or mildly abnormal histologic findings. When histology was combined with AFC and microbiologic analysis, 12 pairs of lungs (41%) were identified that might have been suitable for transplantation. These data have highlighted the need for prospective assessment of the donor selection process for lung transplantation.

### Ex vivo–perfused human lung: a therapeutic-testing platform

The ex vivo–perfused human lung can be useful for testing novel therapeutics in acute lung injury and has laid the groundwork for some clinical trials in lung donor management (45) and cell-based therapy for the treatment of acute lung injury (46, 47). Examples of therapeutics tested in the ex vivo–perfused human lung are described in Table 3.

Recently, the system has been used to explore the potential roles of MSCs, MSC culture media, and MSC-derived microvesicles. In one study, human bone marrow–derived MSCs restored AFC in human lungs injured with intrabronchial *E. coli* LPS (21) or with live *E. coli* (31). Of note, the effect was observed after intrabronchial instillation of the MSCs (5 × 10^6 cells) as well as after intravenous infusion (in the perfusate). In addition to restoring a normal AFC rate, injured MSC-treated lungs had a decrease in inflammatory infiltrate and increased bacterial killing that could be explained in part by increased macrophage phagocytosis and secretion of antimicrobial factors. Subsequently, the safety of human MSCs in moderate to severe ARDS was demonstrated in phase I and IIa clinical trials (48, 49), and a phase IIb trial is in the planning stages. In other studies, the investigators found that intrabronchial instillation of MSC culture media or intravenous infusion of MSC-derived microvesicles has similar antimicrobial effects and similar beneficial effects on alveolar epithelial and endothelial function (21, 30).

Human MSCs and MSC-derived microvesicles also restore AFC and decrease weight gain in human lungs that have been rejected for transplantation (28, 32). These data suggest a potential method to expand the supply of donor lungs for transplantation. Similarly, another research group found that acetaminophen reduced cell-free hemoglobin–mediated oxidative injury in donor lungs rejected for transplant (29). Treated lungs had reduced endothelial permeability to protein and a decrease in weight gain.

### Table 2. Histologic, microbiologic, and immunologic studies in the ex vivo–perfused human lung

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experimental measure</th>
<th>Examples</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Histology</td>
<td><em>E. coli</em> and <em>E. coli</em> endotoxin tissue injuries</td>
<td>21, 30, 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microbiology</td>
<td><em>E. coli</em> bacterial load in alveolar fluid and in perfusate</td>
<td>30, 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immune cells in airspaces</td>
<td>Neutrophil influx in alveolar fluid</td>
<td>21, 30, 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytokine secretion</td>
<td>IL-1β, IL-8, TNF-α</td>
<td>21, 30, 31, 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epithelial or endothelial injury biomarkers</td>
<td>RAGE, SP-D, vWF/Ag</td>
<td>7, 33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*E. coli* and *E. coli* endotoxin tissue injuries 21, 30, 31
*E. coli* bacterial load in alveolar fluid and in perfusate 30, 31
Neutrophil influx in alveolar fluid 21, 30, 31
IL-1β, IL-8, TNF-α 21, 30, 31, 45
RAGE, SP-D, vWF/Ag 7, 33
Clinical applications of ex vivo lung perfusion

In parallel with the translational research that our group and others have carried out with the single-lung ex vivo preparation described here, important progress has been made with commercially available 2-lung EVLP systems. These efforts have focused primarily on ex vivo conditioning or treatment of donor lungs before transplantation. For example, one group demonstrated that treatment of hepatitis C virus–infected lungs with phototherapy during EVLP decreased viral infectivity (50) and that treatment of human lungs rejected for transplant because of concerns of infection with broad-spectrum antibiotics during EVLP decreased bacterial counts in bronchoalveolar lavage (51). Several groups have demonstrated the feasibility of treating donor lungs with pulmonary emboli using urokinase or alteplase during EVLP before successful transplantation (52, 53). In addition, several groups have used EVLP systems to study the mechanisms of primary graft dysfunction (54) and to test novel therapeutics (50, 55).

Limitations of the model

The ex vivo lung preparation has several important limitations. There is significant heterogeneity in human lungs because of donor characteristics, such as sex, age, smoking history, and underlying diseases, and pre-procurement variables, such as trauma, ventilator-induced lung injury, and ventilator-associated pneumonia. It is straightforward to measure clearance of alveolar edema fluid from the airspaces and to follow fluid and proteins that are cleared by the pulmonary venous circulation; however, other important pathways, such as lymphatic drainage and drainage of fluid into the pleural space, cannot be measured (34). Similarly, the absence of other organs, such as the liver and spleen, facilitates direct study of pulmonary physiology; however, these tissues may be relevant to the study of pulmonary immune function and to the testing of novel therapeutics in the lung. Because the ex vivo lung preparation is not a closed system, gas exchange in the lung cannot be reliably measured. To date, most experiments have been limited to 6 to 10 hours, although the use of a bioreactor to extend studies up to 24 to 48 hours may be possible. It is also important to note that conducting these experiments requires a dedicated experimental setup and a research team with flexible hours because donor lung availability is unpredictable and consistent experimental methods are critical.

Conclusions

Acute and chronic respiratory diseases remain an important clinical problem. Historically, our understanding of the mechanisms of injury has been limited by the difficulty of reliably modeling lung injury in vitro or in animal models and by the challenges of isolating primary human cells from surgical samples. However, donor human lungs that have been rejected for transplant have proved a valuable resource for clinically relevant data, an important source of human pulmonary cells, and a new translational platform to test mechanisms of injury and new therapeutics.
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