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The underlying pathology of atopic dermatitis (AD) includes impaired skin barrier function, susceptibility to *Staphylococcus aureus* skin infection, immune dysregulation, and cutaneous dysbiosis. Our recent investigation into the potential role of Gram-negative skin bacteria in AD revealed that isolates of one particular commensal, *Roseomonas mucosa*, collected from healthy volunteers (HVs) improved outcomes in mouse and cell culture models of AD. In contrast, isolates of *R. mucosa* from patients with AD worsened outcomes in these models. These preclinical results suggested that interventions targeting the microbiome could provide therapeutic benefit for patients with AD. As a first test of this hypothesis in humans, 10 adult and 5 pediatric patients were enrolled in an open-label phase I/II safety and activity trial (the Beginning Assessment of Cutaneous Treatment Efficacy for *Roseomonas* in Atopic Dermatitis trial; BACTERIAD I/II). Treatment with *R. mucosa* was associated with significant decreases in measures of disease severity, topical steroid requirement, and *S. aureus* burden. There were no adverse events or treatment complications. We additionally evaluated differentiating bacterial metabolites and topical exposures that may contribute to the skin dysbiosis associated with AD and/or influence future microbiome-based treatments. These early results support continued evaluation of *R. mucosa* therapy with a placebo-controlled trial.

Introduction

Atopic dermatitis (AD) is a highly prevalent inflammatory skin disease associated with reduced quality of life, increased health care expenditures, and an increased risk of developing asthma, allergic rhinitis, and food allergies (1, 2). The underlying pathology of AD includes impaired skin barrier function, susceptibility to *Staphylococcus aureus* skin infection, and immune dysregulation (3). Although AD is becoming increasingly more common in industrialized nations (4, 5), the natural history of AD has remained one in which the majority of patients will experience spontaneous remission before adolescence (6–8). Yet while the disease may be self-limited, the prolonged barrier defects predispose patients to cutaneous sensitization and the subsequent development of associated atopic diseases (2, 9). First-line therapies such as topical emollients, corticosteroids, PDE-4 inhibitors, and calcineurin inhibitors require multiple-times-per-day applications that negatively impact quality of life for patients and their families (10). The newly approved, biweekly biologic targeting IL-4Ra improves symptoms and lessens treatment demands, but its current $37,000 annual price will make it inaccessible to many patients (11).

While contemporary studies have elucidated how *S. aureus* may directly exacerbate AD (12), both topical and prophylactic antibiotics have failed to show benefit and are not recommended by any consensus management guidelines (1, 13). We noted that in published microbiome studies the areas of skin characterized by carriage of Gram-negative bacteria (14) overlap with areas most commonly involved in AD (15), and this carriage is significantly decreased in AD patients compared with healthy controls (16). These findings suggest a role for the skin microbiota beyond *S. aureus* in the pathogenesis of AD. Our recent investigation into the potential role of Gram-negative skin bacteria in AD revealed that isolates of one particular...
commensal, *Roseomonas mucosa*, collected from healthy volunteers (HVs) improved outcomes in mouse and cell culture models of AD (17). In contrast, application of an AD-sourced *R. mucosa* had either no impact on these modeled outcomes, or made the outcomes worse. These preclinical results generated the hypothesis that interventions targeting the microbiome could provide therapeutic benefit for patients with AD. As a first test of the therapeutic potential of topical live *R. mucosa* in humans, here we report the initial results from our clinical trial (NCT03018275) to preliminarily assess safety and activity. Treatment with *R. mucosa* was associated with a significant decrease in both objective disease measures and subjective pruritus without any reported adverse events or treatment complications. These results support the continued evaluation of this therapy with a placebo-controlled trial in patients with AD.

**Results**

*R. mucosa does not display signs of toxicity in mice.* Case reports purporting *R. mucosa* infection are mostly limited to polymicrobial, catheter-associated infections in the setting of significant immune suppression (18). Notably, these reports never assessed whether the isolates of *R. mucosa* associated with clinical symptoms could fulfill Koch’s postulates for defining pathogens. Our previous work found that *R. mucosa* application to mouse skin that had undergone tape-stripping or chemical-induced dermatitis provided clinical benefit for the mice without any signs of toxicity (17). However, prior to clinical use we assessed the potential for systemic spread of *R. mucosa* to cause pathology by injecting mice intravenously with 10^3, 10^4, or 10^8 colony-forming units (CFU) of 3 HV-derived *R. mucosa* strains independently, a strain of *R. mucosa* (ATCC BAA-692) isolated from a clinical blood culture (19), or saline diluent alone. Animal technicians unaffiliated with the study monitored the mice in a blinded fashion for 10 days to assess weight loss, change in activity, moribundity, and mortality. There were no significant differences in mean weight change between groups exposed to diluent (–6 ± 75 mg), 10^3 (+3 ± 63 mg), 10^4 (+4 ± 93 mg), or 10^8 (–2 ± 83 mg) CFU. There were no differences in activity or moribundity, and survival in all groups was 100%. On day 10 after infection, the kidney, liver, and spleen were harvested for histologic examination. There were no differences in histologic appearance of the organs and no signs of infection or inflammatory changes were seen (Supplemental Figure 1; supplemental material available online with this article; https://doi.org/10.1172/jci.insight.120608DS1). Therefore, *R. mucosa* demonstrates low pathogenic potential.

*R. mucosa was associated with clinical improvement and safety in adults.* As a first-in-human test of the therapeutic potential of *R. mucosa*, 10 adults were enrolled in an open-label phase I/II trial (NCT03018275). Sucrose solutions containing escalating doses of live *R. mucosa* were topically applied twice weekly for 6 weeks, followed by a 4-week washout phase (Figure 1A). Patients were provided sufficient volumes for topical application to their bilateral antecubital fossae and one additional body surface area of their choice. AD severity was assessed using the SCORAD scale (20). Consistent with prior findings (16, 17), enrollment cultures of the patients’ antecubital fossae did not yield Gram-negative bacteria.

All patients reported compliance with all 12 doses. Patients were given the phone number of the primary investigator to call with any unsolicited events. Solicited events were collected via a memory aide as well as remote visits via text, phone, or email. Solicited events included fever (100.4°F or greater), pain or tenderness (minimal even if not interfering with activity), and discoloration (slight and where treatment applied). No adverse reactions were reported (Supplemental Table 1).

Treatment was associated with significant reduction in objective intensity (Figure 2A), subjective regional pruritus (Figure 2B and Supplemental Table 2), and antecubital-specific SCORAD (Figure 2C and Supplemental Table 2). Patients were instructed to maintain their home regimens (Supplemental Table 2) throughout active treatment. However, by the end of the washout phase, steroid-sparing effects of *R. mucosa* treatment were evident (Figure 2D). Some patients experienced responses at treated (but not untreated) sites outside of the antecubital region (Figure 2, E and F), suggesting that any passive transfer from treated to untreated sites was insufficient to generate a response. Responses were equal between the two sides when bilateral surfaces were treated (data not shown). Treatment of the hands was not associated with clinical benefit, even in patients with antecubital improvement (Figure 2F). Failure of *R. mucosa* to impact hand disease may indicate that higher treatment doses would be needed, a different species or strain of bacteria would be required, or that hand disease is not amenable to microbiome treatment. However, given the increased contact with topical antimicrobials on the hands, this finding may also be a consequence of environmental exposures promoting dysbiosis.
**R. mucosa** was associated with clinical improvement and safety in children. Based on the adult findings, we next enrolled 5 pediatric patients (aged 9–14 years) for a similar initial safety and activity evaluation (Figure 1B). Similar to the adult cohort, there were no solicited or unsolicited adverse events (Supplemental Table 1). In addition to solicited events for adult patients, pediatric patients were assessed for worsening of SCORAD (>20% increase in affected area from enrollment) and worsening of itching (>20% increase in pruritus score over enrollment). Laboratory values for complete blood count with differential, chemistry panel, hepatic enzymes, mineral panel, as well as erythrocyte sedimentation rate and C-reactive protein were tracked for the pediatric cohort and did not reveal any adverse changes (data not shown). The combined cohort was powered to detect an adverse event with a 10% true rate.

The pediatric cohort was treated twice weekly for 16 weeks and provided enough solution to treat all involved body surface areas. Parents reported full compliance with all treatment applications. Similar to the adult regional data, treatment of pediatric patients was associated with significant decreases in SCORAD (Figure 3, A and B), pruritus (Figure 3C), and steroid usage (Figure 3D). Furthermore, consistent with the adult data (Figure 2F) and reflected in the total SCORAD (Figure 3A), treatment outside of the antecubital region was also associated with improvement (Figure 3E). All pediatric patients were colonized with *S. aureus* (Figure 3F and Supplemental Figure 2, A and B). Consistent with our previous findings of direct anti-*S. aureus* effects of *R. mucosa* (17), treatment was associated with decreased *S. aureus* culture burden (Supplemental Figure 2, A and B) and the proportion of *S. aureus* relative to coagulase-negative staphylococci (CNS) in both the antecubital (Figure 3F) and popliteal fossae (Supplemental Figure 2C).

The planned strain-level genomic analysis, as well as post-washout assessment, will better evaluate long-term treatment impacts on the microbiome as well as the colonization rate with the *R. mucosa* strains used in the therapeutic. Improvements in Children’s and Family Dermatology Life Quality Index (CDLQI and FDLQI; Supplemental Figure 2, D and E), transepidermal water loss (TEWL; Supplemental Figure 2F), and IgE (Supplemental Table 2) did not meet statistical significance.

*R. mucosa* response associations were significantly greater than reported placebo effects. Noting a historical placebo effect of 5%–30%, past studies have determined that a greater than 50% improvement in SCORAD (SCORAD-50) during treatment is statistically suggestive of treatment activity (21–24). By these parameters, treatment was associated with response in 4 pediatric patients (mean improvement of –78.4%) with one nonresponder (–38%). Although regional SCORAD values for adults have not been specified previously,
extrapolating similar parameters designated 6 adult responders (-84.6%), 1 partial responder (-44%), and 3 nonresponders (-8.6%). Further accounting for the natural history of AD (6, 7, 25), we calculated that fewer than 4 patients would achieve a SCORAD-50 during treatment (26.7%) under the null hypothesis. Ten of the combined 15 (66.7%) patients achieved the greater than 50% improvement threshold for regional or total SCORAD (P = 0.016). Furthermore, the rate of patients achieving 75% clinical improvement (40%) significantly differed from reports of similar improvements attributable to placebo (13.3%; P = 0.04) (23, 24). The combined mean improvement for the cohort was 63.9%; responders averaged 84.1% improvement and partial to nonresponders averaged 21.7% improvement. While differing in clinical scoring approaches, these results are consistent with previous open-label phase II studies on currently approved topical calcineurin and PDE-4 inhibitors (26, 27). In this trial, all adult patients who appeared responsive to treatment reported sustained or even additional clinical improvement after the washout phase (Figure 2, D and E). Continued enrollment and prolonged evaluation of pediatric participants after washout is ongoing. These data are suggestive of treatment activity and support continuation towards a placebo-controlled trial to confirm causation.

Lack of clinical response was associated with family history of skin disease. All patients designated as responders had a family history of atopy but did not report family histories of AD persisting into adulthood. However, of the 4 nonresponders, 3 (patient 1, 8, and P1) had family histories of AD persisting into adulthood in at least 3 generations (Supplemental Table 2). Patient 9 was raised by adoptive parents and did not know the medical history of her biologic relatives. The patient associated with partial response (patient 10).
reported a history of hospital admission for *S. aureus* skin infection as an infant and a brother who died at age 3 due to *S. aureus* meningitis. Only one responder reported a family history of AD that persisted into adulthood, and no responders had a personal or family history of *S. aureus* infection. The association between these complex medical histories and the lack of clinical response suggests that differences in heritable host and/or microbial factors may impact treatment responses.

**Metabolomic profiles differentiate strains of *R. mucosa***. Only 1 patient had culturable *R. mucosa* on enrollment (P2, Supplemental Table 2), consistent with prior genomic data of the staphylococcal predominance of active lesions (16). Our previous work in mouse and cell models established that *R. mucosa* isolates from HVs, but not from patients with AD, improved key features of AD pathology (17). Under this hypothesis, even when *R. mucosa* is present on the unaffected skin of patients with AD, strain-level differences may contribute to disease pathology and/or susceptibility to flares. To further evaluate if colonizing humans with specific strains of *R. mucosa* might offer targeted therapeutic utility, we assayed for differentiating metabolites that would be expected to improve established human pathways of AD pathogenesis (28). Our prior analysis on the bacterial supernatants demonstrated that *R. mucosa* strains differed in the production of lysophosphatidylcholine and cardiolipins (17). In the current analysis, reverse-phase liquid chromatography (RPLC) metabolomics analysis was performed on bacterial pellets (separated from the supernatants) of previously collected strains of *R. mucosa* from HVs versus strains from patients with AD (29) (Supplemental Figure 3, A and B). After correction for family-wise error rate (FWER), 6 metabolites distinguished strains from HVs from those from patients with AD (Supplemental Figure 3C). Strains from patients with AD produced the epithelial irritant mono-methyl glutarate (MMG) (30) as well as the histamine precursor histidinal. In contrast, strains from HVs contained phosphatidylcholine (PC 37:2) and phosphatidylethanolamine (PE 36:2, PE 14:0/20:1, and PE 22:1/14:1) (Supplemental Figure 3C). Similarly, hydrophilic interaction chromatography (HILIC) analysis demonstrated increased production of PC 38:2, PC 18:2/18:0, and PE-ceramide (d15:2[4E,6E]/20:0[2OH]) by HV-sourced *R. mucosa* isolates (Supplemental Figure 3D). However, statistical significance for HILIC-identified metabolites was lost after FWER adjustment. PC and PE are lipids known to enhance barrier function, provide direct and indirect protection against *S. aureus*, and modulate skin immunity (17, 31–34). Furthermore, while the bioactivities of PC and PE with these specific acyl chains have not been assessed, recent studies have demonstrated that abnormalities in skin lipid content and metabolism are strongly associated with AD (35, 36). Taken together with our clinical findings, these data suggest that repairing the strain-level dysbiosis in *R. mucosa* in patients with AD could...
Figure 4. Strains of Roseomonas mucosa are impacted by environmental exposures. (A) Representative pictures for mean zone of inhibition (ZOI) on agar growth plates for *R. mucosa* from healthy volunteers (HVs), *R. mucosa* from patients with atopic dermatitis (AD), or *Staphylococcus aureus*. (B) Mean (bar) and individual (dots) ZOI for uncommon (B) and common (C) topical exposures. (D) Mean and individual ZOI for individual paraben (-pb) subtypes. (E) As in A, imaging of resistant *S. aureus* colonies in response to methyl-, ethyl-, propyl-, and benzyl-paraben exposure. (F) Mean and individual ZOI for...
Common topical emollients. (G) Mean and individual ZOI for dilute bleach. Data are representative of 3 independent experiments using n = 3–7 different isolates per group, per experiment and displayed as mean ± SEM. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, ****P < 0.0001 as determined by ANOVA, significance indicated as compared with R. mucosa (HV) group.

Common-topical emollients offer benefit to humans through enhanced production of barrier and immune-regulating phospholipids as well as reduction in the exposure to skin irritants.

R. mucosa growth is impacted by environmental exposures. While the open-label design typical for early-phase clinical investigations precludes definitive statements on causation, our results as well as current literature suggest that the dysbiosis associated with AD may directly influence pathogenesis (37). To begin to elucidate environmental factors that may influence this dysbiosis, we used chemical-impregnated disks from a clinical patch test system to test the impacts of common topical exposures on bacterial growth (Figure 4A). Patch testing is designed to clinically evaluate contact dermatitis, and thus allows for testing the impacts of common topical exposures at concentrations reflective of real-world use. Many of the tested chemicals that inhibited R. mucosa growth are rare exposures (Figure 4B) that seem unlikely to contribute to a disease with a global lifetime risk of 5%–25% (38). However, some common preservatives inhibited the growth of R. mucosa more than S. aureus (Figure 4C). Paraben mix further inhibited HV strains of R. mucosa more than AD-associated R. mucosa and S. aureus (Figure 4C). In response to individual parabens, susceptibility to inhibition (Figure 4E) and development of resistance (Figure 4E) differed between isolates. For R. mucosa, parabens also partially blanched the pink coloring (Figure 4A). Bacteria subcultured from the blanched sections remained viable and regained normal coloring after 48 hours (data not shown); therefore, the relevance of this discoloration remains to be elucidated. Additionally, while emollients are universally recommended for AD treatment (1), a select number of these products had significant differences in their growth inhibition of R. mucosa and S. aureus isolates (Figure 4F). In contrast, while the therapeutic guidelines and evidence of microbiome modification related to bleach baths differ (1, 39), dilute bleach inhibited S. aureus and disease-associated R. mucosa but not HV-sourced R. mucosa (Figure 4G).

Discussion

Current understanding of the microbiome’s influence on human health has expanded far beyond the original hygiene hypothesis. Each new discovery identifies potential therapeutic approaches for improving treatment outcomes (40). These discoveries include successful phase II trials using a nontoxigenic strain of Clostridium difficile to prevent antibiotic-associated diarrhea and a natural isolate of Lactobacillus crispatus for urinary tract infection and bacterial vaginosis (41, 42). Emollients supplemented with lysates from the Clostridium difficile to prevent antibiotic-associated diarrhea and a natural isolate of Vitreoscilla filiformis demonstrate significantly greater benefit than placebo controls (43, 44). A more recent breakthrough revealed that strain-level differences in Staphylococcus epidermidis might impact the relative burden of S. aureus on the skin of patients with AD (37).

Our results are suggestive that strain-level differences in R. mucosa may directly influence AD and provide clinical benefit through multiple mechanisms that target epithelial barrier function, innate/adaptive immune balance, and S. aureus growth (17). Our metabolomics data further suggest lipid mediators and other biochemical correlates to target in future assessments of host skin metabolomic changes associated with therapy. Consistent with reported genomic risk factors in AD (45), the differing responses in patients with significant family histories suggest that heritable factors influence responses to R. mucosa therapy. However, while R. mucosa exposure was associated with clinical improvement, complete assessment of the microbiome before and after treatment in planned future trials will be required to more fully assess the role of R. mucosa in the pathogenesis of AD.

Future studies are also needed to better establish the conditions and exposures that promote and maintain the dysbiosis associated with AD. Even if a given antimicrobial exposure inhibited S. aureus to an identical degree as commensal Gram-negative bacteria, due to staphylococci’s significantly faster growth rate (29) such exposure would still provide S. aureus an advantage in repopulating the skin. However, both paraben mix and quatrium-15 inhibited R. mucosa to a significantly greater degree than S. aureus and select parabens differentially inhibited therapeutic R. mucosa isolates versus AD-associated strains. Yet while our data on topical products are provocative in relation to the increased rates of AD in the postindustrial era, many additional components of the exposome likely contribute to the cutaneous dysbiosis (17, 37, 46). Furthermore, the relatively modern introduction along with
the life-long ubiquity of these products disqualifies them from consideration as causative for a disease described in antiquity and most often limited to childhood (6–8).

A causal linkage for any of the tested compounds to AD symptoms, or dysbiosis itself, cannot be inferred from our findings. However, our environmental exposure data postulate that variations in topical products could exacerbate AD pathology by creating a microenvironment more favorable to growth of \textit{S. aureus} and/or disease-associated strains of \textit{R. mucosa}. Furthermore, such exposures may impact the effectiveness of potential microbiota-based therapeutic approaches. Informed recommendations on cutaneous exposures would benefit from creation of high-throughput systems to assay the impacts and synergy of topical products on both the survival and physiology of the microbiome.

Overall, our findings suggest the safety of topical \textit{R. mucosa} therapy and justify continuation of our ongoing trial to assess safety and activity in a pediatric cohort of patients with AD (expanded to children aged 3–17 years). These studies will additionally assess changes in host serum markers, skin metabolomics, and the skin microbiota by culture and genomic methods. The results from our expanded pediatric cohort will help establish a causal and mechanistic basis for the promising preliminary benefit seen with topical microbiome transplantation, and lay foundations for larger, placebo-controlled trials that will be needed to definitively assess efficacy.

**Methods**

**Patients**

Patients were recruited and enrolled at the NIH under clinical trial NCT03018275 (Beginning Assessment of Cutaneous Treatment Efficacy of \textit{Roseomonas} in Atopic Dermatitis, Phase I/II; BACTE\textit{RiAD I/II}). Prior to enrollment all patients and/or legal guardians signed informed consent. Pediatric patients signed assents. SCORAD (an established scoring algorithm for atopic dermatitis) values were determined under standard approaches (20). Two investigators documented the surface areas involved and intensity of disease. One investigator was intentionally misinformed that the study was a placebo-controlled design and therefore was unaware that all patients were on active treatment with the investigational drug. Only scores from the blinded investigator are shown. Patients provided the subjective values for pruritus and sleep disturbance. To meet inclusion criteria, patients needed to have a SCORAD value of 10 or higher, have disease present on the antecubital fossae and/or forearms, and have previously attempted standard of care therapy. Values for the antecubital specific SCORAD were obtained by adding the intensity values for the antecubital region (score 0–3 for dryness, erythema, edema, oozing, excoriation, and lichenification) to the patient-reported subjective score (score 0–10) for pruritus of the antecubital region. Antecubital fossae were swabbed for the presence of Gram-negative bacteria as previously described (17, 29). \textit{S. aureus} and CNS burden was determined by vortexing swabs in 2 ml of tyssin broth (Remel) for 30 seconds and plating 100 μl on blood agar plates (Remel). The following day, the number of colonies was enumerated and multiplied by 20 to obtain the total CFU in the 2-ml collection volume and then averaging values between both arms. Relative abundance of \textit{S. aureus} was obtained by dividing the colony numbers for \textit{S. aureus} by those for CNS.

Screening blood work included complete blood count, chemistry panel, calcium, magnesium, phosphorus, alkaline phosphatase, aspartate aminotransferase, alanine aminotransferase, bilirubin, albumin, and HIV antibodies for adults. Urine pregnancy testing was performed on all adult female patients. There were no restrictions on home medication use except for those specified in the exclusion criteria. Adult patients were instructed to make no changes in their home regimen. Pediatric patients were asked to maintain their standard approach; parents reported using daily emollients but reserving steroid treatments for flares. Parents were asked to recall average days/month of topical steroid use for the 3 months prior to treatment and then prospectively track steroid use during treatment. Transepidermal water loss was measured by VapoMeter (Delfin) per the manufacturer’s instructions and represents the average results of the bilateral antecubital fossae.

**Inclusion criteria**

Inclusion criteria were (a) age 18 years or older (adult cohort) or age 7–17 (pediatric cohort); (b) SCORAD of at least 10; (c) carry a physician diagnosis of AD with active involvement of the antecubital fossa; (d) willing to allow storage of blood for future research; (e) no history of other skin disease; (f) initiated
or attempted standard of care therapy at least 6 months prior to enrollment; and (g) agreement to use adequate contraception if indicated.

Exclusion criteria
Exclusion criteria were (a) presence of an indwelling venous or arterial catheter; (b) individuals living with anyone with a diagnosed immunodeficiency, cardiac valvular disease, and/or indwelling catheter; (c) presence of allergies to amikacin, ciprofloxacin, gentamicin, levofloxacin, and tobramycin (which would preclude treatment of any unexpected infection); (d) history of cardiac valvular disease; (e) any history of grade 2 or higher neutropenia or leukopenia; (f) clinical suspicion of immunodeficiency, liver disorder, kidney disorder, and/or HIV; (g) pregnant or breastfeeding; (h) any history of anti–tumor necrosis factor (TNF) treatment; (i) inability to demonstrate proper bacteria administration procedure despite coaching and training; (j) use of any antibiotics within 4 weeks of enrollment; (k) use of oral steroids within 4 weeks of enrollment; and (l) any condition that, in the opinion of the investigator, contraindicates participation in this study.

Endpoints
Primary endpoints were (a) frequency of solicited and unsolicited adverse events, serious adverse events, and death; and (b) 50% reduction in regional or total SCORAD.

Secondary endpoints (applicable only to the pediatric cohort) were (a) 30% improvement in the Children's Dermatology Life Quality Index (CDLQI); and (b) 30% improvement in the Family Dermatology Life Quality Index (FDLQI).

Qualitative analysis
Patient statements at intake and follow-up visits were documented and examined for thematic consistencies. Commentary on body sites treated, duration of benefit, ease of use, doses missed, overall satisfaction, and adverse reactions were compiled and analyzed.

Sample size derivations
Safety. Sample size calculations demonstrated that with 15 total patients in the dose escalation portion (10 adults, 5 children), there would be a probability of 0.14 of observing 1 or more serious adverse events (SAEs) or AEs (grade 2 or higher as defined by the DAIDS toxicity table) if the true rate were 0.01, and a probability of 0.8 of observing 1 or higher if the true rate were 0.1 by binomial distribution. An independent safety monitor assessed all clinical and laboratory data.

Activity. A 50% reduction in SCORAD or regional SCORAD (SCORAD-50) was used as per prior publications (21, 24, 47, 48). The placebo response in SCORAD was calculated from placebo control data as approximately 5%–30% over studies lasting 1–12 months (22, 24, 49–51). The natural history of AD suggests that 50%–90% of patients will self-resolve by approximately 12–15 years of age (6, 7, 25). The pace at which a cohort more than 7 years of age would be expected to outgrow their disease is less than 5% per year based on previous reports (6, 7, 25). Thus, we calculated no more than 2 of our cohort to self-resolve over the course of a year; <2% of a cohort would be expected to self-resolve during the 6- to 16-week treatment timeframe. With 15 total participants, if 4 successes were observed at the end of the study then there would be a 0.9 probability (90% power) of concluding the treatment were active if the true activity rate were 0.4. These calculations were based on the binomial distribution.

R. mucosa pharmaceutical formulation
Preparations of R. mucosa for clinical use were produced under investigational new drug (IND) application 17303 from the Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Three isolates of R. mucosa taken from 3 HVs were grown in minimal media (R2A broth, Teknova; or Hanks Buffered Salt Solution, HBSS, Gibco) for 24–48 hours. Isolates were selected based on their ability to inhibit the growth of S. aureus, activate vitamin D pathways in human keratinocytes, and improve outcomes in mouse models of AD (17). Genomic sequencing was performed on all strains to verify that no transmittable, clinically significant antibiotic resistance genes were present. SNP-level assessment of strains is ongoing. The bacterial cells were washed 3 times in PBS (Gibco) and resuspended into 10%–15% sucrose in water for a concentration of 10^9 CFU/ml based on previously reported growth parameters (29). Serial dilutions were performed in 10%–15%
sucrose to generate stocks of $10^4$, $10^5$, and $10^6$ per ml. Aliquots of diluted bacterial samples were plated on R2A agar (Remel) and incubated at 32°C for 48–72 hours to enumerate prelyophilization CFU concentration. Starting CFU values were 90%–105% of expected concentrations. Eight hundred microliters (adult) or 1.5 ml (pediatric) of bacterial solution was frozen in 1.5-ml amber glass vials (Wheaton; adult) or a 3-ml self-contained sprayer system (Discount Vials; pediatrics) prior to lyophilization (Labconco). Vials/sprayers were sealed, labeled, and stored at −70°C until dispensed to the patients. Three aliquots per batch were reconstituted in sterile water and plated after serial dilution to enumerate postlyophilization CFU concentration. Survival was 93%–99% of starting CFU after lyophilization. These aliquots were also plated on soybean-casein digest agar (BD Bioscience), Sabouraud dextrose agar (Remel), MacConkey agar (BD Bioscience), xylose lysine agar (Remel), charcoal agar (BD Bioscience), and mannitol salt agar (Remel) and assessed for the presence of contaminating bacteria as per USP 61/62. No contamination was found in any batches of *Roseomonas* treatment. To avoid batch effects, all doses were derived and vialled in one session.

*R. mucosa* dosing and application

Initial dosing was based on the lowest dose with activity in prior mouse models (17). Twice weekly doses were selected due to the slow growth to *R. mucosa* and a desire to avoid applying new bacteria before the prior dose would reach stationary growth phase (29). Adult patients received vials of 2 ml of sterile water (Wheaton). Adults were trained on aspirating 800 μl of water using a 1-ml syringe (BD Bioscience). The 800 μl of water was injected into vials of the lyophilized bacteria, the stopper was replaced, and the vials were gently shaken for approximately 1 minute. The patients then aspirated 200–250 μl of the reconstituted bacterial solution and placed an atomizer tip on the syringe (MAD300, Teleflex). The solution was sprayed on the antecubital/forearm region of one arm, then repeated on the opposing side. The patients were allowed to use the remaining 300–400 μl of solution on additional body surface areas so long as they documented which areas they treated. In total, patients administered 1 application, twice per week, for a total of 6 weeks. During the initial 2 weeks, patients reconstituted vials of $10^4$ CFU/ml; therefore, application of 200–250 μl indicated a treatment dose of $2 \times 10^3$ to $2.5 \times 10^3$ total bacterial colonies per surface area. After remote follow-up was performed to assure no complications with treatment, the patients progressed to 2 weeks of treatment with $2 \times 10^4$ to $2.5 \times 10^4$ bacterial colonies per surface area, then finally $2 \times 10^5$ to $2.5 \times 10^5$ total bacterial colonies per surface area. Patients returned for clinical assessment after completion of the full 6 weeks of therapy and were contacted remotely 30 days after treatment. Patients received hands-on training prior to dispensing the medication and were provided with an instructional video for later reference (https://youtu.be/LkWavevg22w).

Pediatric patients were provided bacteria lyophilized in a self-contained sprayer system (Discount Vials). Eyedroppers (United States Plastic Corp) of 1.5 ml sterile water were provided. For each dose, patients or their parents were instructed to empty the contents of the eyedropper into the sprayer vial, wait 2–5 minutes for reconstitution, and then spray. Sprayers were metered so that 3 pump sprays mirrored the 250 μl applied in the adult trial. Dose concentrations of CFU/ml were identical to adult dosing. Similar to adults pediatric patients applied the treatment twice weekly for the first 3 months of treatment, then every other day for the final month. Dose escalations were every 4 weeks after safety assessments.

Bacterial growth assessment

For patch assay evaluation, $10^6$ CFU of *R. mucosa* or *S. aureus* were plated on R2A agar (Remel). Individual TRUETest (SmartPractice) plastic challenge squares were removed from the adhesive backing with sterile forceps under sterile conditions. The squares were placed in the center of a plate immediately after plating of bacteria. Plates for *R. mucosa* were incubated at 32°C for 48 hours before measuring resultant zone of inhibition with the electronic caliper (Mitutoyo America); plates of *S. aureus* were incubated at 32°C overnight prior to measurement. For studies evaluating parabens individually, methyl-, ethyl-, propyl-, butyl-, and benzyl-4-hydroxybutyrate (Sigma-Aldrich) were suspended in 100% ethanol. To mirror the 1 mg/patch of the standardized disk, each individual paraben was diluted to a final concentration of 200 μg/10 μl. With a sterile pipette, 10 μl of each mix was placed in the center of an R2A agar plate coated with $10^6$ CFU of *R. mucosa* or *S. aureus*. Blanched as well as potentially paraben-resistant isolates were subcultured by taking a sterile loop and plating on R2A agar — viability was assessed by presence of growth by 48 hours. Studies using commercial topical emollients were performed by placing 70 μg of each product onto a sterile circular coverslip and then placing the cov-
erslip onto the agar culture as above. Colloidal oatmeal was diluted to 300 mg/ml, 20 μl was placed in the center of the agar plate and covered with a 12-mm cover slip as above. Pictures were taken with a Nikon D5300; contrast and brightness were enhanced evenly across all plates to aide visualization.

**Bacterial metabolomics**

The 3 strains of *R. mucosa* used in the clinical treatment formulation as well as 3 strains from patients with AD were isolated and enumerated as previously described (17, 29). Frozen pellets of 10⁶ CFU were sent to The Proteomics & Mass Spectrometry Facility at the Danforth Plant Science Center as arranged through Science Exchange. Samples were analyzed using LC-MS by HILIC chromatography on a 0.5 × 150 mm Zic-pHILIC column using 10 mM NH₄HCO₃ in water (A) and 10 mM NH₄HCO₃ in 95% acetonitrile (B) as solvents and by RPLC chromatography using the same solvents and a 0.5 × 100 mm PLRPS column. The samples were extracted with 200 μl of 80% methanol by vortexing for 10 minutes. The solids were collected by centrifugation, and then the supernatant was filtered through a 0.8-μm spin filter. Samples were injected onto the LC-MS and data were acquired in polarity switching mode with data-dependent acquisition of MS/MS spectra. Data analysis was performed on Elements for metabolomics (Proteome Software Inc.). The ID Score was set to 0.8, the Log₁₀ Intensity threshold was set to 7, the Minimum Number of Samples, was set to 3. After the thresholds were established, the statistical differences between the healthy and disease samples were tested with an analysis of variance (ANOVA) among the precursor intensities of the identified metabolites. The ANOVA used a standard Benjamini-Hochberg procedure to control for the false discovery rate (FDR), at a significance level of *q* = 0.05. Then, a FWER correction was applied.

**Mice**

Experiments were performed in both male and female mice, but age and sex matched within each experiment. C57BL/6 mice were purchased from Jackson Laboratories. Intravenous injection with saline diluent or *R. mucosa* was performed when mice were 7–8 weeks of age. Weights were taken on days 0, 3, 6, and 10. On day 10, mice were sacrificed and the right kidney, spleen, and liver were removed. Tissues were processed, sectioned, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) (HistoServ, Inc.). All tissues were evaluated by a board-certified veterinary pathologist and photomicrographs were taken using an Olympus BX51 microscope and Olympus DP73 camera.

**Statistics**

Significance was calculated by 2-tailed paired Student’s *t* test with Prism software (GraphPad). Calculations were repeated using nonparametric assumptions under Wilcoxon’s matched-pairs test assumptions. The larger *P* value from these calculations is presented. A *P* value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.

**Study approval**

Studies in humans were conducted under registered clinical trial NCT03018275 after approval from the NIAID institutional review board. All subjects were provided informed consent prior to their participation in the study. All murine experiments were done in compliance with the guidelines of the NIAID Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.
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